* proposed *

CN: 201703018170 SN: 478

PC: 8

FILED

JUN 0 8 2023

TIMOTHY W. FITZGERALD SPORANE BOUNTY GLERK

2023 JUN -8 P 4: 47

TIMOTHY W. FITZGEPALD SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

Superior Court of Washington, County of Spokene

In re: Contempt, Objection to Move

Petitioner/s (as listed on the parenting/custody order):

Sirinya Surina

And Respondent/s (as listed on the parenting/custody order):

Acwon Suring

No. 17-3-01817-0

Final Order and Findings on Objection about Moving with Children and Petition about Changing a Parenting/Custody Order (Relocation)

(ORDYMT or ORGRRE)

[] Clerk's action required: 1, 13

Final Order and Findings on Objection about Moving with Children and Petition about Changing a Parenting/Custody Order (Relocation)

1. Money Judgment Summary

- [] No money judgment is ordered.
- [] Summarize any money judgment from section 12 in the table below.

Judgment for	Debtor's name (person who must pay money)	Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest
Guardian ad litem (GAL) fees			\$	\$
Lawyer fees			\$	\$
Court costs			\$	\$
Other fees and expenses (specify):			\$	\$
Yearly Interest Rate:% (1.	2% unless otherwise lis	ted)		
Lawyer (name):	rep	resents (name):		
Lawyer (name):	rep	resents (name):		

2.	This Order is based on the (check all that apply):
	[] agreement of everyone with a legal right to spend time with the children in this case.
	[] Order on Motion for Default signed on (date):
	[] court's decision about the Objection after a contested trial on (date):
	The following people were at the trial (check all that apply):
	Petitioner (name): Sirinya Surina [] This person's lawyer
	Petitioner (name): Suring Suring [] This person's lawyer Respondent (name): Auron Suring [] This person's lawyer
	[] Guardian ad Litem (name):
	[] Other (name and relationship to this case):
	[] Other (name and relationship to this case):
Fin	dings & Conclusions
3.	Jurisdiction over the children (RCW 26.27.201 – .221, .231, .261, .271)
	[] The court cannot decide this case for the children because the court does not have jurisdiction over the children.
	The court can decide this case for the children because (check all that apply; if a box applies to all of the children, you may write "the children" instead of listing names):
	[] Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction – A Washington court has already made a parenting plan, residential schedule or custody order for the children, and the court still has authority to make other orders for (children's names):
	Home state jurisdiction – Washington is the children's home state because (check all that apply):
	(Children's names): Andrew & Dowld lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent for at least the 6 months just before this case was filed, or if the children were less than 6 months old when the case was filed, they had lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent since birth.
	 There were times the children were not in Washington in the 6 months just before this case was filed (or since birth if they were less than 6 months old), but those were temporary absences.
	[] (Children's names): do not live in Washington right now, but Washington was the children's home state at sometime in the 6 months just before this case was filed, and a parent or someone acting as a parent of the children still lives in Washington.
	[] (Children's names): do not have another home state.
	[] No home state or home state declined – No court of any other state (or tribe) has the jurisdiction to make decisions for <i>(children's names):</i> or a court in the children's home state (or tribe) decided it is better to have this case in Washington and:
	 The children and a parent or someone acting as a parent has/have ties to Washington beyond just living here; and

	 There is a lot of information (substantial evidence) about the children's care, protection, education, and relationships in this state.
	[] Other state declined – The courts in other states (or tribes) that might be (children's names):''s home state have refused to take this case because it is better to have this case in Washington.
	[] Temporary emergency jurisdiction – Washington had temporary emergency jurisdiction over (<i>children's names</i>): when the case was filed, and now has jurisdiction to make a final custody decision because:
	 When the case was filed, the children were abandoned in this state, or the children were in this state and the children (or children's parent, brother or sister) was abused or threatened with abuse;
	The court signed a temporary order on (date) saying that Washington's jurisdiction will become final if no case is filed in the children's home state (or tribe) by the time the children have been in Washington for 6 months;
	 The children have now lived in Washington for 6 months; and
	 No case concerning the children has been started in the children's home state (or tribe).
	[] Other reason (specify):
	The children spend substantially equal residential time with each parent (45 percent or more). The children live most of the time with relocating person (name):
	The children live most of the time with the objecting person (name):
1	The Notice of Intent to Move with Children was improper and the Child Relocation Act does not apply. (Skip to 8.)
[]	Other findings:
Fa	actors for / against move with children
[]	Does not apply. There was no objection to the children moving. (Skip to 6.)
1	The children (check one):
	spend substantially equal time with each parent (45 percent or more). Based on the factors listed below, the Court concludes that the planned move (check one): [] is [] is not in the children's best interests.
	 [] live with the person who wants to move most of the time. Based on the factors listed below, the Court concludes that the planned move (check one): [] would [] would not cause more harm to the children than good to the children and the person who wants to move.

Factors: a. Relationships: The children's relationships with each parent, any siblings, and other important people in the children's life. (Findings about the strength, nature, quality, extent of involvement, and stability of the children's relationships and how those would be affected by the planned move): ___ b. Agreements: Findings: There [] were not agreements between the relocating and objecting persons about moving with the children. c. Contact: Findings: Disrupting the children's contact with the relocating person [] would would not be more harmful to them than disrupting their contact with the objecting person. d. Limitations: Findings: The current parenting/custody order [] includes [] does not include limitations under RCW 26.09.191 on a parent.

- e. Reasons for moving: Findings: The reasons for moving [] were very were not given in good faith.
- **f.** Reasons for objecting: Findings: The reasons for objecting to the move [] were [] were not given in good faith.

Children: Findings: Allowing or preventing the move would affect the children's physical, educational, and emotional development, considering their age, developmental stage, and needs (including any special needs) as follows:
If move is allowed:
If move is not allowed:
IT THOVE IS THAT Allowed.
Quality of life: The quality of life, resources, and opportunities available to the children and the relocating person in the current location and in the new location.
Findings:
Other arrangements: Other arrangements available to foster and continue the
objecting person's relationship and contact with the children.
Findings: Dad is flexible and willing to co-pure E work with schedule
Alternatives: Alternatives to the planned move, and whether it is possible or desirable for the objecting person to move too.
Findings:
Financial: The financial impact and logistics of moving or not moving (for example,
the timing, cost, and how the move would happen).

6.	Changes to parenting/custody order
	[] No Change – The parenting/custody order should not change because:
	[] no one asked to change the parenting/custody order.
	[] the court is not allowing the children to move, and the person who asked to move with the children has decided not to move without the children.
	[] the requested changes are not in the children's best interest.
	Change – There are valid reasons to change the parenting/custody order because:
	[] the court is allowing the children to move and the changes are in the children's best interest considering the move. The new Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule does not change the person with whom the children live most of the time.
	the court is not allowing the children to move and the person who asked to move with the children is moving without the children. The new <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> changes the person with whom the children live most of the time.
	[] Other findings (specify):
7.	Child Support
	[] Does not apply.
	[Changing the Child Support Order is necessary because of the move and/or the change in the person with whom the children live most of the time.
	[] Other findings:
8.	Protection Order
	No one requested an Order for Protection in this case.
	[] Approved – The request for an <i>Order for Protection</i> is approved. The <i>Order for Protection</i> is filed separately.
	[] Denied – The request for an <i>Order for Protection</i> is denied. The <i>Denial Order</i> is filed separately.
	[] Renewed/Changed – The existing Order for Protection filed in or combined with this case is renewed or changed as described in the following order, filed separately (check one):
	[] Order on Renewal of Order for Protection
	[] Order Modifying/Terminating Order for Protection
	[] Other findings:
9.	Restraining Order
J.	
	No one requested a Restraining Order in this case.

	is filed separately.
	[] Denied – The request for a <i>Restraining Order</i> is denied.
	[] Other findings:
10.	Fees and Costs
	Each person should pay his/her own fees and costs.
	[] (Name): incurred fees and costs, and needs help to pay those fees and costs. (Name): has the ability to help pay fees and costs and should be ordered to pay the amount as listed in the Money Judgment in section 13 below. The court finds that the amount ordered is reasonable.
	[] Fees for a guardian ad litem (GAL) or other court-appointed professional should be paid as listed in the Money Judgment in section 13 below. The court has considered relevant factors including each person's ability to pay, and finds the fees as ordered are reasonable.
11.	Other findings, if any
Cou	Other findings, if any urt Orders
Cou	Other findings, if any
Cou	Other findings, if any art Orders Decision Move with children
Cou	Other findings, if any Int Orders Decision Move with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name):
Cou	Other findings, if any Int Orders Decision Move with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested.
Cou	Other findings, if any Int Orders Decision Move with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested. Parenting/custody order
Cou	Other findings, if any Int Orders Decision Move with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested.
Cou	Other findings, if any Int Orders Decision Move with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested. Parenting/custody order [] No Change – The current parenting/custody order remains in effect. Change – The court signed the new Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule filed
Cou	Other findings, if any Int Orders Decision Move with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested. Parenting/custody order [] No Change – The current parenting/custody order remains in effect. Change – The court signed the new Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule filed separately today or on (date):
Cou	Other findings, if any Int Orders Decision Move with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested. Parenting/custody order [] No Change – The current parenting/custody order remains in effect. [] Change – The court signed the new Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule filed separately today or on (date): Child Support
Cou	Other findings, if any Int Orders Decision Move with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested. Parenting/custody order [] No Change – The current parenting/custody order remains in effect. Change – The court signed the new Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule filed separately today or on (date): Child Support [] No Change – The current child support order remains in effect. Change – The court signed the new Child Support Order and Worksheets filed

and 9 above today or on 13. Money Judgment (summariz				
[] No money judgment is order	red.			
[] The court orders a money ju		and costs as follow	/s:	
Judgment for	Debtor's name (person who must pay money)	Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest
[] Guardian ad litem (GAL) fees			\$	\$
[] Lawyer fees			\$	\$
[] Court costs			\$	\$
[] Other fees and expenses (specify):			\$	\$
The interest rate is 12% un				and the control of th
[] The interest rate is	% because (expla		# 80:	
Ondonod			Bulery	
Ordered.)		\$4 . The (* The	
encing relativement reserving	Judge or Commiss	sioner	Maler 9	
Mile Inc. or superiormore onto appoint			Model 2	
Date Petitioner and Respondent or th This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without no	eir lawyers fill of the control of t	out below: ocument (check all agreement of the pesented by me be signed by the co	parties ourt without	notice to me
Date Petitioner and Respondent or th This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me	eir lawyers fill of the control of t	out below: ocument (check all agreement of the pesented by me be signed by the co	parties ourt without	notice to me
Date Petitioner and Respondent or th This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without no	eir lawyers fill of the control of t	out below: ocument (check all agreement of the pesented by me be signed by the co	parties ourt without	notice to me
Date Petitioner and Respondent or th This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without no Petitioner signs here or lawyer signs here + M	tice to me Date This do is proving the proving the proving	out below: ocument (check all agreement of the pesented by me be signed by the co	parties purt without in a signs here recently that appliparties	notice to me e + WSBA # Date ly):
Date Petitioner and Respondent or th This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without no Petitioner signs here or lawyer signs here + M Print Name This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me	tice to me Date This do is proving the proving the proving	court below: cournent (check all agreement of the pesented by me be signed by the court of the pesented by the court of the pesented by me	parties purt without in a signs here recently that appliparties	notice to me e + WSBA # Date ly):
Date Petitioner and Respondent or th This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without no Petitioner signs here or lawyer signs here + M Print Name This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me	tice to me This do is an is protection This do is protection This do Print Nai	court below: cournent (check all agreement of the pesented by me be signed by the court of the pesented by the court of the pesented by me	parties purt without proper signs here parties purt without parties purt without parties	Date ly):
Date Petitioner and Respondent or th This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without no Petitioner signs here or lawyer signs here + M Print Name This document (check any that apply) [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without no	tice to me This do is an is protection This do is protection This do Print Nai	court below: cournent (check are seented by me be signed by the court of the property of the property of the property of lawyer signs here or lawyer signs here or lawyer signs here	parties purt without proper signs here parties purt without parties purt without parties	Date ly):