22

23

24

25

FILED

DEC 20 2019

Timothy W. Fitzgerald SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

SN: 352 PC: 7

CN: 201703018170

Superior Court of Washington, County of SPOKANE

SIRINYA SURINA

In re the Marriage of:

Petitioner.

No. 17-3-01817-0

And

AARON MICHAEL SURINA

Respondent.

Findings and Conclusions about a Marriage (FNFCL)

Findings and Conclusions about a Marriage

1. Basis for findings and conclusions

A contested trial was conducted with witness testimony and submitted exhibits by both parties before Judge Michael Price, Spokane County Superior Court, Department 5, from August 12, 2019 through August 14, 2019. Written closing arguments were submitted to the court on August 23, 2019. The Court made its oral ruling on the record November 27, 2019. The following people were present at trial and at the oral ruling of the court:

Petitioner: Sirinya Surina

Petitioner's Lawyer: Keith A. Glanzer

Respondent: Aaron M. Surina

Various Witnesses at trial, including Karmen Colby, Respondent's sister, who was

present when the court made its oral ruling.

The court's oral ruling has been reduced to writing. The verbatim transcript of the court's oral ruling filed berein is included in the court's findings and conclusions.

The court's verbating transcript (hereinafter (VBT") shall be part of the permanent record and shall be ineluded as Rindings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in all future judicial rulings. Conflicts, if any, between the verbatim transcript and the written findings, decree, child support order or parenting plan shall be resolved in favor of the verbatim transcript.

CR 52; RCW 26.09.030; .070(3) Mandatory Form (05/16, rev. 4/25/16) FL Divorce 231 Findings and Conclusions about a Marriage p. 1 of 6

KEITH A. GLANZER, P.S. 2024 W. Northwest Blvd. Spokane, WA 99205

Telephone: 509-326-4526 Facsimile: 509-24-0405

CR 52; RCW 26.09.030; .070(3) Mandatory Form (05/16, rev. 4/25/16) FL Divorce 231

Findings and Conclusions about a Marriage p. 2 of 6

KEITH A. GLANZER, P.S. 2024 W. Northwest Blvd. Spokane, WA 99205 Telephone: 509-326-4526 Facsimile: 509-24-0405

1 9. **Community Personal Property** 2 The spouses' community personal property is listed in Exhibit C. This Exhibit is attached and made part of these Findings. 3 Conclusion: The division of community personal property described in the final order is 4 fair (just and equitable). 5 10. Separate Personal Property The separate personal property has already been divided fairly between the spouses. Each 6 spouse should keep any separate property that s/he now has or controls. 7 11. Community Debt 8 The community debt has already been divided fairly between spouses. **Conclusion:** The division of community debt described in the final order is just and equitable. 9 12. Separate Debt The separate debt has already been divided fairly between the spouses. 11 Conclusion: The division of separate debt described in the final order is just and equitable. 12 13 **Spousal Support** Spousal support was requested. Further findings regarding Spousal support are found in 13 the VBT of the court's oral ruling as noted in Section 1 above. 14 Conclusion: Spousal support should not be ordered because: as noted in VBT abnoted in Section 1 above: 15 awyer Fees and Costs 16 Each party should pay his/her own fees or costs. Further findings regarding lawyer fees 17 and costs are found in the VBT of the count's oral ruling as noted in Section 1 above. 15. **Protection Order** Sighya Surina requested an Order for Protection in this case. 19 Conclusion: The court should approve an Order for Rrotection because of 20 RCW-26,09.191 as noted in Section 16-betow. 21 16. **Restraining Order** 22 The Petitioner requested a Restraining Order. Conclusion: The court should approve a Restraining Order because: Respondent, 23 Mr. Surina, has repeatedly engaged in abusive use of conflict with the Petitioner and has consistently involved the minor children in the conflicts, which is then contrary to the 24 children's best interest and emotional health. 25 CR 52; RCW 26.09.030; .070(3) Findings and Conclusions **KEITH A. GLANZER, P.S.** Mandatory Form (05/16, rev. 4/25/16)

about a Marriage

p. 3 of 6

FL Divorce 231

2024 W. Northwest Blvd.

Spokane, WA 99205 Telephone: 509-326-4526 Facsimile: 509-24-0405

17. Pregnancy

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Neither spouse is pregnant.

18. Children of the marriage

The spouses have the following children together who are still dependent:

| Children's names | <u>Ag</u> 7 | |
|-------------------------|----------------|--|
| 1. David Michael Surina | | |
| 2. Andrew Alex Surina | 3 | |

19. Jurisdiction over the children (RCW 26.27.201 – .221, .231, .261, .271)

The court can approve a Parenting Plan for the children the spouses have together because:

Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction – A Washington court has already made a custody order or parenting plan for the children, and the court still has authority to make other orders for David Michael Surina, Andrew Alex Surina.

Home state jurisdiction - Washington is the children's home state because :

David Michael Surina, Andrew Alex Surina lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent for at least the 6 months just before this case was filed, or if the children are less than 6 months old when the case was filed, they have lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent since birth.

20. Parenting Plan

The court signed the final Parenting Plan filed separately today.

21. Child Support

The dependent children should be supported according to state law.

The court signed the final Child Support Order and Worksheets filed separately today.

22. Other Findings or Conclusions

Vexatious Litigator

Petitioner asked the court to find that Respondent is a vexatious litigator and to prevent him from filing court actions without leave of the court. Petitioner testified, presented witness testimony and entered exhibits to the court as evidence to support her claims. Petitioner further submitted briefing including case law about the subject. The court considered all of the above but finds the evidence unpersuasive and denies Petitioner's request

However, the court finds that all future disputes between the parties should be brought before it in Spokane County Superior Court, Department 5 on its motion calendar.

The court's further findings regarding vexatious litigation are found in the previously noted verbatim transcript and are included here as noted Section 1 above.

CR 52; RCW 26.09.030; .070(3) Mandatory Form (05/16, rev. 4/25/16) FL Divorce 231 Findings and Conclusions about a Marriage p. 4 of 6

KEITH A. GLANZER, P.S. 2024 W. Northwest Blvd. Spokane, WA 99205

Telephone: 509-326-4526 Facsimile: 509-24-0405

Community Waste

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

Petitioner argued that Respondent committed waste of community property by delaying the court ordered sale of the community residence and refusing to file an insurance claim for the damaged roof of the residence. Petitioner testified presented witness testimeny and entered exhibits which were admitted as evidence about Respondent's waste of community property idealing over \$11,000. Petitioner further submitted briefing including case law about the subject. The court considered all of the above but finds the evidence unpersuasive and denies Petitioner's request.

The court's further findings regarding community waste are found in the previously noted verbating transcript and are included here as noted Section 1 above.

Community Property

Petitioner asked the court to find that the family residence was community property and therefore the proceeds from the sale of the property should be characterized as community property and divided equitably. Respondent disputed that the family residence was community property. Petitioner testified, presented witness testiment and effered exhibits which were admitted by the court as evidence of the community property nature of the family residence. The court considered all the evidence of the parties and was persuaded by evidence of the community property nature of the family residence and finds that it is community property and the division of the property in the decree is just and equitable.

The counts further findings regarding the community preperty nature of the family residence are found in the previously noted verbation transcript and are included here as noted Section 1 above.

Thailand Property

Respondent asked the court to find that the parties owned community property in Thailand. Respondent offered exhibits admitted by the court as evidence about property owned by the parties in Thailand. Petitioner offered exhibits admitted by the court which countered Respondent's exhibits regarding community property located in Thailand. The court found the Respondent's exhibits unpersuasive and found that the court could not divide up property the community does not possess.

The court's further findings regarding Thailand community property are found in the previously floted verbatim transcript and are included here as noted Section 1-above.

CR 52; RCW 26.09.030; .070(3) Mandatory Form (05/16, rev. 4/25/16) FL Divorce 231 Findings and Conclusions about a Marriage p. 5 of 6

KEITH A. GLANZER, P.S. 2024 W. Northwest Blvd. Spokane, WA 99205

Telephone: 509-326-4526 Facsimile: 509-24-0405

pel

.

17 N

20

21

19

22 23

25

24

| 1 | | | |
|----------|---|---|----|
| 2 | Date 122849 | JUDGE MICHAEL PRICE | |
| 3 | Build | SOURCE PROL | |
| 4 | Presented by: | Approved for entry: | |
| 5 | VaulaW | ese dentes | |
| 6 | STATULOUS | to perope side. | |
| 7 | KEITH A. GLANZER, WSBA No. 204 Attorney for Petitioner | Aaron M. Surina, Self-represented Respondent | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 44 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | CR 52; RCW 26.09.030; .070(3) | Findings and Conclusions KEITH A. GLANZER. P. | S. |

CR 52; RCW 26.09.030; .070(3) Mandatory Form (05/16, rev. 4/25/16) FL Divorce 231

Findings and Conclusions about a Marriage p. 6 of 6

KEITH A. GLANZER, P.S 2024 W. Northwest Blvd. Spokane, WA 99205 Telephone: 509-326-4526 Facsimile: 509-24-0405

Exhibit C

Surina Community Property

| Entertainment Center | \$50.00 |
|--|-------------|
| King Size Bed & Dresser | \$500.00 |
| Couch & Love Seat | \$250.00 |
| 2 Twin Beds | \$150.00 |
| Queen Bed | \$150.00 |
| Dresser | \$50.00 |
| Office Desk | \$100.00 |
| 2 Small Freezers | \$100.00 |
| Kitchen Utensils | \$100.00 |
| Snowblower | \$50.00 |
| Lawn Mower | \$30.00 |
| King Size Bed | \$150.00 |
| Dining Table & Chairs | \$150.00 |
| 2014 Hyundai Santa Fe Sport; Vin: 5XYZUDLB8EG204207; Plate #:ASG9736 | \$14,875.00 |
| 3 Guns | \$1,500.00 |
| Various Kitchen Utensils | \$100.00 |
| 2- TVs | \$200.00 |
| Tools and Toolbox | \$1,500.00 |
| Computer | \$300.00 |
| 2003 Ford Expedition | \$4,625.00 |
| 2001 BMW 7 Series | \$3,300.00 |
| Motorcycle | \$3,500 |
| Proceeds from sale of community property residence | \$57,527.32 |
| PH&S Retirement Program 9-30-17 | \$23,191.76 |