CN: 201703018170

SN: 574

PC: 7

Aproposed & FILED

MAR 1 2024

TIMOTHY W. FITZGERALD SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

Superior Court of Weekington	COUNTY OF SPOKANE			
Superior Court of Washington, County of SPOKANE In re: Palaca Han W/a Notice No. 17-3-0(817-0)				
mile. ree peasing for	110.			
Petitioner/s (as listed on the Petition): SIRINYA SWUMM	Final Order and Findings on Petition to Change a Parenting Plan or Custody Order			
And Respondent/s (as listed on the Petition):	(ORMDD/ORDYMT)			
MANUA SUICINA	[] Clerk's action required: 11			
Final Order and Findings on Petition to Change				
a Parenting Plan	or Custody Order			
1. This Order is based on:				
 The Petition to Change a Parenting Plan or Custody Order, The children's best interest, The Court's decision that there were valid reasons to hear the Petition in the Order on Adequate Cause to Change a Parenting/Custody Order signed on (date): 				
And (check one):				
[] the parents' agreement.				
[] the Order on Motion for Default signed on (date):				
the court hearing or trial on (date): March 17, 2024				
The following people were at the hearing or trial (list parties, lawyers, and any guardians):				
Petitioner, Respondent-Pro-Per, Petitioner Counsel i Stanley Kemprer				
Findings & Conclusions				
2. Jurisdiction (RCW 26.27.201–.221, .23	1, .261, .271).			
[] The court cannot decide this case for the children because the court does not have jurisdiction over the children.				
RCW 26.09.260, .270; 26.10.200 Final Or. and Findings on Petition to Mandatory Form (07/2022) Change a Parenting/Custody Order				

The court can decide this case for the children because (check all that apply; if a boapplies to all of the children, you may write "the children" instead of listing names):
Washington order/exclusive, continuing jurisdiction – The parenting/custody order was made by a Washington state court, and the court still has authority to make other orders for (children's names):
 Other state's order – The parenting/custody order was not made by a Washington state court AND (check one):
 [] A court in the state (or tribe) that made the parenting/custody order has made another order saying that it no longer has jurisdiction or that it is better to have this case decided in Washington; [] No child, parent, or person acting as a parent lives in the state (or tribal reservation) that made the order anymore; AND (check one):
Home state jurisdiction – Washington is the children's home state because (check all that apply): (Children's names):
Major change (RCW 26.09.260(1) and (2)).
[] Does not apply. No one requested a major change.
[] Denied – The court denies the request for a major change because (check all that apply):
[] the requested major change is not in the children's best interest.
[] there has been no substantial change to the situation of the children or the parent who did not file the <i>Petition</i> .
26.09.260, .270; 26.10.200 Final Or. and Findings on Petition to

3.

	Ì	[] the reasons (factual basis) for the requested major change do not qualify under the law.
	1	other reasons (specify):
		Approved – The court approves a major change to the parenting order. The major change is approved because:
		 The requested change is in the children's best interest, and There has been a substantial change in the children's situation or in the situation of the parent who did not request the major change. (Describe how the situation has changed, or describe a situation that the court did not know about when it made its order): The petitioner has now a business that
		requires her attention and time to be Successful. She is nolonger a Stay of home mother and the father 15 willing to
		provide for the children to meet their needs.
*	,	Check reason/s for this change:
	I] The parents agree to the requested change/s.
	١	The children are living in one parent's home with the other parent's permission. This is very different than what was ordered in the previous order.
	ı	The children's current living situation is harmful to their physical, mental, or emotional health. It would be better for the children to change the order.
	İ	C) The other parent has not followed the court's order. A court found they are in contempt for disobeying the parenting schedule more than once in 3 years, or guilty of custodial interference in the first or second degree. (RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070).
4.	Min	or change (RCW 26.09.260(5), (7), and (9)).
	[]	Does not apply. No one requested a minor change.
		Denied – The court denies the request for a minor change because (check all that apply):
	I] the requested minor change is not in the children's best interest.
] the situation of the children or a parent has not changed substantially.
	į	1 the reasons (factual basis) for the requested minor change do not qualify under the law.
		1 the parent requesting more time is limited because of problems listed in the current parenting/custody order. That parent has not shown substantial change in the problems that caused the limitations.
	i] the parent requesting more time has not fully completed all evaluations, treatment, or classes required by the current parenting/custody order.
] other reasons (specify):
		260, .270; 26.10.200 Final Or. and Findings on Petition to Change a Parenting/Custody Order

	Approved – The court approves a minor change to the property court signed the new <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Sche</i> or on <i>(date):</i> The minor change is a	dule filed separately today	
	The requested change is in the children's best interes	st and does not change the	
	person the children live with most of the time; and There has been a substantial change in the children's or a parent's situation. (Describe how the situation has changed, or describe a situation that the court did not know about when it made its order):		
	Check reason/s for this change:		
	[] The current parenting/custody order is difficult to follow has less residential time with the children has moved.	w because the parent who	
	The current parenting/custody order is difficult to follow schedule changed and the change was not by their ch		
	[] The requested change will impact the children's scheduler days a year.	dule on fewer than 25 full	
	The requested change will impact the children's schedule on more than 24 full days, but fewer than 90 overnights a year. This change is needed because the current parenting/custody order does not give the children a reasonable amount of time with one parent. It is in the children's best interest to have more than 24 full days of increased time with that parent.		
	Are there any limitations on the parent whose time	ne is being increased?	
	 No. The current parenting/custody order does with the children because of abandonment, all offense, or other serious problems. 	buse, domestic violence, sex	
	That parent's time with the children is lim	nited because of problems	
	listed in the current parenting/custody order. T changed substantially. (Describe how the pare	hat parent's situation has	
	the limitations in the current parenting/custody	order have changed.)	
	The Divorce ended.	It was	
	not clear what act	ions were the	
	cause of the wording		
Has the parent whose time would be increased completed any required evaluations, treatment, or classes?			
	[] Does not apply. The current parenting/custo that parent to complete any evaluations, treat	dy order does not require ment, or classes.	

	Yes. That parent has completed all court-ordered evaluations, treatment, or classes required by the current parenting/custody order.
	List completed evaluations, treatment, or classes here:A_P_(
	counseling -
5.	Restrictions on the parent with less parenting time
	Does not apply.
	[] Limit – To protect the children, the court will limit the parenting time and participation of the parent who already has less than half of the parenting time with the children. The reasons for this limitation are listed in the new Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule signed by the court today or on (date): This Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule is approved and filed separately. (RCW 26.09.191, 26.09.260(4))
	[] Adjust – The parent who did not file the <i>Petition</i> was allowed some parenting time by the current parenting/custody order, but that parent has chosen not to spend any time with the children for at least 1 year. The court will adjust the parenting time for that parent as listed in the new <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> signed by the court today or on (date): This <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> is approved and filed separately. (RCW 26.09.260(8))
	[] Other findings:
6.	Other Changes (RCW 26.09.260(10))
	[] Does not apply.
	Because of a substantial change in one parent's/child's situation, the court approves changes to the following parts of the <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> that are in the children's best interest (check all that apply):
	 dispute resolution decision-making transportation arrangements other (specify):
7.	Child Support
	[] Does not apply. No one asked to change child support.
	[] Denied – The request to change child support is denied because:
	[] the request to change the parenting/custody order is denied.
	[] the approved change to the parenting/custody order (check all that apply):
	[] does not change the parent the children live with most of the time.
	[] does not change the amount of time the children spend with each parent so much that a child support deviation should be approved or changed.
	[] other:
	N 00 00 000 070 00 10 000 Final Or and Findings on Potition to

	parenting/custody order affect child support by (check one):
	[changing the parent the children live with most of the time.
	 changing the amount of time the children spend with each parent so much that a child support deviation should be approved or changed.
	[] other:
	powental responsibility to contribute.
	parental responsibility to contribute.
8.	Protection Order
	Does not apply. No one requested a Protection Order in this case.
	[] Approved – The request for a <i>Protection Order</i> is approved. The <i>Protection Order</i> is filed separately.
	[] Denied – The request for a <i>Protection Order</i> is denied. The <i>Denial Order</i> is filed separately.
	[] Renewed/Changed – The existing Protection Order filed in or combined with this case is renewed or changed as described in the following order, filed separately (check one):
	[] Order on Renewal of Protection Order
	[] Order Modifying/Terminating Protection Order
	[] Other findings:
9.	Restraining Order
	Does not apply. No one requested a Restraining Order in this case.
	[] Approved – The request for a <i>Restraining Order</i> is approved. The <i>Restraining Order</i> is filed separately.
	[] Denied – The request for a Restraining Order is denied.
	[] Other findings:
10.	Other Findings (if any)
	·
Cour	t Orders
11.	Decision (check all that apply):
	[] Denied – The court denies the <i>Petition to Change a Parenting Plan or Custody Order.</i> All temporary orders are ended.
	Approved – The court approves the <i>Petition</i> . All temporary orders are ended. The court signed the following orders filed separately today or on <i>(date):</i>
RCW.	26 09 260 270: 26 10 200 Final Or, and Findings on Petition to

	Parenting Plan/Residential Sche Child Support Order Other:	edule[] Protection Order [] Restraining Order		
	[] The guardian ad litem is discharged.			
	[] Check this box if the court previously signed a <u>temporary</u> Restraining Order and is not signing a <u>final</u> Restraining Order in this case. Also check the "Clerk's action required" box in the caption on page 1.			
	Name of law enforcement agency where the Protected Person lived when the Restraining Order was issued:			
	To the Clerk: Provide a copy of this Order to the agency listed above within 1 court day. The law enforcement agency must remove the <u>temporary</u> Restraining Order from the state's database.			
12.	2. Other Orders (if any)			
-				
Ordered.				
Date	Judge or 0	Commissioner		
Petitioner and Respondent or their lawyers fill out below. This document (check any that apply): [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without notice to me This document (check any that apply): [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without notice to me				
)	v v	•		
Petitio	ner signs here or lawyer signs here	WSBA #		
Print P	Name Lucian Signs here or lawyer signs here	Date WSBA #		
, lospe	AARON Surina			
Print N	7.100	Date		

r