

FILED

2019 AUG 28 P 4: 29

CN: 201703018170

SN: 332

PC: 91

JOEY W. FITZGERALD
KANE COUNTY CLERK

Superior Court of Washington, County of Spokane

In re: Surina Marriage

Petitioner: Sirinya Polarj

No. 17-3-01817-0

Closing Arguments + Exhibits

And Respondent:

(Aaron Surina + AAS & DMS):

Aaron Surina

(Closing Argument)

Respondent's Closing Arguments + Exhibits

1. I am 42 years old and I am the Respondent and father of David and Andrew Surina.
2. I declare: **The attached closing arguments with exhibits are submitted in good faith and are true and correct copies of the material submitted.**

Introduction:

The Respondent, Aaron Surina, has presented to the court a variety of undisputed evidence that he was actively pursuing an **AMICABLE DIVORCE** and Stability for the Petitioner, the Respondent and their children only to be met with intransigent responses and vexatious litigation for the next 2 years.

- 1) The Respondent was pursuing purchase of 1 or 2 more houses using the equity and or rental income of the Family Primary Residence in order to support 2 homes for the family as divorce was imminent. SEE Exhibit R-107 pg 7
The Petitioner knew this was the intention and actions of Respondent for our family.
- 2) Respondent was approved for real estate loan August 11, 2017.
- 3) Petitioner Filed TRO and Dissolution August 14, 2017 after learning I had contacted a lawyer through spy software placed on my cell phone.
- 4) The Respondent offered a 50/50 parenting plan, October 2, 2017, less than 2 months from the filing of the dissolution. This was in compliance as ordered by the court (around 9/27) specifically for the parties to come up with a parenting plan within 7 days while the parties attorney's had specific duties to the court.

PLEASE SEE RESPONDENT EXHIBIT R-108 pg. 3, 4, 5

"For the well being of the children.. ", "We both can work together for whatever the children need.", "Let's communicate and work together", "Can we make a schedule that is good for our children?", "And also allows us as parents to be the

best we can be for our children?” “I think this schedule is the most fair and reasonable that we can do for our children and each other.” “I want to help you ...” “I hope we can be flexible to work together.” “Let’s be the best parents we can be for the sake of our children.”

- 5) This 50/50 parenting plan for the best interests of the children and the parents was responded to by the Petitioner with The filing a motion of Contempt against the Respondent - “Settlement offer against order?!” Resulting in a Restraining Order that the Petitioner and Respondent could no longer communicate except about the children in writing. **Vexatious Litigant**
- 6) The Respondent initiated Mediation with Fulcrum Institute Dispute Resolution. Fulcrum Institute contacted Sirinya Polarij (Surina) to begin the mediation process. Petitioner responded to Fulcrum that - if Fulcrum “were to call back she would ‘consider it harassment so stop’.” **intransigence**
See Email dated 10/4/2017 from Fulcrum Institute EXHIBIT R-108 pg 6
- 7) By the actions of Aaron Surina, the Respondent - this Dissolution would have been completed in the 90 Days Minimum by Washington State Standards and the Community would be stable and sustainable with 50/50 parenting.
- 8) The actions by the Petitioner have dissolved the Respondents original hope with the true reality that the Petitioners intent and actions are not amicable, nor are

the Petitioners actions or intent to continue sharing and co-parenting the children.

9) The Respondent has continued to offer generous financial settlement offers and fair parenting plans to the Petitioner and all have been met with **intransigence**.

10) Petitioners arranged mediation was an impasse with Petitioner refusing any negotiation.

11) **The Petitioners Intransigence** has continued to cause compounding community waste, gross financial loss, **excessive and vexatious litigation** and outrageous emotional duress for the children, the Respondent and the Respondents Family.

The Petitioner, Sirinya Polarj (Surina) sought advisors and counsel to gain full control of my two sons first and foremost and ALL Marital Assets, ALL Property, ALL Profits, Respondents Wages Current and Future, Respondents Life time of Personal Property, Both parties Marital Property Settlement/Agreement by a shock and awe campaign of **vexatious litigation and intransigent attitude at every attempt made to settle or compromise..**

Evidence shows the Petitioner's Intent was to abduct our children.

The evidence before the court shows the Petitioner's Intentions.

I believe that the Petitioner has caused Gross Financial Loss, Excessive Community Waste and damage to our children and others.

Below I will present some of the evidence before the court that supports my understanding of the Petitioner's Intent.

The Petitioner will argue that the Respondent has been vexatious and is intransigent.

- The Respondent has stood firm on the truth and the best interests of the children.
- The Respondents "story" has never changed - it is the truth.
- Unchangeable from truth and obeying the law is not intransigent.
- Not submitting to agree to or participate with lies, fraud and criminal actions is not intransigent.
- Filing requests that the truth be recognized, that the established law be upheld and applied and that corrections are made to gross financial errors - is not vexatious.
- Filing motions to protect my children is not vexatious.
- Not filing a single motion for 17 months is not intransigent.
- Refusing to participate in misrepresentations on real property transactions is not intransigent.
- Reporting excessive injuries to my children is RIGHT.

- The Respondent has NOT been consistently filing for money, judgements, attorneys fees or taking any money from the Petitioner.
- The Petitioner has continued to request and obtain Judgements, CR-11 Sanctions without any inquiry by counsel, Contempt filings for money and pursuits of obtaining profit, property and assets from the Respondent - for 2 years which decimated the respondent's entire life of accumulating and work before a trial of facts has even took place.
- The Petitioners 'story' has changed throughout this entire case with evidence such as not speaking nor understanding English to reading and speaking English better than the court provided interpreters in trial.
- The Petitioners 'story' has changed from her signed declarations that were written by others as admitted and declared by Keith Glanzer and Carl Wilson, to her oral testimony in trial telling a different story.
- The Petitioners story has changed from being the Primary Caretaker doing all of the school and medical decisions for the children in written and signed declarations to admitting in oral testimony in trial - the truth that the Respondent was the Primary Caretaker of the children including medical and school.
- The Petitioner's story has changed from being abused to being the abuser.
- The Petitioner's story has changed from signed declarations being afraid Aaron would kill her to the picture proof of enjoying days at Silverwood together, 2 years apart, May 2018 and May 2019 - during this dissolution process.

- The Petitioner's story changed from real life events captured in pictures to a made up story of the day filed in court declaration.

SEE EXHIBIT R-119, pg 5-18

The Petitioners Declarations throughout this entire case have been mostly contradicted by the Petitioners Oral Testimony in Trial, August 2019 and evidence before the court.

The Petitioner's initial and continued outrageous claims in this case created an environment of shock which caused no one to listen to, hear, nor even consider proof submitted by the Respondent. The Respondent was viewed with a Prejudice, created by lies, perjury and fraud which was presented to the court for the Petitioner by an Officer of the Court. The entire case reveals the contradictions of the Petitioners claims, it reveals the truth when looked at in full context and it reveals the Petitioners intentions.

I, Aaron Surina, the Respondent, DENY any and all Allegations of Abuse, Abandonment, Mis-Treatment, cutting off of money, intent to leave penniless, and the pages of false allegations filed by the Petitioner, Sirinya Polarj (Surina) against me. These allegations by the Petitioner are FALSE. There is no evidence of the Petitioners allegations and evidence before the court proves otherwise.

Court Actions of the Petitioner regarding the children:

September 2017 - Petitioner filed with the court to deny 5 year old from full day Kindergarten. SEE CASE RECORD SN:66 PC:28 p2, section 5 line 8-12.

The mother had not been involved in the children's schooling decisions, nor communication with the school, nor meetings with the school staff regarding best decisions for academic, developmental and social success of the child. Aaron Surina had met with the school staff regularly and they had planned for DMS to attend full day Kindergarten as it would be beneficial for the child. Full Day Kindergarten Tuition was being paid. Aaron Surina had communicated with the Petitioner prior to the Dissolution filing regarding DMS attending full day Kindergarten.

SEE Case File SN:28 PC:4

The court sided with the Petitioner and kept DMS from full day Kindergarten.

The petitioner confirmed in trial testimony that the Respondent, the Father, Aaron Surina was the Primary Caretaker, including all school matters for the children.

October 2017 - The Petitioner pursued removing the Father from being able to participate in the children's school or go on school property.

SEE EXHIBIT R-109 pg 24 and 25

Court Events October and November 2017

The Petitioner filed a Restraining Order, not court signed, as an attached Exhibit by the Petitioner. See Court Record: SN:66 PC:28 Page - 18, 19, 20

The Petitioner shared said fake Restraining Order with the school as though it was real.

August -September 2017 forward - Petitioner stopped the child from going to counselling in August 2017 with the filing of the TRO and Dissolution.

SEE EXHIBIT R-107 pg 6.

Petitioner continued through court proceedings, argument and refusal to stop 5 year old from Counseling. The court proceeded to order for the 5 year old to be in counselling. The petitioner effectively kept the child from counselling until December 20, 2017 and then effectively kept the child from counselling from early 2018 forward to current.

October - November 2017 - Petitioner filed with the court to remove the Father from Medical Decisions for the children, after the father reported abuse of the children by means of bringing 1 year old at the time, AAS to Sacred Heart Medical Center. The 5 year old said Mom just punched AAS 1 year old in the face. This was after picking up 1 year old with repeat and multiple facial bruises, injuries and black eyes in the previous weeks. Petitioner claimed in those court filings to have been fully involved and responsible for the Children's Medical, including her bringing them to their doctor visits prior to August 14, 2017 dissolution. This was perjury and the petitioner confirmed the truth in Petitioners trial testimony that the Father, Aaron Surina was the Primary Caretaker, including all Medical, educational and planning and appointments.

SEE Exhibit R-107 pg 10 - Email from Petitioner to Respondent for Respondent handling medical care of the children.

On August 14, 2019, the petitioner's testimony confirmed that she has punched the respondent on numerous occasions. **SEE Exhibit R-106 pg 4**, Respondent, Aaron, Email of "my requests" dated September 16, 2014 asking Sirinya to "2) Do not punch anybody. 3) Do not scream in front of (children)" **SEE Exhibit R-107** injuries to Respondent, Aaron, by Petitioner Sirinya

ERRONEOUS JUDGEMENTS:

"To be enforceable by contempt proceedings, there must be a definite and unconditional order to pay alimony as such"

In March of 2018, the court ruled that they did not order support and as such these judgements obtained through frivolous contempt proceedings with regards to my "refusal" to pay obligated "community expenses" where I was not a member of said community are void. I am asking for all void judgements to be placed upon those who sought to collect an unlawful debt from me including assigning costs to a 3rd party as outlined in RCW statutes.

The contempt proceedings were indeed the court affirming it's order of spousal support and as such also indicate that clearly the calculations which were amended in Feb of 2018 were submitted in good faith, were accurate to the best of my knowledge and were completed by a title 26 certified public accountant. The contempt proceedings were generally about my inability to pay everything the court had ordered. Furthermore, the statutes protect workers in a healthcare facility mandating that no worker is to be forced to work overtime under any circumstance. This is unambiguous.

Spousal Support:

August 17, 2017 - Present August 2019

Financial Worksheets have been figured with excessive gross income, above actual
FACT. SEE RESPONDENT EXHIBIT 102

Financial Worksheets used the term "Community Expenses" instead of Maintenance.

"Community Expenses" did NOT include ANY Living Expenses for Respondent.

"Community Expenses" were ONLY the Petitioners Living Expenses, covering all of her housing, utilities, car, insurance, etc.

Financial Worsheets dispursed ALL of the Respondents Income to Petitioner via

"community expenses" and "child support", disbursing approximately 90% to Petitioner and only 10% of Respondents TOTAL income for his living expenses, including the expenses to go to work.

Respondent has been supported by his family through this time of 24 months.

Spousal Support Paid in Full and in outrageous Excess of any standard outlined in statutes.

Financial Worksheets disbursed ALL of the Respondents Income to Petitioner via spousal support which the order actually demands participate in tax fraud, defrauding the federal government of tax owed. This was done by renaming "spousal support" or "providing a gift or service to or for an ex spouse" into a non-deductable unlegislated term "community expenses" and "child support", disbursing approximately 90% to Petitioner and only 10% of Respondents TOTAL income for his living expenses, including the expenses to go to work.

Respondent has been supported by his family through this time of 24 months. Any reasonable person would conclude that Spousal Support Paid in Full and in Excess

Child Support:

At around 5pm on August 14, 2017 the "deadbeat" role was assigned to me without any due process and child support began to accumulate when I was never provided an opportunity to inform the state that my child is not dependent on the state and I have been the sole provider for my children their entire lives.

I have overpaid child support around 34,000.00 and am asking for the recovery of this money.

See Respondent Exhibit 102 PG1-4 for support ordered and calculated according to the state child support standard calculations.

The State, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, George W. Gagnon III presenting to the court November 8, 2017, **PA# D-5671G**, Motion and Order Amending Temporary Child Support Order - Beginning Child Support - August 15, 2017 as I had never abandoned

my children and did not leave my property willingly. Furthermore, I respectfully provide notice of 42 U.S.C 1301(d) exception; formal objection to assignment of child welfare services.

There is no dependent child in need of any support order or welfare services and the enrollment was under fraudulent circumstances. I have paid in excess to the support registry as ordered and i am seeking recovery as this overpayment was made due to the court's error in calculating and in reviewing an amendment when I brought it to the court's attention again.

I have never abandoned my children and furthermore my rights were violated when I was not afforded due process to take control of my child and ensure they do not remain dependent. The petitioner stated that I had abandoned the children in her pleadings filed initially which appears to be in pursuit of TANF money which was also taken from me without any validation or inquiry into the truth of the petitioner's claims or a phone call to verify my children were not dependent. My contact information is published all over the internet.

My authority for such objection is found under section 1101(d) of Title XI of the Federal Social Security Act ("SSA") of 1935.

The language used in this Title XI general provision applies to all aspects of the SSA, and is free of all ambiguity; It means what it says. There is no disputing that DSHS contracting through the Spokane County Commissioners and it's Support and Collections staff is currently carrying out provisions of Title IV of the Social Security Act on behalf of my child, which must immediately cease and desist, because of an unauthorized assignment of rights.

As the fit parent, I object to all Title IV SSA services. This congressionally enacted federal right, which applies to me in this case, states:

42 U.S.C. 1301 (d): “Nothing in this act shall be construed as authorizing any federal official, agent, or representative, in carrying out any of the provisions of this act, to take charge of any child over the objection of either parents of such child, or of the person standing in loco parentis to such child.”

The United States Constitution also clarifies my right to this federal provision, stating: 14th Amendment, Section 1: “No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States.” The needs of my children are being met without the need for government assistance. There has been no determination that my children are in need or “dependent” on public welfare cash payouts, or at risk of being “dependent,” as defined in this act.


There is no public assistance required for my children in this case. Therefore, the county has no legal standing in this matter. According to the United States Constitution, 9th Amendment, as a fit parent, I also have a liberty interest to parent my child in the manner I choose, provided there is no clear and convincing evidence of abuse, neglect, harm or endangerment. No such evidence has ever been produced or obtained in my case. No such charges have been filed in my case.

The IV-D program is a federally funded program, requiring Washington to comply with all federal regulations related to the program. Washington DHS is acting as an agent of the federal government for the implementation of the IV-D child support program, pursuant to:

Child Support has been paid in the amount of \$35,136.00. (August 15, 2017 - August 15, 2019)

- The court erred when it refused correction upon motion to apply the state standard calculations prepared by a Title 26 CPA, submitted Feb 9, 2018.
- Petitioner knew she was receiving everything and that the Respondent was "homeless", living at his sisters as admitted in trial.
- Petitioner knew how to cause her financial gain and the financial disparity between the Petitioner and the Respondent - as she expressed that she would do this, prior to her filing of the Dissolution and TRO August 14, 2017.
- Respondent provided correct and conservative child support worksheets in his exhibit representing the disparity calculated by the accountant, which also gives evidence of the fraud in the motions or contempt on unpaid bills. The court erred when it found Respondent in contempt on the grounds that the court found no maintenance or support was ordered on March 6, 2018.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that this plan was proposed in good faith and that the information is true and correct. Signed in spokane, WA on August 28, 2019


Aaron Surina

Supplemental

Objections and outline of differences in Parenting:

Witness - Linda Wirtz - expert testimony clarified that the AAPI-2 (Adult-Adolescent Parenting Inventory) test is the industry standard for assessing parenting and is extremely accurate. Linda Wirtz confirmed that Aaron Surina's results of AAPI-2 were those that indicate low risk and indicate thorough Linda Wirtz confirmed that the mother has not scheduled any exams or received any services with her.

Aaron Surina's scores from Linda Wirtz report: indicated low risk in all parenting constructs - understanding of their child's developmental capabilities (8), empathy (10), discipline practices (10), parent child family roles (10), and children's power and independence (10) (SEE EXHIBIT R-124 and P-15)

There are ZERO claims of, nor is there any abuse of the children from their Father, Aaron Surina, Respondent. Please see only a small fraction of the children's life with their father. Respondent Exhibit R-121 - Photos

Please see the multiple Declarations that have been filed regarding parenting by the Respondent including Declarations by Neighbor, Close Friends and Family, Teacher. Filed Contemporaneously 09/15/2017

Permanent Parenting Plan Request by Respondent, Father, Aaron Surina:

Based on the evidence provided to the court as listed above -

- 1) Mr. Surina requests SOLE DECISION MAKING for SCHOOL: DMS and AAS**
- 2) Mr. Surina requests SOLE DECISION MAKING for HEALTHCARE: DMS and AAS**
- 3) Mr. Surina requests a minimum of Primary Placement (see detail below)**

As the father of the children, DMS (7 years old male) and AAS (3 year old male), my request to the court is a 3 tiered request for a Permanent Parenting Plan.

- 1) Mr. Surina requests the court to consider the Kingdom of Thailand Final Custody Order given once the divorce decree was issued in October of 2018.**

Respondent Exhibit R-122 Thailand Final Custody Decree, Case Number 19-3-00129-32 RE: Registration of Final Custody Decree and on record in case no. 17-3-01817-0 included in Respondent Trial Notebook - all of which is offered into evidence.

The Kingdom of Thailand made their decision with full knowledge of her criminal history and understanding of the mother, her upbringing, , Sirinya Polarj, a Thailand Citizen. They made their decision with a full understanding of her relationship with the children and with the children's father. I believe that the The Kingdom of Thailand understands the social and familial constructs and made their decision in the best interest of the children.

The Kingdom of Thailand Final Divorce and Child Custody Decree states, "The Court therefore renders the order for the plaintiff (Aaron Surina) and the defendant (Sirinya Polarj) to divorce and for the plaintiff (Aaron Surina) to have sole custody over the minors, Master David Michael Surina and Master Andrew Alex Surina but does not disqualify the defendant from visiting the children as appropriate."

Furthermore, they specified, "Master David Michael Surina and Master Andrew Alex Surina to be in the sole guardianship of the plaintiff (Aaron Surina)."

Video and photo evidence of physical abuse from Sirinya Polarj (Surina) was provided to The Court of The Kingdom of Thailand as well as her actions in this United States, Spokane County Case 17-3-01817-0. Included in the Kingdom of Thailand Final Divorce Decree and Final Custody Order, you will find their findings and decisions.

It is my hope to facilitate healthy relationship opportunities for my children and their mother. It is my hope that Sirinya Polarj will seek to participate in parenting classes, evaluations and positive parenting.

2)

If the court can not Order a Final Permanent Parenting Plan matching what The Kingdom of Thailand ordered for the children, which are dual citizens of both countries, Mr. Surina requests a Court Ordered Plan that will protect my children from physical, emotional and psychological abuse by their mother.

Mr. Surina requests that the children have Supervised Visits with their mother. Mr. Surina requests the mothers visits with the children be with Court Ordered Professionals that can observe interactions and that can also facilitate healthy relationships to grow between the children and their mother.

3) It is my hope for my children that their mother would pursue steps that would allow healthy relationships. With that, I would propose a progressive move towards the 50/50 parenting plan submitted

I request the court to consider the schedule details of the Parenting Plan I submitted as R-104. This parenting plan allows for the children to have stability in their weekly schedule including stability in their school schedule with parents assisting with homework. It takes into consideration the children's real lives, relationships, birthday's, holiday traditions, etc. It considers all parties to the children, Mother, Father and extended family, in celebrating all holidays. It shares holiday's and allows traditions in the children's lives. It allows for holidays such as Mother's Day and Father's day to be Saturday Night to Monday morning rather than a few hours on the actual date. This

Holiday Schedule was made for the long term best interests of the children AND both parents for establishing a schedule that truly shares the children.

The children's schedule is NOT a change every 48 hours as the Petitioner states.

The schedule suggested is a stable schedule:

Monday Night, Tuesday Night with Mom

Wednesday Night, Thursday Night with Dad

Friday Night (school pick up) through Monday morning school drop off - switch each weekend.

This would allow parent exchanges mostly to be done without the parents as the parents would be picking up and dropping off the children at school when school is in session.

The children would have a set schedule every week for their school schedule and home schedule, weekends would switch. I believe this is a very long term stable schedule for my children living a shared parenting life.

One week at moms, one week at dads, back and forth does not allow stability in areas of childhood development, activities, bonding with neighborhood friends, etc. Children live a life of 'always here and there'.

Dismiss frivolous parenting plan as a desperate attempt to refocus attention from the 191 restrictions which are applicable with regards to the history of this case alone and the facts and findings herein.

I object to the Petitioners Proposed Final Parenting Plan:

The evidence before the court in regards to the children having a fit parent is that which is specifying Aaron Surina as a Fit Parent of the children. The AAPI-2 Assessment and the Final Dissolution and Full Custody Decree by The Kingdom of Thailand both

reference reasons with regards to fitness of Aaron Surina as the parent.

The Petitioner has avoided all parenting evaluations.

Furthermore, there is ZERO evidence of any abuse by the Father.

The Petitioner has admitted in court trial testimony that the Father was the Primary Caretaker of the Children prior to her removing the father with a TRO.

The mother, the Petitioner, Sirinya Polarj (Surina) has used Abusive Use of Conflict as evidenced by this entire case as detailed above with references to the evidence before the court.

The mother, has admitted in court trial, to her perpetrating Domestic Violence against the Respondent. Evidence has been submitted to further confirm her violence.

The children have had many injuries while in the care of the mother that are the same as their father received from their mother before she removed him from the home with abusive use of conflict.

Additionally, the details of the Petitioners Proposed Parenting Plan does not have individual details in the best interest of the children for their already established family traditions, strong family relationships, stability, nor time allowed for their emotional, social and academic development support from their father. The Petitioners Proposed Parenting Plan does not allow for the vital daily example that sons need of their father, nor the time available for a relationship with their father - aside from as a visitor.

The parenting plan does not consider the actual and real lives of the children and their valuable traditions, family events and strong family relationships.

The Respondent, the Father, submitted a Proposed Final Parenting Plan that gives both the mother and the father valuable schedules to establish traditions with all of the Holidays. It causes both parents to have valuable time with each Holiday with traditions every year so that the children can have stability in their lives. It gives the Mother the first half of Holidays and the First Weekend of Birthdays and the Father the weekend after. It provides for split weekends for specific Holidays so that No One is Left Out. The Respondent has taken into consideration the children's best interests and that of both parents as this facilitates healthy relationships for all.

I object to exchanges at Fulcrum Institute as this is not necessary.

A public place, sidewalk, outside of the parent's residence is sufficient.

I request that the only limitations of the parents in co-parenting, is that we do not enter each other's residence without invite in writing via text, email or parenting app.

Emergencies HAVE already happened and reason for assistance does exist.

Blocking effective co-parenting and any possibility of healthy co-parenting is not in the best interests of the children and has already harmed the children.

Outside of this case, both parents have been able to co-parent.

I do HOPE that we can effectively co-parent in the future, after this vexatious case.

Current injuries and abuse of the children with their mother, refusal to co-parent by their mother, parental alienation by the mother, is a hurdle that I hope we can get through with the assistance of the courts.

I plead with the court to please consider many of the details in the Proposed Permanent Parenting Plan that I submitted with this argument. I am editing this to remove Joint Decision Making because the mother has consistently refused to make joint decisions and has pursued removal of The Primary Caretaker and Parent who did all of the Medical and School decisions for the child.

Petitioner has admitted these facts in Trial Testimony. I would like my Primary Caretaker position of my children restored.

I object to: page 1, Section 3 Reasons for putting limitations on a parent (Under RCW 26.09.191)

Petitioner states under -

A. - Domestic Violence: Aaron Surina (or someone living in that parent's home) has a history of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1)

Respondent, Aaron Surina, nor anyone living in his home, nor any of his siblings, parents or blood related family listed anywhere in this case, nor any of the children's Paternal (Father's) relatives have any history of Domestic Violence.

The Petitioner has claimed fear of Aaron because he is big and she is small. Size is not an element of Domestic Violence. There is ZERO evidence, nor any record of Aaron Surina EVER being violent in his lifetime. His entire reputation is that of being a kind, caring, empathy filled person who always helps others.

I object to the Petitioners: page 2, b. Abusive Use of Conflict

The Respondent has responded to the Petitioners Abusive Use of Conflict and Vexatious Litigation. The Respondent's only "intransigence" is that the truth be known to the court, errors be corrected and the best interests of his children be supported.

4. Limitations on a Parent


I object to any infringement or denial of my rights as a fit parent to parent my children.

The supreme court has made various rulings that align with the respondent's position being that Mr. Surina's position is in the best interest's of the children.

"We held in Smith that "parents have a fundamental right to autonomy in child-rearing decisions," In re Smith, 137 Wash. 2d at 13, 969 P.2d 21, and this "liberty" interest is protected as a matter of substantive due process under the Fourteenth Amendment. Id. at 15, 969 P.2d 21. We held state interference with this interest "is justified only if the state can show that it has a compelling interest and such interference is narrowly drawn to meet only the compelling state interest involved." Id. This is the "strict scrutiny" test. AK-WA, Inc. v. Dear, 66 Wash. App. 484, 492, 832 P.2d 877 (1992) (citing Dunn v. Blumstein, 405 U.S. 330, 92 S. Ct. 995, 31 L. Ed. 2d 274 (1972)).

Although Granville really is in regards to 3rd party petitioners "Respondent Granville, the girls' mother, did not oppose all visitation, but objected to the amount sought by the Troxels. The Superior Court ordered more visitation than Granville desired, and she appealed. The State Court of Appeals reversed and dismissed the Troxels' petition. In affirming, the State Supreme Court held, inter alia, that § 26.10.160(3) unconstitutionally infringes on parents' fundamental right to rear their children. Reasoning that the Federal Constitution permits a State to interfere with this right only to prevent harm or potential harm to the child.."

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that this plan was proposed in good faith and that the information is true and correct. Signed in spokane, WA on August 28, 2019


Aaron Surina

Parenting Plan and the Surina children:

I want my children to have a chance in life. My greatest hope is for the safety, wellbeing, happiness and future of my sons. This is evidenced over the past 2 years in this case. I have tirelessly defended my position as a parent, fit and willing to see to the success and defend the future hopes to share in the successes of my children.

In *Patterson v. Patterson*, 51 Wn.2d 162, 316 P.2d 902 (1957), the trial court, in a custody proceeding, made no specific finding as to the unfitness of the mother. There, we said:

". . . In any event, under the circumstances, the failure of the trial court to enter a specific finding negating the fitness of the mother to have the custody of the children is of no legal significance. The trial judge found that the father was a fit and proper person, and that he should have the custody of the children. The record supports these determinations.

The strength of the relationship between the children and the petitioner is a concern with regards to the parenting proposals they have offered.

The relationship between each of the parents is intentionally forced into conflict by the Petitioner and this does not have to be the case.

The nature and reason for either parent's objection to granting the petitioner's parenting plan are simple. There is clear defiance and lack of any intent to foster the

relationship between the respondent and his two sons. This case has proven the Petitioner to be malicious including denying nearly all phone privileges, and demanding that Respondent's sons do not ask to call Dad.

The effect that granting visitation to the petitioner will have on the relationship between the child and the petitioner is something that has yet to be evaluated considering the court's intention to obtain such evaluations.

The residential time sharing arrangements which were court ordered have been deemed not in the best interests of the children. The forced inability to consult and coparent by counsel insisting on no contact and parenting plans unequal between the parents has violated the respondent's well established rights as an equal custodian within RCW 26.16.125 and up to the fundamental liberty interests well established within the supreme court on matters with regards to parental powers.

The good faith of the petitioner is no longer credible with regards to the children. The custodial interference with regards to the denial of veterans day holidays, denial of phone calls when it's "not my time" including up to 27 days a month 13.5 of which I have a right to and have been denied this precious time of my two sons growing up.

The criminal history or history of physical, emotional, or sexual abuse or neglect by the petitioner; and

h) Any other factor relevant to the child's best interest.

FILED

2019 AUG 28 P 4:31

TIMOTHY W. FITZGERALD
SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

Superior Court of Washington, County of Spokane

In re:

SIRINYA POLARJ (SURINA),

Petitioner,

And,

AARON SURINA,

Respondent.

No. 17-3-01817-0

Amended Final Parenting Plan

Parenting Plan

1. This parenting plan is the Final Parenting Plan by a parent Aaron Surina.
It is a signed court order. (FPP)

2. **Children** – This parenting plan is for the following children:

David Surina	7
Andrew Surina	3

3. **Reasons for putting limitations on a parent** (under RCW 26.09.191)

a. Abandonment, neglect, child abuse, domestic violence, assault, or sex offense.

- Findings of child neglect, 2nd degree child assault (F)
- Admission to domestic violence in trial: Petitioner is the perpetrator in previous domestic violence physical assaults/attacks against respondent (some are in front of children)

b. Other problems that may harm the children's best interests.

Does not have strong emotional awareness and lacks bond and attachment to children from not being involved with their care much.

4. Limitations on a parent

There are reasons for mandatory and discretionary types of limitations checked in 3.a. or 3.b. above.

5. Decision-making

When the children are with you, you are responsible for them. You can make day-to-day decisions for the children when they are with you, including decisions about safety and emergency health care. Major decisions must be made as follows.

a. Who can make major decisions about the children?

School / Educational	Aaron Surina - To provide reasonable notice of decisions
Health care (not emergency)	Aaron Surina - To provide reasonable notice of decisions
Religious Upbringing	Aaron Surina - To provide reasonable notice of decisions
Extra curricular Activities*	X

Joint decision for extracurricular only applies if the extracurricular activity will impose a financial burden on the other party or interfere with their regularly scheduled parenting time. If no joint decision-making or dispute resolution occurs, the party making the decision shall bear all the expense of the decision, and the other parent is relieved from taking the child to the activity.

b. Reasons for limits on major decision-making, if any:

Voluntarily unemployed creating a hardship for the children and community. Refusal to co-parent in any manner, refusal to agree to issues requiring resolution in the interests of children. Intent to block all communication with Respondent children outside of 4 nights a month for 2 years while being

6. Dispute Resolution – If you and the other parent disagree...

From time to time, the parents may have disagreements about shared decisions or about what parts of this parenting plan mean.

- a.** To solve disagreements about this parenting plan, the parents will go to mediation with a qualified family law mediator before they may go to court.

If a dispute resolution provider is not named above, or if the named provider is no longer available, the parents may agree on a provider or ask the court to name one.

Important! Unless there is an emergency, the parents must participate in the dispute resolution process listed above in good faith, before going to court. This section does **not** apply to disagreements about money or support.

- b.** If mediation, arbitration, or counseling is required, one parent must notify the other parent by written request/email.

The parents will pay for the mediation, arbitration, or counseling services as follows:

Petitioner- 50%; Respondent- 50%

What to expect in the dispute resolution process:

- Preference shall be given to carrying out the parenting plan.
- If you reach an agreement, it must be put into writing, signed, and both parents must get a copy.
- If the court finds that you have used or frustrated the dispute resolution process without a good reason, the court can order you to pay financial sanctions (penalties) including the other parent's legal fees.
- You may go back to court if the dispute resolution process doesn't solve the disagreement or if you disagree with the arbitrator's decision.

7. Custodian

The custodian is Aaron Surina solely for the purpose of all state and federal statutes which require a designation or determination of custody. Even though one parent is called the custodian, this does not change the parenting rights and responsibilities described in this plan.

Parenting Time Schedule

8. School Schedule

Parenting Plan is the same for both children all year, regardless of school age, school schedule, school attendance, or Season.

- a. Children under School-Age - noted above
- b. School-Age Children - noted above

Straight 50/50 -

Holiday Schedules do not affect 50%/50% computation or figures.

Holiday Schedules take priority over Standard Parenting Schedule.

Parenting Plan is the same for both children all year, regardless of school age, school schedule, school attendance, or Season.

Standard Parenting Schedule Holiday's

Holiday Schedule takes priority over Standard Parenting Schedule.
Holiday Schedule does not affect 50/50 parenting.

School Schedules do not affect the Parenting Schedule.
School Holidays, **School Breaks** (days off of school) follow the Standard Parenting Schedule.
Only listed Holidays and **Special Dates** alter the Standard Parenting Schedule.
If not specified in **Holiday** or **Special Dates Lists**, Standard Parenting Schedule applies.

=====

Standard Parenting Schedule

48 hours (Mom) + 48 hours (Dad) + 72 (Weekend Every Other) = 168 hours
7 Days x 24 hours = 168 hours

Week-Day Time Blocks: Exactly 48 hours
(2 consecutive 24 hour days, 12-noon to 12-noon to 12-noon, 2 nights)

Children with Mom: Block 1 - Monday Noon to Tuesday Noon to Wednesday Noon
(Monday Noon to Wednesday Noon) = 48 hours, 2 Nights (Monday, Tuesday)

Children with Dad: Block 2 - Wednesday Noon to Thursday Noon to Friday Noon
(Wednesday Noon - Friday Noon) = 48 hours, 2 Nights (Wednesday, Thursday)

Children with Mom/Dad Every Other Weekend:
(with existing every other weekend schedule)

Standard Weekend: Friday Noon - Monday Noon
3 - 24 hour periods

72 Hours, 3 Nights (Friday, Saturday, Sunday)
(Friday Noon to Saturday Noon to Sunday Noon to Monday Noon)

Parenting Plan is the same for both children all year, regardless of school age, school schedule, school attendance, or Season.

Time Parent	Schedule	
----------------	----------	--

48 Hours Mom	Monday Noon to Wednesday Noon Begin: Monday Time: 12 Noon End: Wednesday Time: 12 Noon	Every Week
48 Hours Dad	Wednesday Noon to Friday Noon Begin: Wednesday Time: 12 Noon End: Friday Time: 12 Noon	Every Week
72 Hours Mom / Dad Every Other Weekend	Friday Noon to Monday Noon Begin: Friday Time: 12 Noon End: Monday Time: 12 Noon	Every other Weekend following Established Schedule 2017-2019

This schedule will apply immediately.

The child shall reside with the FATHER except for the following days and times when the child shall reside with the Mother:

Standard Parenting Schedule

48 (Mom) + 48 (Dad) + 72 (Weekend Every Other) = 168 hours = 7 Days x 24 = 168

Week-Day Time Blocks: Exactly 48 hours

(2 consecutive 24 hour, 12-noon to 12-noon to 12-noon, 2 nights)

**Children with Mom: Block 1 - Monday Noon to Tuesday Noon to Wednesday Noon
(Monday Noon to Wednesday Noon) = 48 hours, 2 Nights (Monday, Tuesday)**

**Children with Dad: Block 2 - Wednesday Noon to Thursday Noon to Friday Noon
(Wednesday Noon - Friday Noon) = 48 hours, 2 Nights (Wednesday, Thursday)**

Standard Weekend: Friday Noon - Monday Noon

3 - 24 hour periods

72 Hours, 3 Nights (Friday, Saturday, Sunday)

(Friday Noon to Saturday Noon to Sunday Noon to Monday Noon)

Every Other Weekend continuing with existing/current every other weekend schedule.

9. Summer Schedule

Summer begins and ends according to the school calendar.

Standard Parenting Plan and Holiday Schedules Applies.

Same as school schedule, except for the following vacation times:

**** Vacation Reserved** to discuss at least 1 year from the Final Trial Decision ******

This can be addressed with both parents agreements or through mediation.

No extended vacation week will be approved during Holiday Schedules Listed unless agreed to by both parents.

Both parents DO currently have the flexibility to agree on extra days and modified schedules.

Temporary Changes to the Parenting Plan must be communicated and agreed to by both parents in writing via the court agreed parent communication app.

10. Holiday Schedule

This is the Holiday Schedule for all children.

This Schedule is Personalized for the best interest of the children, for their actual lives rather than a court standard and it provides for both parents to establish stability and balance for the children.

It is very detailed with priority order and solutions in case of conflict.

It offers flexibility for the best interests of the children while also stating solid standards and solutions if necessary.

Holiday's

Main Holidays (Priority 1)

Mom gets Mother's Day (2nd Sunday in May)

Saturday 6pm to Monday Noon

Dad gets Father's Day (2nd Sunday in June)

Saturday 6pm to Monday Noon

Memorial, Independence, Labor Days:

• **Memorial and Labor Days -**

Mom Odd Years

Dad Even Years

• **Independence Day**

Dad Odd Years

Mom Even Years

Memorial Day (Last Monday in May)

Day before at Noon, Day of, Day after to Noon

Sunday Noon - Tuesday Noon

Mom Odd Years

Dad Even Years

Independence Day (July 4)

*** Special Circumstances Listed**

July 4, 9am to July 5 Noon - minimum schedule

Mom Even Years

Dad Odd Years

*** Parent not having July 4th will have the children Friday 12-Noon to Sunday 12-Noon immediately before July 4, not including July 4. This will be the Saturday prior to July 4.**

**** If July 4th is on Sunday**

Parent not with July 4 (Sunday) will have the children for Saturday Holiday Celebrations: Friday, July 2, 12-Noon to Sunday, July 4, 9am

***** July 4 not on a weekend**

July 4 Mid-Week, Non-Weekend

Schedule: July 3 6pm, July 4, to July 6 Noon

*** Parent not having July 4th will have the children Friday 12-Noon to Sunday 12-Noon immediately before July 4, not including July 4. This will be the Saturday prior to July 4.**

Labor Day (1st Monday in September)

Day before at Noon, Day of, Day after to Noon

Mom Odd Years

Dad Even Years

Halloween (October 31)

October 31 Noon - November 1 Noon

Dad Even Years

Mom Odd Years

*** The parent not having the children Halloween/October 31 will have the children the weekend/Saturday immediately before Halloween from Noon Friday to Noon Sunday.**

Special Weekends (Personal Holidays):

Mom has Kids - (1st) - the weekend: before Mom's Birthday, before Dad's Birthday, before Kids Birthdays and 1st Half of Split Holidays

Dad has Kids - (2nd) - the weekend: after Mom's Birthday, after Dad's Birthday, after Kids Birthdays and 2nd Half of Split Holidays

- 1st and 2nd Weekend Apply to Immediate Family Birthdays **UNLESS** the Specifically Dated Holiday Listed is ON a Weekend, in which case, a "Split Weekend" may apply.
*See actual Holiday for detail.

**** Parents may choose in simple agreement in writing via the court approved parent app to change for individual circumstances.**

Split Holidays:

Thanksgiving (Wednesday 6pm - Sunday 6pm)

Thanksgiving Splits at Friday, day after Thanksgiving at 12-Noon

Mom has kids 42 Hours before split = Wednesday 6pm to Friday 12-Noon

Dad has kids 42 Hours after split = Friday 12-Noon to Sunday 6pm

Christmas (Christmas Eve and Christmas Day) (December 23, 6pm - December 26, 6pm)

Christmas Splits December 24th at 6pm

Mom has kids 48 Hours before split - (December 23 at 6pm to December 24 at 6pm)

Dad has kids 48 Hours after split - (December 24 at 6pm to December 26 at 6pm)

Lost Weekends due to Holiday Schedules:

In order for the children to not be caused to have 3 weekends without a parent, weekends lost with a parent due to Holiday Priority Schedules are to be adjusted during both the weekend before and the weekend after the Holiday Weekend by changing both weekends before and after the Holiday Weekend to be "Split Weekends"

*** Standard Schedule (Weekend) takes Priority over Split Weekend Make Up days ONLY if: All Conditions Apply -**

1) The Parent who would not usually have the children, is not available to have the children because it is their usual schedule of work, school or other.

2) This must be communicated in writing 30 days prior to the Holiday Date.

Absolutely - A parent available for the children's scheduled time with them is not to be denied.

**** Additional time can always be allowed if requested and agreed to. Both parents may agree to changes, in writing at any time.**

Split Weekends:

These will apply the weekend before and weekend after Lost Weekends due to Holiday Schedules. Both parents may also choose and agree to utilize 2 Split Weekends at any time.

"Split Weekend 1 before Holiday Weekend Missed"

(30 hours) Children with Dad - Friday Noon - Saturday 6pm

(36 hours) Children with Mom - Saturday 6pm - Monday Noon

"Split Weekend 2 after Holiday Weekend Missed"

(30 hours) Children with Mom - Friday Noon - Saturday 6pm

(36 hours) Children with Dad - Saturday 6pm - Monday Noon

**** Split Weekend Flexibility:**

The purpose of the Split Weekends is to allow the children a balanced and stable schedule with both parents during Holiday Schedule changes to the children's regular schedules. Both parents may choose to agree to favor both before Holiday and After Holiday Split Weekends to favor the children staying the longer (36 hour) weekend split, both weekends, with the parent they are losing a weekend with for the Holiday. Both parents may agree to switch Split Weekend 1 and 2, meaning weekend 2 first and weekend 1 second. The Split Weekend Schedule is an option in place to benefit the children. It may be used any time both parents agree in written communication.

It may be utilized with flexibility if agreed to.

**** Without communication and agreement of change, the Split Weekend Schedule Listed is in effect, applied with the Holiday Schedule as listed.**

Family Birthdays and Special Dates:

Immediate Family Birthdays (Holiday Priority 2)

Reminder:

Mom has Kids - (1st) - the weekend: before Mom's Birthday, before Dad's Birthday, before Kids Birthdays and 1st Half of Split Holidays

Dad has Kids - (2nd) - the weekend: after Mom's Birthday, after Dad's Birthday, after Kids Birthdays and 2nd Half of Split Holidays

If a birthday (Immediate Family: Mom, Dad, children DS or AS) falls ON a weekend the parent who does not have the children that weekend will have the children Friday Noon - Saturday Noon.

***Weekends before and after will not be altered due to these birthdays but might be due to other Holidays.**

Both parents may choose to adjust the weekend in favor of the parent whose birthday it is, if both agree in request/offer and agreement in writing.

Immediate Family Birthdays: (In Birthdate/Calendar Order)

Dad - April 20

Mom - April 24

AAS - June 26

DMS - August 12

National Holiday/Main Holiday Schedule Conflicts with Immediate Family Birthday or Personal Holidays: National/Main Holiday Schedule's Listed take Priority over Individual Holidays, Family Birthdays.

Extended Family Birthdays: (Holiday Priority 3)

Extended Related by Blood/Marriage Family Birthdays of family members the children regularly spend time with, celebrating holidays and with already established relationships doing so:

(Grandparents, Aunts, Uncles, Cousins)

National/Main Holiday and then **Immediate Family Birthdays** are **Priority** over **Extended Family Birthdays**.

Schedule:

*** Saturday Noon - Sunday Noon*** the weekend prior if the date is mid-week or the weekend of the listed dates if the date falls on the weekend and the ***Saturday Noon - Sunday Noon*** schedule does not conflict with **Priority Holidays** or **Immediate Family Birthdays** In **Established Schedule**.

The children will be with the parent whose **Family Member Birthdate** is **Established** as listed.

This list may be updated **January** of each year with **births** or **marriages** of **Established Family Members** adding **Family Members** (or at any time with agreement of both parents), with **proof** of **marriage** or **birth** and **relationship** if necessary.

Established list is **priority** over **future additions**.

Established List effective **June 2019**

Relation listed is the relation to the child.

(Childs cousin, child's grandparent, child's aunt/uncle)

Mem's Family:

05/11 grandparent (BS)

Dad's Family:

2/20 cousin (ZGC)

2/26 grandparent CIS-G)

4/7 cousin (JMC)

5/18 cousin (HMC)

6/1 aunt (KLC)

6/26 cousin (JMC)

10/15 aunt (KCC)

12/2 uncle (MJC)

12/9 aunt (ADS)

12/11 grandparent (RG)

It is agreed that as of June 2019, this is the Established Relationships of Family Members by Blood or Marriage that the children regularly spend time with.

Special Dates: (Holiday Priority 4)

Easter and New Years Eve/New Years Day

Easter Detail START:

Easter, with a changed date each year is a known possible date conflict at times with Immediate and Extended Family Birthdays.

Easter is agreed upon to not be included in the Priority Holiday Schedule and fall as it does in all of the other schedules.

**** If no conflict of other Priority Holidays or Immediate Family Birthday Dates, Easter may be the last priority as a split weekend.**

Easter (Sunday as scheduled National Holiday – Different Date Every Year)

Split Weekend Holiday

Easter Split Set at 6pm Saturday

(1) Friday Noon - Saturday 6pm

(2) Saturday 6 pm - Monday Noon

Mom Easter Day Sunday Schedule (1) - odd years

Dad Easter Day Sunday Schedule (2) - odd years

Mom Easter Day Sunday Schedule (2) - even years

Dad Easter Day Sunday Schedule (1) - even years

**** The weekend prior to Easter will be the same split schedule if it does not conflict with other Holiday Priority Dates.**

*** If an Immediate Family Birthday Priority falls on the weekend prior to Easter, the Weekend Split will be the Weekend after Easter. If an Immediate Family Birthday Priority falls on both weekends or if there are any other conflicts of Holiday Priority with this schedule, the Easter, Split Weekend ONLY Easter Weekend will be the solution.**

*** Easter Holiday is Holiday Priority 4**

In case of any current or future conflict, disagreement or questionable schedule due to how dates fall in an individual year, without a simply agreeable or understood Easter Schedule, individual to each calendar year, the solution to any dates conflict for Easter with ONE Exception will be:

**** Exception – Immediate Family Birthday ON Easter Weekend is Priority Schedule over Easter Schedule and will be a Split Weekend Schedule. * See Birthdays above for detail.**

Easter as:

Easter (Sunday as scheduled National Holiday – Different Date Every Year)

Split Weekend Holiday

Easter Split Set at 6pm Saturday
(1) Friday Noon - Saturday 6pm
(2) Saturday 6 pm - Monday Noon

Mom Easter Day Sunday Schedule (1) - odd years
Dad Easter Day Sunday Schedule (2) - odd years
Mom Easter Day Sunday Schedule (2) - even years
Dad Easter Day Sunday Schedule (1) - even years

Easter Detail END.

New Years Eve/New Years Day (December 31-Jan 1)
12 Noon December 31 - 6pm January 1

Christmas Eve and Christmas Day Schedule with Split Weekends Scheduled is Priority over New Years Eve/New Years Day schedule and may already include the dates of December 31 and January 1.

If New Years Eve/New Years Day is NOT included in any Higher Priority Holiday Schedule it will be scheduled as follows:

Children with Mom Even Years: 12 Noon December 31 - 6pm January 1
Children with Dad Odd Years: 12 Noon December 31 - 6pm January 1

Both Parents may agree in written communication to disregard New Years Eve/New Years Day in the Holiday Schedule and let it be the Standard Parenting Schedule or may agree on any changes they choose. Without communication or agreement to change, it will be as stated above.

Reminder - New Years Eve and New Years Day are in Holiday Priority 4, Holiday Priority 1-3 Listed Dates and Schedules apply before Priority 4.

Additional Special Dates / Annual - Established List: (Holiday Priority 5)

None Currently as of June 2019

Requests to be submitted to seek agreement by both parents.

It is understood that there are different cultural holidays, celebrations, family traditions, etc. and this section is open to reasonable requests. This section would be for dates that are regularly observed every year. Reasonable requests may be considered as being up to or approximately 5 dates per parent. A reasonable request for time would be similar to Family Birthdates being Noon Saturday to Noon Sunday the Saturday prior to the date or the actual date. This section is Priority Level 5 and all other Holiday Priorities 1-4 come first.

End Holiday Schedule

Over all Parenting Schedule:

If no Holiday or Special Dates are listed, the Standard Schedule will be the foundation schedule and will not be altered (reset) due to Holiday or Special Dates, Split Weekends.

Priority Status Order:

- 1) National Holidays Listed/Main Holidays
- 2) Immediate Family Birthdays - Established List
- 3) Extended Family Birthdays - Established List
- 4) Easter
- 5) Additional Special Day Request

Either parent may request and both parents may agree upon individual, temporary, non-permanent, seasonal schedule changes not limited to reasons such as hours of exchange, creating additional split weekends, special requests. Without agreement, the Parenting Plan as Set is the solution. Any changes agreed upon do not alter the 50%/50% parenting as they are individual requests for the benefit of the children's actual lives rather than legal determinations of parenting.

Possible reasons for temporary, non-permanent changes could include but are not limited to agreeing to a temporary seasonal standard of winter exchanges at 5 but summer exchanges at 7 for a set calendar block of time, seasonal hour changes for children's activities, a special event for a family member, a special event planned where hours cross the set parenting plan, a special event weekend. These are for the best interest of the children and do not alter the 50/50 parenting.

Without agreement in writing, the set parenting plan is the resolution to individual requests. Agreed temporary changes need to be agreed to in writing or via the court agreed parenting app.

Not being able to agree to temporary changes will not be considered conflict as it may unbearably create a conflict to a primary set schedule of either party.

Agreeing to temporary changes will be considered amicable and co-parenting in the best interests of the children.

Conflicts in Scheduling

11. Conflicts in Scheduling

The Holiday Schedule must be observed over all other schedules. If there are conflicts within the Holiday Schedule: * See Detailed Solutions in Each Holiday Listed

12. Transportation Arrangements

Transportation arrangements for the child between the parents shall be as follows:

Standard: Receiving parent picks up. Each parent responsible for school transportation, drop off and pick up during their parenting hours.

Unless otherwise agreed to be different. *See Established Difference

***Note Established Difference:**

Current Established Arrangement 2017-2019 is - Dad transports children for all exchanges between parents, Dad picks up and drops off children for each exchange between parents, each parent picks up children from school or drops off children at school during their parenting time during school hours.

This Established Arrangement will continue but may be changed to the ***Standard "Receiving Parent Picks Up"** by request of either parent, in writing, with at least 2 weeks notice for the change to begin on the 1st of the Next Calendar Month from request.

13. Moving with the Children (Relocation)

June 2019 - Current - Temporary Mandate regarding Moving with Children until Otherwise changed by Court Order that must be attended by both parents:

Temporary Mandate to remain for a minimum of 1 Year unless both parents agree to change.

Parents must have their Established Residence within a reasonable distance to the Established School of the Children being:

**Southside Christian School located at
401 E 30th Avenue, Spokane WA 99203**

- **Reasonable Distance defined as within approximately 30 miles of school**

If the custodian plans to move, he **must notify** every person who has court-ordered time with the children.

Move to a different school district

If the move is to a different school district, the custodian must complete the form Notice of Intent to Move with Children (FL Relocate 701) and deliver it at least **60 days** before the intended move.

Exceptions: ** Exceptions do NOT currently apply** as STABLE Residency is currently in question and unknown as of June 2019 **** Exceptions may possibly be reapplied once STABLE RESIDENCY is Established, the court reviews the circumstances by a hearing with both parents present and then by a Court Order it is confirmed that Exceptions will be reestablished.**

- If the custodian could not reasonably have known enough information to complete the form in time to give 60 days' notice, the custodian must give notice within **5 days** after learning the information.
- If the custodian is relocating to a domestic violence shelter or moving to avoid a clear, immediate and unreasonable risk to health or safety, notice may be delayed **21 days**.
- If information is protected under a court order or the address confidentiality program, it may be withheld from the notice.

- A custodian who believes that giving notice would put her/himself or a child at unreasonable risk of harm, may ask the court for permission to leave things out of the notice or to be allowed to move without giving notice. Use form Motion to Limit Notice of Intent to Move with Children (Ex Parte) (FL Relocate 702).

The Notice of Intent to Move with Children can be delivered by having someone personally serve the other party or by any form of mail that requires a return receipt.

If the custodian wants to change the Parenting Plan because of the move, s/he must deliver a proposed Parenting Plan together with the Notice.

Move within the same school district

If the move is within the same school district, the custodian still has to let the other parent know.

However, the notice does not have to be served personally or by mail with a return receipt. Notice to the other party can be made in any reasonable way. No specific form is required.

Warning! If you do not notify...

A custodian who does not give the required notice may be found in contempt of court. If that happens the court can impose sanctions. Sanctions can include requiring the custodian to bring the children back if the move has already happened, and ordering the custodian to pay the other side's costs and lawyer's fees.

Right to object

A person who has court-ordered time with the children can object to a move to a different school district and/or to the custodian's proposed Parenting Plan. If the move is within the same school district, the other party doesn't have the right to object to the move, but s/he may ask to change the Parenting Plan if there are adequate reasons under the modification law (RCW 26.09.260).

An objection is made by filing the Objection about Moving with Children and Petition about Changing a Parenting/Custody Order (Relocation) (form FL Relocate 721). File your Objection with the court and serve a copy on the custodian and anyone else who has court-ordered time with the children. Service of the Objection must be by personal service or by mailing a copy to each person by any form of mail that requires a return receipt. The Objection must be filed and served no later than 30 days after the Notice of Intent to Move with Children was received.

Right to move

During the 30 days after the Notice was served, the custodian may not move to a different school district with the children unless s/he has a court order allowing the move.

After the 30 days, if no Objection is filed, the custodian may move with the children without getting a court order allowing the move.

After the 30 days, if an Objection has been filed, the custodian may move with the children pending the final hearing on the Objection unless:

- The other party gets a court order saying the children cannot move, or
- The other party has scheduled a hearing to take place no more than 15 days after the date the Objection was served on the custodian. (However, the custodian may ask the court for an order allowing the move even though a hearing is pending if the custodian believes that s/he or a child is at unreasonable risk of harm.)

The court may make a different decision about the move at a final hearing on the Objection.

Parenting Plan after move

If the custodian served a proposed Parenting Plan with the Notice, and if no Objection is filed within 30 days after the Notice was served (or if the parties agree):

- Both parties may follow that proposed plan without being held in contempt of the Parenting Plan that was in place before the move. However, the proposed plan cannot be enforced by contempt unless it has been approved by a court.
- Either party may ask the court to approve the proposed plan. Use form Ex Parte Motion for Final Order Changing Parenting Plan – No Objection to Moving with Children (FL Relocate 706).

14. Other

1. Neither parent shall make derogatory or disparaging remarks about the other parent in the presence of the children or to another person within the children's hearing range and they shall not allow others to do so.
2. The children shall have reasonable telephone privileges with the parent with whom they are not then residing without interference of the residential parent.
3. The parents shall keep the other party advised at all times of their current telephone number and residence address; a post office box number does not satisfy this requirement. In the event of a change, the respective party shall notify the other party in writing and post-marked within two days of the said change.
4. International travel restricted and agreement in writing must be obtained and signed off by both parents. No international travel without agreement of parties or a court surety order.
5. **FIRST RIGHT OF REFUSAL:** Both parents shall have the first right of refusal to provide "physical care." (day care). If either parent has physical custody of the children and is NOT able to personally provide such care, control and supervision for more than 2 hours they must first offer that time to the other parent before offering the time to grandparents, aunts, uncles, siblings, friends etc. etc.
6. **EMERGENCY CANCELLATION:** Except in cases of life and death emergencies each parent shall notify the other parent 24 hours in advance of any changes to the forgoing schedule.
7. **TELEPHONE CONTACT:** Both parents shall have reasonable telephone contact with child when child is with the other parent and such communication shall be private.
8. **PICK-UP AND DROP OFF:** Other than previously stated, both parties are

to split all transportation of the child (ren) related to visitation. If the parties cannot agree as to the pick-up and drop-off location then all pick and drop off's shall occur at the police station nearest the parent who has physical custody of the child (ren) at the time of the scheduled pick up or drop off.

9. **EMERGENCY CANCELLATION:** Except in cases of life and death emergencies each parent shall notify the other parent 24 hours in advance of any changes to the forgoing schedule.

10. **TELEPHONE CONTACT:** Both parents shall have reasonable telephone contact with child when child is with the other parent and such communication shall be private.

11. **IMPORTANT EVENTS:** Each parent shall inform the other of any important events related to, but not limited to, school, religious or athletic/music/dance events, and both parents may attend such events separately. However, neither parent shall schedule any event to deliberately interfere with the other parent's time with the child.

12. **ACCESS TO RECORDS:** Both parents shall have full and complete access to all of the child's records including, but not limited to, medical, school, day-care, athletic, and counseling records. Neither parent shall "block" the efforts of the other parent to gain access to these records.

13. **MEDICAL EMERGENCIES:** Each parent shall have the authority to seek and secure emergency medical care of the child. Each parent shall promptly notify the other parent of any medical emergency.

14. **EXCHANGE OF TELEPHONE NUMBERS, RESPECTIVE ADDRESSES AND EMAIL ADDRESSES:** The parents shall exchange all telephone numbers, to include all cellular telephone numbers, and email addresses and full and part-time work and residential addresses. This shall constitute a continuing duty. The parents shall promptly return calls and messages related to the child's welfare.

15. **VACATIONS OF EITHER PARENT WITH CHILD:** If either parent wishes to take the child on a vacation of more than one day, to include overnight, that parent shall inform the other parent of the destination or location of that vacation.

16. **CHILD SUPPORT AND VISITATION:** There is no connection between the payment of child support and visitation. The failure to pay child support does not allow the other parent to terminate visitation; the termination of visitation does not allow the other parent to terminate child support.

17. **RESTRICTIONS and RESTRAINTS:** Shall apply equally to each parent.

That these restrictions and restraints are provided does not necessarily mean that the Court has made any findings about either parent that demand the use of these restrictions and restraints; however, the Court does have the authority to issue orders that promote the welfare and best interest of a child. Furthermore, if neither parent engages in conduct addressed in these restrictions and restraints, then the parents will run afoul of them.

18. DISCUSSION OF LITIGATION: There shall be no discussion of this matter by either party with the minor child.

19. CONFRONTATIONS: There shall be no physical or verbal confrontation involving the parents in the actual or constructive presence of the child.

20. ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION AND DRUG USE: The parents shall not excessively consume or be under the influence of alcohol to the degree of impairment while the child is with either of them, and the parents shall not allow the child to be in the presence of any person who does so. This restraint applies to the use of any drugs. Neither parent shall have in his or her actual or constructive possession any illegal drugs.

21. PROFANITY AND DEROGATORY COMMENTS: The parents shall not use profane or vulgar language in the presence of the child, and they shall not allow the child to remain in the presence of any person who does so. The parents shall not say or make derogatory comments about each other in the presence of the child, and they shall not allow the child to be in the presence of any person who does so. The parents shall not instruct the child to disobey the other parent.

22. PARAMOURS AND OVERNIGHT GUESTS: The parents shall not have the minor child in the presence of anyone of the opposite sex overnight with whom they are not related.

23. ENVIRONMENT: The parents shall always have the child in a safe and moral environment. The parents shall never place the child in an environment where any illegal activities are occurring regardless of the lack of participation of either parent.

24. SCHOOL ATTENDANCE: The parent who is responsible for having the child at school in a timely manner shall not fail to do so.

25. CARETAKERS OR BABYSITTERS: The parent who places the child in the care of a caretaker or babysitter shall insure that such person is capable of caring for the child.

26. PORNOGRAPHY: Neither parent shall allow the child to see or be exposed

to any pornography by any means or method to include the use of the internet.

27. **INTERROGATION OF CHILD:** The parties shall not question the child about the other parent, and neither parent shall allow any other person to do so.

28. **CHILD AS MESSENGER AND CHILD SUPPORT CARRIER:** The parents shall not use the child as a messenger nor shall they use the child to act as a courier for the payment of child support or any bills and expenses related to the child.

29. **USE OF "MOTHER" AND "FATHER" LABELS:** The parents shall not, by design or default, allow or direct any person not the parent of the child to instruct the child to call her or him "mom" or "dad" or "mommy/momma" or "daddy" or "mother" or "father."

30. **THIRD-PARTY INTERFERENCE:** The parents shall not allow or direct third-parties, including but not limited to paramours or step-parents, to interfere in child-related matters or problems.

31. **TELEPHONIC ACCESS:** Father shall have unlimited telephonic access to each child.

32. **MEDICAL EMERGENCIES:** Mother agrees to notify Father of any medical or dental treatment being considered for the child (ren) prior to treatment. In the event of a medical emergency involving the child (ren), Mother agrees to notify Father within 2 hours of any such emergency.

33. **MOVE-AWAY INJUNCTION:** Neither party shall move away to another location that would significantly interfere with or impose great difficulty on the other parent's visitation with the child (ren); or that would not permit this schedule to be performed as stated.

34. **SPIRIT OF COOPERATION:** Neither party shall make disparaging remarks about the other parent in the presence of the child (ren). Neither shall either parent allow any third party to make any such disparaging remarks in the presence of the child (ren). Both parties shall respect the other parent's right to have a frequent, ongoing and substantial relationship with the child (ren). Neither parent shall do nor say anything, nor shall they allow any third party to say or do anything that would alienate the child (ren) from the affections of the other parent. Additionally, each parent is to keep the other parent informed of his or her present address and phone number. Each parent shall, at all times respect the privacy of the other parent. Neither parent shall attempt to go to the other parent's home without the express permission of the other parent. Additionally, neither parent shall telephone the other party unless the purpose and nature of such call is directly related to the health, education and general welfare of the child(ren). Peaceful contacts related to visitation schedules and transportation arrangements shall be allowed.

15. **Proposal**

This is a **proposed** (requested) parenting plan based on the best interests and safety of the children. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that this plan was proposed in good faith and that the information in section 3 above is true.

AARON SURINA
Respondent

Signed at (city and state)

Aug 28, 2019
Spokane, WA

16. **This proposal can be ordered and signed by the commissioner or judge.**

Submitted as a proposal.

Presented by:

Father of David and Andrew Surina

Waste:

- FILED**
2019 AUG 28 P 4:31
TIMOTHY W. FITZGERALD
SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK
1. Petitioner's Refusal to complete Choose Well Incentive Program for Health Savings Account in 12/2017 and 12/2018. Loss accrued: 2018 \$700.00, 2019 \$700.00 (\$1400)
 2. Resp Exh R-107 pg 7 - (Offering into Evidence) - Email dated August 10th and 11th, 2017 (PRIOR TO PETITIONER FILING DISSOLUTION AND TRO August 14, 2017)
RESPONDENTS / FATHERS PURSUIT WAS AN AMICABLE DIVORCE WITH STABILITY FOR ALL. Intended to purchase 1 home each for Petitioner and Respondent, using equity and rental profit from existing property to cover the majority of new homes for both Petitioner and Respondent.
Respondent Intent and Action was to build equity and stability for Petitioner and Respondent and children in preparation of an amicable divorce.
This was stopped 2 business days later with the TRO and Dissolution removing Respondent from Sole and Separate Property owned by Respondent - Aaron Surina
Loss of Rental Income, Loss of Income Bearing Property, Loss of Investment.
Loss of Investments set to be made - uncalculated.
 3. Loss of Overtime actual and future overtime pay due to TRO removal from property, homeless, lived with family in Idaho - no longer able to do emergency calls as contracted
Had been primary emergency call responder to hospital life and safety communication systems, REQUIRED TO RESPOND WITHIN 15 MINUTES, NO LONGER QUALIFIED FOR SERVICE LEVEL AGREEMENT FOR emergency overtime or on-call.
Job contract officially changed to flat salary.
LOST Overtime Contract Paid 2 Hours of Overtime Minimum for arriving within 15 minutes of Emergency Call - even if a fix was 30 minutes. Overtime continued to be paid for the next day work for overnight Emergency Calls. This LOSS is HUGE.
OVERTIME HAD APPROXIMATELY PAID HOUSE MORTGAGE
- * 'Gross Income reduced from approximately \$10,000.00 a month including overtime, to a salary position (made official - January 2019) to Gross \$8,489.67 per month less taxes and benefits package.

LOSS approximate, under calculated at $\$1,500.00 \times 12 = \$18,000.00$ per year

**** NOTE ERROR - Child Support Worksheet figured with \$11,019.00 monthly gross by Petitioners numbers in ERROR, more than \$1,000.00 over FACT, has not yet changed.**

**** NOTE - Corporate Payment Contract is a GROSS Payment to then have the benefits package costs deducted from it. Benefits package is not in addition to Gross Pay. ACTUAL NET PAY is LOWER with BENEFITS DEDUCTED.**

2017 Overtime ACTUALLY Earned before loss of access to overtime.

(TRO in August caused overtime to reduce) = \$14,987.60 (partial year)

LOSS OF approximately \$18,000.00 per year 2018, 2019 and forward.

*** not counting 2017 loss -**

Overtime NO LONGER EXISTS IN JOB CONTRACT DUE - FLAT SALARY - MAXED.

4. Loss of Principal Investment

Loss of future income from real estate holdings.

Loss of 1616 Rocky Ridge Road - See Exhibit Attached Profit and Cost Analysis, Rental Property Calculator Valuation over the course of the loan (valuations are conservative without compounding any reinvestments and are average and approximate)

Loss Income of \$30,000.00 per year from property. (1616 Rocky Ridge Rd)

Loss of Rental Income over the course of the mortgage loan: \$944,573.63

Loss of Net Profit with standard calculations of property \$884,465.08

Loss of Equity over the course of the loan is estimated \$760,000.00

(Estimated Value upon loan term completing based on standard calculations)

5. Loss of Reimbursements: Company Reimbursement of Cell Phone Expense

Revenue Lost due to miscalculation of gross income, due to Petitioner and State including Reimbursements as Income. Detrimental Financial Circumstances.

Reimbursements included in Income is COUNTING INCOME TWICE.

Petitioner Refused to Allow the Corrections to Child Support Worksheets and Financials after multiple attempts by Respondent, including a motion before the court with Title 26

CPA Financial Documents attached - February 2018. Financials were not corrected.

I could no longer collect reimbursements for error counting income twice.

Standard Cell Phone Reimbursement Expense is $\$75.00 \times 20 \text{ months} = \1500.00

6. Loss of use of primary residence increased the cost of living which is averaged at around 47%. 3026.00 calculated in Petitioner's expenses * 47% (4500.00 estimated * 22 months) \$99,000.00 which was beyond my means, forcing me to live a disparate, substandard lifestyle while also being the sole provider for my children
- purchasing needed clothing, shoes, seasonal, school expenses, activities, etc.
Paid for with credit cards and assistance from Respondents Family.
7. WASTE: Access to google voice international calling to Thailand at 0.01 cents a minute as had been consistent for Thailand phone calls, but with Respondent assigned to pay for all of Petitioner's open ended bills, suddenly \$45.00 calls are on the bill to Thailand, forcing the community, and the Respondent to lose more money.
8. Forced into foreclosure on the Rocky Ridge property.
9. Loss of buyers due to Petitioner refusing to vacate the property during agents showing, among other things listed as reason in the showing suite feedback logs.
10. Loss of buyers due to Petitioner's illegal conveyance in the form of fraudulent Deed of Trust holding property hostage unless 36,000 paid to Carl and On Wilson. Asking this 3rd party be assigned costs of said losses from December 27 - June in the sale.
11. Petitioner rejecting an offer within the court ordered range, in contempt on December 27, 2018, prior to foreclosure, initiating compounded losses accruing to June of 2019 sale and beyond. * Provided in Trial Testimony of Petitioner Witness - Donna Henry
12. The undisclosed, diversion of \$15,000.00 under the guise of a bad roof. Roof has pabco lifetime guaranteed shingles which were recalled, not covered by insurance after a claim has already paid a previous owner. Roof survived the windstorm without a single shingle affected in wind damage. I was informed that the roofer looked up weather for bad wind days and found a day in December to use in the insurance claim which was called in By

Keith Glanzer using my identity initially to open the claim.

13. Petitioner's team motioned the court "if I don't agree to allow them to file an insurance claim, I'd face jailtime for contempt" which was written into the order as requesting incarceration of Aaron Surina, Respondent.

I invoked my constitutional right to counsel facing such charges for Petitioners Insurance Fraud and demanding I participate. It was dropped. approx. loss is estimated 15,000.00. JUDGE HAZEL DENIED PETITIONERS REQUESTS AND JUDGEMENTS, HOWEVER PETITIONER INCLUDED JUDGEMENTS FOR MAY 6, 2019 OF \$20,000.00 IN TRIAL DOCUMENTS AS VALID JUDGEMENTS unless I am misunderstanding.

I don't know what all of the judgements are and I don't believe I was present, nor notified for all of them. Additionally - Petitioner is including judgements in trial for those that were specified dropped after 6 months and should not be included.

I don't know what all of them are.

14. I was being ordered to spend 1000 dollars to pay a deductible, which was incorrect in figuring the insurance coverage of my sole and separate insurance policy for my sole and separate property, with my sole and separate mortgage - while also being impossible for me to pay within the disparate financial position the Petitioner caused. This came with threats of more court punishment and financial attacks. This would have resulted in a lien being put on my property a 2nd time by this group by their friend, the roofer - causing more problems on the title of my property.
15. Petitioner changed the listing of the property to an Exclusive Listing with the Real Estate Agent, Donna Henry - thus removing it from the MLS system for all buyers and Real Estate Agents to find as an available property. My next door neighbor put his house up for sale, it sold in just over a week with bidding wars. My house was off the REAL MARKET.

16. Loss of Amazon Business:

My Residence, My Credit, and My available income for investing into product to sell via Interstate Commerce creating Revenue through Amazon was destroyed in the Petitioners filing of the Dissolution and TRO.

The financial destruction and removal from my residence was the end of the community business.

It caused a loss of established clients of custom parts.

Petitioner claimed in Dissolution Documents that the Amazon Business made \$4,000.00 a month. This is incorrect.

Actual income from the Amazon Business, varied, but a viable/feasible income from the Amazon Business is \$1,000.00 per month / \$12,000.00 per year.

Time does not exist to invest more into it than that.

The \$4,000.00 claim is fraudulent and I will not use the Petitioners claim in claim of waste and loss.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that this plan was proposed in good faith and that the information is true and correct.



Aaron Surina



Rental Property Calculator

Result


For the 23 Years Invested

Return (IRR):	86.60% per year
Total Profit when Sold:	\$884,465.08
Cash on Cash Return:	5,724.69%
Capitalization Rate:	10.28%
Total Rental Income:	\$944,573.63
Total Mortgage Payments:	\$302,357.98
Total Expenses:	\$113,585.09
Total Net Operating Income:	\$830,988.54

First Year Income and Expense

	Monthly	Annual
Income:	\$2,500.00	\$30,000.00
Mortgage Pay:	\$1,095.50	\$13,146.00
Vacancy (2%):	\$50.00	\$600.00
Management Fee (1%):	\$24.50	\$294.00
Property Tax:	\$125.00	\$1,500.00
Total Insurance:	\$66.67	\$800.00
Maintenance Cost:	\$83.33	\$1,000.00
Other Cost:	\$16.67	\$200.00
Cash Flow:	\$1,038.33	\$12,460.00
Net Operating Income (NOI):	\$2,133.83	\$25,606.00

First Year Expense Breakdown



- Mortgage
- Vacancy
- Management Fee
- Property Tax
- Total Insurance
- Maintenance Cost
- Other Cost

Breakdown Over Time

2019 AUG 28 P 4: 32
TIMOTHY W. FITZGERALD
SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

FILED

Year	Annual Income	Mortgage	Expenses	Cash Flow	Cash on Cash Return	Equity Accumulated	If Sold at Year End	
							Cash to Receive	Return (IRR)
Begin				-\$15,450				
1.	\$29,106	\$13,146	\$3,500	\$12,460	80.65%	\$24,270	\$3,752	4.9%
2.	\$29,979	\$13,146	\$3,605	\$13,228	85.62%	\$36,479	\$15,346	82.1%
3.	\$30,879	\$13,146	\$3,713	\$14,019	90.74%	\$49,092	\$27,325	94.9%
4.	\$31,805	\$13,146	\$3,825	\$14,834	96.02%	\$62,121	\$39,701	95.4%
5.	\$32,759	\$13,146	\$3,939	\$15,674	101.45%	\$75,581	\$52,489	93.6%
6.	\$33,742	\$13,146	\$4,057	\$16,538	107.04%	\$89,486	\$65,701	91.7%
7.	\$34,754	\$13,146	\$4,179	\$17,429	112.81%	\$103,851	\$79,352	90.1%
8.	\$35,797	\$13,146	\$4,305	\$18,346	118.75%	\$118,691	\$93,457	88.9%
9.	\$36,871	\$13,146	\$4,434	\$19,291	124.86%	\$134,023	\$108,032	88.1%
10.	\$37,977	\$13,146	\$4,567	\$20,264	131.16%	\$149,862	\$123,091	87.5%
11.	\$39,116	\$13,146	\$4,704	\$21,266	137.65%	\$166,226	\$138,652	87.1%
12.	\$40,290	\$13,146	\$4,845	\$22,299	144.33%	\$183,132	\$154,731	86.9%
13.	\$41,498	\$13,146	\$4,990	\$23,362	151.21%	\$200,600	\$171,346	86.8%
14.	\$42,743	\$13,146	\$5,140	\$24,457	158.30%	\$218,646	\$188,516	86.7%
15.	\$44,025	\$13,146	\$5,294	\$25,585	165.60%	\$237,292	\$206,258	86.6%
16.	\$45,346	\$13,146	\$5,453	\$26,747	173.12%	\$256,557	\$224,592	86.6%
17.	\$46,707	\$13,146	\$5,616	\$27,944	180.87%	\$276,463	\$243,538	86.6%
18.	\$48,108	\$13,146	\$5,785	\$29,177	188.85%	\$297,030	\$263,117	86.6%
19.	\$49,551	\$13,146	\$5,959	\$30,447	197.06%	\$318,281	\$283,351	86.6%
20.	\$51,038	\$13,146	\$6,137	\$31,754	205.53%	\$340,239	\$304,261	86.6%
21.	\$52,569	\$13,146	\$6,321	\$33,101	214.25%	\$362,928	\$325,871	86.6%
22.	\$54,146	\$13,146	\$6,511	\$34,489	223.23%	\$386,373	\$348,204	86.6%
23.	\$55,770	\$13,146	\$6,706	\$407,202	232.48%	\$410,598	\$371,285	86.6%
Total	\$944,574	\$302,358	\$113,585	\$884,465	5,724.69%			

Purchase

Purchase Price

Use Loan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Down Payment %

Interest Rate %

Loan Term Years

Community Debt:

FILED

2019 AUG 28 P 4:31

TIMOTHY W. FITZGERALD
SPURANE COUNTY CLERK

The Petitioner moved the court for an order in her Dissolution of Marriage and Immediate Restraining order dated 08/14/2017, and the Court granted that:

Divide Debts

"Each be responsible for his/her own future debts, including debt from credit cards, loans, security interest, and mortgages.

CASE RECORD SNN 7 page 6, Section 21

The Respondent began to receive notices from Trans Union of a barrage of multiple credit account applications in his name. The Respondent filed multiple police reports as the applications for credit in his name continued. Submitted to Court in Case.

The Respondent received notice from the Post Office that his mail had been forwarded to a business and the Post Office could not tell the Respondent where, as it was a Premium Forward and ONLY the business that it was forwarded could make changes to it.

The FEDERAL Postal Inspector had to address this and fix it. They would not inform me of any further information except correction of my Mail Forwarding that was not done by me which was done during the massive identity theft that began a week or two before service of this case took place.


SEE Exhibit R-110, page 1, Letter from the Postmaster DATED August 16, 2017

All Debt acquired by each party, since August 14, 2017, belongs to each party.

I do believe that all Attorney's fees and Dissolution Costs, Community Waste and Losses including opportunistic judgements unrelated to this dissolution which are found in the case file belonging to a 3rd party Carl Wilson in the sum of over 50,000.00 which are part of an attempt to collect an unlawful debt (Class C Felony) should be assigned to the Petitioner as the Respondent pursued an Amicable Divorce for stability for all, which was fully rejected by the Petitioner.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that this plan was proposed in good faith and that the information is true and correct. Signed in spokane, WA on

August 28, 2019

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Aaron Surina', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized with large loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Aaron Surina

VEXATIOUS LITIGATION & INTRANSIGENCE

FILED

Civil Procedure and candor towards the tribunal (RPC 3.3) prevent this type of case from ending up this far down up a creek. That being said, it is unimaginable that finding someone in contempt for not paying items the court insisted are not spousal support, maintenance or child support would continue one after another as if spousal support was being ordered but the court saying it wasn't and then finding me in contempt as if it was again. Injured and by these contempt hearings, the court errored issuing a barrage of high dollar judgements against a party the court on it's own error forced into indigency, denying access to and even liquidating revenue producing investments and interstate amazon sales business under the guise of immediate financial need. This was is at the same time as Mr. Surina was stripped of his rights to rents, profits and issues thereof in relation to his revenue producing investments. By order of the court, the character of the house without the facts being tried was assumed to be community property and with possession obtained injunction through the claims that the family residence is a community residence without the respondent. Effectively barring Mr. Surina from ever returning to separate property or seeing any returns, rents, profits or issues and losing the majority of my belongings and all furnishings. The statutory protections found in 26.16 are unambiguous.. Petitioner's pursuit of concealing overseas investments and cashing out on the marital property agreement a second time seems to have been a great scheme against the court and the respondent. What is the court goign to do as long as she refuses to work or be gainfully employed. I lived on

roughly 600 dollars a month and was refused even a low end EBT card for sustaining basic survival necessities. I became a food bank regular, haggling with super market deli staff for sales and deals. Resorting to these things is stressful. This property was taken to provide private pay to an indigent party at this point so the G.A.L. would not be a public charge. This constitutes a takings clause except the court erred when Mr. Surina was not compensated prior to the taking. Furthermore what appears to be a connected 2nd type of takings (Regulatory taking) where Mr. Surina lost all rights and control of the property including rents, profits and issues thereof by order of the court without due process, compensation or legal standing.

The forced occupation of this constitutionally protected right was not the least restrictive means and there was no government interest that outweighed the interests of federally protected constitutional rights to be safe in my persons, paper and property. All 3 were made insecure by the stroke of a pen and without any due diligence inquiry into these matters.

In answering the question with regards to the character of the property which was and is before this court we must interpret the meaning of RCW 26.16.010 and former RCW 26.16.030. We review questions of statutory construction de novo. *Our Lady of Lourdes Hosp. v. Franklin County*, 120 Wash. 2d 439, 443, 842 P.2d 956 (1993). The purpose of statutory interpretation is to determine and give effect to legislative intent. *Duke v. Boyd*, 133 Wash. 2d 80, 87-88, 942 P.2d 351 *25 (1997). Legislative intent is primarily determined from the statutory language.

Violating due process with a barrage of trickery spent to fool a pro-se litigant which is the case throughout this case. In order to want to continue in a case like this, it needs to be fair. This case has been extremely outrageous and inconsiderate towards all parties but the respondent has been forced to accept notice of next day hearings, no ability to be heard or cross examine accusers in a hearing, told my evidence is not allowed because it's not an evidenciary hearing on Jan 3rd where the opposing side had numerous articles in a hearing that was not an emergency but rather an attempt to validate the Deed of Trust, which was a felony fraudulent instrument placed upon the title to real property that belonged to me. I'd be ordered to show cause on contempts for not paying items that were not maintenance or support in the court's own orders. I read an order drafted by counsel that had nearly 20,000 dollar judgement which was not disclosed during any hearing but appears it was trickery altering orders to obtain 20,000.00 as if the court had ordered such a judgement as well as if there was justification for a judgement of such to be awarded against an indigent party.

Recognition of this theoretical framework illuminates the precise issue presented in this case. As this Court on more than one occasion has recognized, marriage involves interests of basic importance in our society. See, e. g., Loving v. Virginia, 388 U. S. 1 (1967); Skinner v. Oklahoma, 316 U. S. 535 (1942); Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U. S. 390 (1923). It is not surprising, then, that the States have seen fit to oversee many aspects of that institution. Without a prior judicial imprimatur, individuals may freely enter into and rescind commercial contracts, for example, but we are unaware of any jurisdiction

where private citizens may covenant for or dissolve marriages without state approval.

Even where all substantive requirements are concededly met, we know of no instance where two consenting adults may divorce and mutually liberate themselves from the constraints of legal obligations that go with marriage, and more fundamentally the prohibition against remarriage, without invoking the State's judicial machinery.

Thus, although they assert here due process rights as would-be plaintiffs, we think appellants' plight, because resort to the state courts is the only avenue to dissolution of their marriages, is akin to that of defendants faced with exclusion from the only forum effectively empowered to settle their disputes. Resort to the judicial process by these plaintiffs is no more voluntary in a realistic sense than that of the defendant called upon to 377 defend his interests in court. For both groups this process is not only the paramount dispute-settlement technique, but, in fact, the only available one. In this posture we think that this appeal is properly to be resolved in light of the principles enunciated in our due process decisions that delimit rights of defendants compelled to litigate their differences in the judicial forum.

These due process decisions, representing over a hundred years of effort by this Court to give concrete embodiment to this concept, provide, we think, complete vindication for appellants' contentions. In particular, precedent has firmly embedded in our due process jurisprudence two important principles upon whose application we rest our decision in the case before us. Prior cases establish, first, that due process requires, at a minimum, that absent a countervailing state interest of overriding significance, persons forced to settle their claims of right and duty through the judicial process must be given

a meaningful opportunity to be heard. Early in our jurisprudence, this Court voiced the doctrine that "[w]herever one is assailed in his person or his property, there he may defend," Windsor v. McVeigh, 93 U. S. 274, 277 (1876). See Baldwin v. Hale, 1 Wall. 223 (1864); Hovey v. Elliott, 167 U. S. 409 (1897). The theme that "due process of law signifies a right to be heard in one's defense," Hovey v. Elliott, *supra*, at 417, has continually recurred in the years since *Baldwin*, *Windsor*, and *Hovey*.¹³¹ Although "[m]any controversies

378


have raged about the cryptic and abstract words of the Due Process Clause," as Mr. Justice Jackson wrote for the Court in Mullane v. Central Hanover Tr. Co., 339 U. S. 306 (1950), "there can be no doubt that at a minimum they require that deprivation of life, liberty or property by adjudication be preceded by notice and opportunity for hearing appropriate to the nature of the case." *Id.*, at 313.

Due process does not, of course, require that the defendant in every civil case actually have a hearing on the merits. A State, can, for example, enter a default judgment against a defendant who, after adequate notice, fails to make a timely appearance, see Windsor, *supra*, at 278, or who, without justifiable excuse, violates a procedural rule requiring the production of evidence necessary for orderly adjudication, Hammond Packing Co. v. Arkansas, 212 U. S. 322, 351 (1909). What the Constitution does require is "an opportunity . . . granted at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner," Armstrong v. Manzo, 380 U. S. 545, 552 (1965) (emphasis added), "for [a] hearing appropriate to the nature of the case," Mullane v. Central Hanover Tr. Co., *supra*, at 313.

The formality and procedural requirements for the hearing can vary, depending upon the importance of the interests involved and the nature of the subsequent proceedings.^[41]

That the hearing required by due process *379 is subject to waiver, and is not fixed in form does not affect its root requirement that an individual be given an opportunity for a hearing *before* he is deprived of any significant property interest,^[51] except for extraordinary situations where some valid governmental interest is at stake that justifies postponing the hearing until after the event.^[61] In short, "within the limits of practicability," *id.*, at 318, a State must afford to all individuals a meaningful opportunity to be heard if it is to fulfill the promise of the Due Process Clause.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that this plan was proposed in good faith and that the information is true and correct. Signed in Spokane, WA on August 28, 2019


Aaron Surina

FILED

2019 AUG 28 P 4: 30

TIMOTHY W. FITZGERALD
SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

Status of Petitioner and Respondent During Marriage:

Aaron's pre-marriage Used Cars with no loans 2012-2015

Bought New Vehicle 2014 for family and Aaron's winter work commute

Housing Summary:

Rent small 2 bedroom home @ \$900.00 per month 11 of 67.5 months

Rent small 2 bedroom home @\$1100.00 per month 15 of 67.5 months

Rent small 3 bedroom home @\$1400.00 per month 16 months of 67.5 months

Own home, mortgage \$1588.00 per month 2 years 2 months

42 Months of 67.5 was renting small old homes averaging @\$1100.00 per month

26 Months owning with Mortgage of \$1588.00 per month

**** Mean Average of House \$1324.00 in marriage December 2011-August 2017 ****

Zero unsecured/credit card debt.

Mortgage/Home Loan with equity

Auto Loan with equity

Progressively furnished homes as needed and with moves, mostly 'Craigslist'

purchases.

Status of Respondent - Aaron Surina - Prior to Marriage (After Marriage in Parenthesis)

House Rent: \$900.00

House Furnishings and Essentials (to Petitioner - gathered new and used with help from family)

Personal Belongings, Momentos, 40 years of life treasures (mostly disappeared)

Tools / Power Tools/ Air Compressor: mostly lost in dissolution, TRO from property

Guns:

1 - Savage Rimfire.22 bought 2010 \$129.00 at Bi-Mart in Coos Bay Oregon

1 - Ruger 10/22 Rifle bought 2010 \$169.00 at Bi-Mart in Coos Bay Oregon

(* Petitioner claims I have \$1500.00 worth of guns)

Total is \$268.00 in guns, purchased prior to marriage

Annual income: Average Gross over 5 years prior to marriage approx \$70k per year 0 debt and credit score high 700's w/o any derogatory marks (prior to Dissolution/TRO 789, now 434)

Assets: 91 Toyota 4-Runner (sold for money to Thailand family/debt), 01 BMW 740i (salvaged), A small 14 ft criss craft boat (sold for money to Thailand family/debt), a Buell Motorcycle (have), 1994 Van/Service Truck (used as down payment on Hyndai 2014) 2 quad runners (sold), a 25 year baseball card collection (disappeared), heirloom, inherited jewelry including necklaces and rings that had been my fathers and grandfathers (disappeared).

Status of Respondent Now:

Credit Ruined @ 434 from a 789. In debt to creditors on all credit cards, 2 recently charged off which will affect my credit for 10 years

Requesting Court Docs to assist removal of negative credit with payoff.

Sold Assets in marriage for money to Thailand Family

Surina Family Heirlooms disappeared.

Inoperable, salvaged 01 BMW 740i - \$542.00 scrap purchase buy back

2002 Buell Motorcycle owned prior to marriage - current value \$1700.00

Current Vehicle - \$500.00 total purchase 1997 Subaru

August 2017-December 2018

Homeless (living with sister) due to court error of finance

December 2018 - present Rent 2 Bedroom Apartment \$1250.00

Status of Petitioner (Sirinya Polarj) Prior to Marriage and (current in parenthesis)

No credit for credit bureaus used in The United States

Residual Income from Investments in Thailand - Thai Life Annuities

(she still has all and more)

Thailand Property Ownership- Rural North Thailand Village - Family Registration Land - no running water, no drainage, dirt floors (Still has - now with improvements paid for by Aaron)

Rent - Condo in - Pattaya, Thailand with friends.

* Refused to pool any *not a single dollar or penny EVER* to our marriage/family in

America - even when we had to go on WIC and Welfare between jobs.

ALL of her Thailand investments and property have GROWN with further investments from the "community income" in marriage.

Toyota Hi-Lux Custom, Turbo, Truck in Thailand with loan (that I paid off @\$3500.00)

Sirinya left Thailand apparently owing THOUSANDS of dollars to Loan Sharks - that I paid.

These additional investments, increasing her Thailand assets and residual income with annuity earnings in the range of 280%. **

Sirinya came to America without a single personal belonging except legal papers, passport, Identification and her cell phone.

All her personal belongings, assets, investments, jewelry, etc. were left in Thailand.

I brought her shopping to buy a wardrobe and then labored for the income for everything she obtained through the marriage, including extravagant gifts of gold, jewelry, watches, etc. All of her pre-marriage finances, investments, personal property have been kept in Thailand and none of that revenue or property has entered the United States.

HOWEVER - many thousands of dollars, she has sent to Thailand in her name, from America in overseas investments.


Sirinya Polarj (Surina) Status Post Dissolution Filing 8/14/2019 and Current:

- Credit Score 740
- Obtained most of my personal property and profits of my entire life.
- Finished house on her property in Thailand, paid for - from my understanding and our agreement to cause investment - this was for our retirement - using community income.
- Redirected around \$40,000.00 between 2015-2017 to offshore concealed accounts and investments using her mother in Thailand to withdraw money from our joint accounts.
- Thousands in money wires to Thailand from Walmart - showing as purchases in amounts of \$100 - \$300 a few times a month. I did not know this until an attorney looked at my bank statements, post dissolution filing, and asked "who spends that much at Walmart?"

I requested the transaction information and found out that they were money wires, not purchases. She was freely "shopping". Sirinya was directing money from our community accounts to her Thailand accounts without agreement nor knowledge of the community. I did not know this was happening.
- Current Living - Since the property sold June 2019, Sirinya has obtained a house that her rent is \$1795.00 per month that she is paying. This is \$207.00 more per month than the highest we paid as a family and \$471.00 more than our family average rent/mortgage payment over the course of our marriage and more than support she is receiving.

- Sirinya is paying the car payment, utilities, etc and is not claiming income.
- Sirinya's living expenses and lifestyle have increased over that of our marriage.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that this plan was proposed in good faith and that the information is true and correct. Signed in spokane, WA on August 28, 2019



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Aaron Surina', is written over a horizontal line.

Aaron Surina

1) JOINT FINANCIAL STATUS AND WORKSHEET

	RESPON DENT (II) Value	To Wife	To Husband	Comm. Or Sep.	Value	To Wife	To Husband	Comm. Or Sep.	Court's Value	To Wife	To Husband	Comm. Or Sep.
5.7 BANK ACCOUNTS:												
Bank, Branch, & Type of Acct	Checkin g: BoFA -119.44 BoFA 149.34		X X X X	SEP								
4480				SEP	-119							
1302				SEP	134							
SAVINGS	397			SEP	397							
5.8 BUSINESS												
AMAZON BIZ FORCED OUT OF BIZ	-600 /MO	WASTED REVENUE STREAM IN SCHEME		COMM LOSS	-14,400.0 EST. LOSS							

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(a) Installment Debt Distribution Worksheet

[illegible]

FILED

2019 AUG 28 P 4:30
TIMOTHY W. FITZGERALD
SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

Superior Court of Washington, County of Spokane County

In re:

Petitioner/s (person/s who started this case)

Sirinya Polarj (Surina)

And Respondent/s (other party/parties)

Aaron Surina

No. 17-3-01817-0

Declaration of (name): Karmen Colby
(DCLR)

Declaration of (name): Karmen Colby

1. I am (age): _____ years old and I am the (check one): ☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent

☒ Other (relationship to the people in this case): see below

2. I declare: see below

My name is Karmen Colby. I am 47 years old. I am sister to Aaron Surina, Sister in Law to Sirinya Polarj (Surina) and aunt to the 2 boys – DMS (7 years old) and AAS (3 years old).

I have been witness to the majority of this case, in court, the exchanges, time of the boys with their Father as well as time in relationship, since 2012, with the family of 4 prior to this dissolution of marriage.

I was on the call for the request of emergency medical services / "911 call", for the 3 year old. June 2019, Aaron called me crying that Sirinya just called him at work saying that AAS's head was bleeding and there is blood everywhere and she needed help -. I started crying. We were both bawling, knowing that this 3 year old gets punched in the head and hurt regularly at his mom's house. Is his head busted open? When is this going to stop? Will they kill him before

2 1/4

someone helps? What damage are they doing to his head? At 3 years old now he has had so many head injuries in his mothers care. What is this 10, 12, 15?? This precious child, why won't anyone help???

Okay –pause, what is going on and what can you do?

I told Aaron, you can NOT go in to help, you are not allowed in the house or on the property – they've made it so you can't help - even when Sirinya calls for your help – plus all the things they have done trying to set you up – who knows what is going on. Your only option is to call 911 and ask for medical help – she called you saying his head is hurt and there is blood everywhere – calling for emergency medical help is the right thing to do.

Aaron (Dad) called for emergency medical services. I was on the phone with Aaron, on the call for the entire time of the request for emergency services and then during their attending to the child, except for when the call dropped and I called back – as well as the police activity with those present. My request to the court is that you listen to the audio of the call and obtain the body camera footage from the police officers that attended the event. The transcript of the call, which was submitted from the petitioner as Trial Notebook Exhibit P-34 page 4, is very minimal of the entire event - and very minimal of the actual phone call - while the audio and video is real life.

You will hear a father scared for his child's life, safety and well-being. You will hear a child (6 year old) very scared to go in the house to see if the brother is "awake or sleeping" as the 911 operator asked. You will hear a child afraid of his home and mother, saying he can't go in the house because mom is really mad. You will hear the child say there is blood everywhere. You will hear Aaron saying for them NOT to send police but AUTHORITIES chose to send police. You will see a Father crying and comforting his hurt and scared child while the mother is angry and yelling. You will see the Father asking the mother to stop. You will see the mother on the phone calling her attorney yelling at him to 'get him'. You will see the mother tell the police he (Aaron/Dad) is not allowed to see the kids, it isn't his time with

2/4

them, while the police asked her, didn't you call him for help? Yes.

This is like the rest of this court case. The court sees a false narrative and false facts -
A FICTIONAL STORY since and including **THE LIE FILLED – NO EVIDENCE,**
CONTRADICTING TRO AND DISSOLUTION both FILED to the Court on August 14, 2017
AND the FALSE 911 CALL, CAUSING S.W.A.T. Police or Excessive Police, I don't know
exact term, to show up (which they quickly realized was a false call) to remove **AARON**
SURINA AND OUR 70+ YEAR OLD MOTHER FROM Aaron Surina's property (1616
Rocky Ridge Road, Spokane Valley, WA).
TWO YEARS of this - August 2017 – August 2019 so far.

The Spokane Police Records Dept (Annex / District Court Building), when Aaron
requested the police reports from activity at the previous property (1616 Rocky Ridge
Road), the office officer assisting us, came out apologizing to us for taking so long, and
strongly urged Aaron to get an attorney to subpoena the Body Cam Footage from the
Police Activity at the house. An attorney was hired for THAT ONE thing as THE priority
as directed by the Sheriff/Police office, but did not do it – listening to Mr. Keith Glanzer.
It wasn't needed. Same as correcting the finances. It seemed that Keith Glanzer
assured Aaron's attorney they were correct and that Aaron was just a pain in the ass
and had plenty of money. This was early 2018. The finances are very incorrect.
The Sheriff's Office boldly stressed to us – you need to get the video, we can't give it to
you without a subpoena from an attorney and we give it to the attorney.
The Sheriff's office also said, we are so sorry you are going through this.
YOU REALLY NEED TO GET THOSE VIDEOS.

The REAL LIFE, actual AUDIO of the emergency call, June 27, 2019, and the body-cam
footage from the Police that were present will show the truth.

Address Location: 227 East 22nd Street, Spokane Washington

3/4

Caller: Aaron Surina

Called Crime Check: (509)456-2233

Time: Afternoon – 14:38 – around 2:30pm

(Number any pages you attach to this Declaration. Page limits may apply.)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the facts I have provided on this form (and any attachments) are true. ☐ I have attached (number) 0 pages.

Signed at (city and state): Spokane, WA Date: 8/28/2019

Karmen Colby Karmen Colby
Sign here Print name

Warning! Documents filed with the court are available for anyone to see unless they are sealed. Financial, medical, and confidential reports, as described in General Rule 22, must be sealed so they can only be seen by the court, the other party, and the lawyers in your case. Seal those documents by filing them separately, using a *Sealed* cover sheet (form FL All Family 011, 012, or 013). You may ask for an order to seal other documents.

FILED

2019 AUG 28 P 4: 32

TIMOTHY W. FITZGERALD
SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

Superior Court of Washington, County of Spokane County

In re:

Petitioner/s (person/s who started this) case

Sirinya Polarij (Surina)No. 17-3-01817-0Declaration of
(name): Karmen Colby
(DCLR)

And Respondent/s (other party/parties)

Aaron SurinaDeclaration of (name): Karmen Colby1. I am (age): _____ years old and I am the (check one): ☐ Petitioner ☐ Respondent☒ Other (relationship to the people in this case): See below2. I declare: See below

My name is Karmen Colby. I am 47 years old. I am the sister to Aaron Surina, Sister in Law to Sirinya Polarij (Surina) and aunt to the 2 boys – DMS (7 years old) and AAS (3 years old).

I have been witness to the majority of this case, in court, the exchanges, time of the boys with their Father as well as time in relationship, since 2012, with the family of 4 prior to this dissolution of marriage.

Real Life Understanding:

I minimized this as much as possible. I could not figure out how to navigate the complexities, possibilities nor the surety of LGR 0.15 4 A i, B and C and GR-15, for RCW

1/13
de

9A.36.120, RCW 9A.36.011, RCW 9A.36.140, RCW 9A.36.021, RCW 9.94A...,
including extensive page lengths.

Aaron Surina has been the Primary Caretaker of his children since the day they were born. This includes relationship, loving, nurturing, play, guidance, school, medical, social, activities, extracurricular, planning, providing, teaching, school meetings, volunteer P.E. Dad, community involvement, volunteering, school conferences, doctors visits, diaper changes, feeding, brushing teeth, story time before bed, good morning songs with it's a beautiful day, etc, -

- All of it. How could that be? Explained below.

Aaron Surina and Sirinya Polarj are both INCREDIBLY HONORABLE PEOPLE. They both hold very strong family values (that are VERY DIFFERENT) at the core of their character and worth. To HONOR their family is essential to who they are. Both of them have perseverance and fortitude to HONOR their family values, as diametrically opposed as those values are. They are both very wise and knowledgeable in their individual pursuits. This court case has strengthened and empowered both of them in Honoring their individual values.

The DIFFERENCES in their family values create discord in a life shared in marriage and they definably, indisputably and undeniably affect the children.

Real life with the people involved - took a few years to understand the dynamics and "why's".

The diverse dynamics of social and familial construct are not a 'standard' here, while the best interests of children, I believe, and hope, does hold a standard.

Aaron Surina Honors and Values a Nuclear Family Construct with Mom and Dad working together as a team for the best interests of the children and the core family of Mom, Dad and kids (whether married or divorced). Aaron honors a Family Group including Extended Family Relationships with the Family of both Mom and Dad, with life shared with Grandparents,

Aunts, Uncles, Cousins, Nieces and Nephews. Aaron invests his life into providing for the children, a childhood environment of stability, love, nurturing, guidance and experiences that allow them to learn and develop their natural talents, hobbies, intelligence, interests, pursuits, etc. He invests himself into his children to grow up with love and care for themselves and others. The family value system of Aaron honors the best interests and well being of the children for their social, emotional, health, academic and relational lives as the foundation of his decisions for their childhood and their future as adults. Children are valuable as amazing young people that we have a responsibility to raise up to positively participate and contribute to their communities and the world. You will see below how Aaron does this VERY WELL and REMARKABLY. Aaron values honoring his wife, and the mother of his children. He pursues the best relationships possible, within the parameters that exist, for all of them, for the benefit of his children. Both a Father and Mother are very important for children to Aaron – Loving and Nurturing Father and Mother specifically.

Sirinya Polarj Honors and Values a Matriarchal Family Construct with the mother as the top of the hierarchy, in control of, with ownership of all below her. Sirinya's loyalty is to her responsibility to her Matriarchal family, her mother and grandmother. Children are valuable as they are born to serve and continue the matriarch, never leaving their responsibility or position. Male children are responsible to serve and provide for the matriarch. Female children are responsible to provide for and to continue the matriarch. Both male and female children are raised up to continue the same pursuits as the generation before them - for the matriarch.

Uncles raise nephews to continue. The highest matriarch raise girls to continue.

Only those born into the matriarchal family are considered family and they don't leave it - albeit for temporary pursuits – for the matriarch.

Husbands are "visitors" that are servants and providers for the matriarch – truly expected to only visit. There is NO FATHER in Sirinya's Matriarch Family Values.

Sirinya has said multiple times, "they don't need a dad."

There is NO FATHER in her family group/matriarch.

Fathers do NOT Exist in the Matriarch. It is a contradiction to the matriarchal construct.

The matriarch is to be protected, defended and continued.

CHILDREN TAKEN CARE OF by the mother instead of children TAKING care of the matriarch - is out of order in the matriarch.

**Thus, one of the reasons - Aaron Surina was and is the Primary Caretaker of the children and an incredible, loving, nurturing, empathy filled, Love and Logic Father -
- ABOVE and BEYOND Expectations due to the differences in family views.**

When the oldest son was delivered at the hospital and handed by the nurse to Sirinya, she pushed him away in disgust and said, 'Get him away from me.' It was thought that it might be post-partum depression, but over time it was realized that it was the matriarchal family values.

Aaron Surina held his son and become the Primary Caretaker, Diaper Changer, Feeder, Nurturer and incredibly Loving Father of his children - of his 2 sons, from that moment forward - August 12, 2012 to present.

Sirinya and I had a very detailed conversation December 2012. Sirinya said that babies are too much work. She never referred to the child by name. It was 'the baby'.

"The Baby" was work. There were no cuddles or hugs or coos or giggles or singing or talking to 'the baby' by Sirinya. Aaron did/does these things - a lot. He changed the diapers, fed, sang to, giggled, played, etc. He was/is so proud to be a Daddy and he loves his kids. When Aaron saw me coo and sing and talk to son #2, AAS, at about 1 year old, changing his diaper (5 years into Sirinya and Aaron being parents), Aaron started sobbing, crying, he said, my boys have never had their mother do that to them.

In December 2012, Sirinya and I had a conversation that was shocking to both of us.

Sirinya asked me in dismay - WHY?? am I taking care of my children and my house. She

2413

said, "you're rich, you have a big beautiful house, you have a husband and kids, WHY are you taking care of them???? THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO TAKE CARE OF YOU. You're rich but you're working taking care of everyone???" I explained to her that this is my kids house, not mine, I wouldn't have it if it wasn't FOR them, this is our family, our family home and we all work as a team. I'm mom, taking care of everyone is what I do. My husband and I work as a team FOR our children and our family. She was adamant – "That's wrong, VERY WRONG, NEVER, NO, NO, NO – I will NEVER do that, they are supposed to take care of you."

Sirinya changed topic to say, "Look at my diamonds Aaron got me, I'm going to get Aaron to buy me 100 carats of diamonds." I responded, "Wow, I've been married 11 years and I don't even have 1 Carat of diamonds, everything goes to my kids." She responded, "No, no, NO, not right, everything go to you, not kids, this is very wrong"

Sirinya equated me to a servant.

As much as she asked me in shock – I WAS VERY SHOCKED! The detail of that conversation is bold in my memory down to the intricate detail of tone and expression.

This conversation began to make sense over time and became very clear to be true.

I spent my Christmas money that year buying many things FOR THEM to make taking care of a baby easier as I had found out that Sirinya would not let any money be spent on things for the child.

The child's 1st Birthday arrived on the calendar with no plans for a Birthday Party. We ended up bringing a Birthday Party to them, as we continued for more to come. I didn't understand it then, but giving gifts to the child seemed to be a disrespect. The toys given - ride on and walker, building and child development - given to the child, quickly got broken by Sirinya and thrown in the trash. This continued for gifts given to the children – to now still. Their toys and gifts thrown in the trash, brand new cars taken away and thrown in the trash, brand new souvenir, special event hats cut up with scissors and thrown in the trash – in front of them.

5/13

If we gave gifts to Sirinya, it was okay. It took a long time to understand all of these differences in value of children and family constructs. Investing into the children with love, nurturing, experiences, worth, gifts, relationship, etc. is met with disdain. This was a cause for many battles in their home.

Teaching children to pee in the toilet is met with argument as their mother sends them outside to pee. DMS started school and would pee on the playground. AAS regularly pees in public currently, in the street, on the playground...

This is NOT taught by Dad.

Feeding the children – pushing food in their mouth, saying EAT, while they are walking around is what they were used to from mom. Trying to have them sit at the table and eat in community with others, is met with arguments. They don't need to sit at the table, they don't need to sit with the family, that's mom's view. Aaron pursues, a family norm that the family eats meals together at the table. The pursuit for family meals was lost. Sirinya would make herself food and Aaron would make him and the boys food and sit with them for meals.

Understanding the hierarchy values of others is essential for eliminating conflicts and achieving the most success out of relationships between two people as possible. Aaron did everything he could to HONOR Sirinya and her values while also taking the best care of his children as could be provided in the disagreements and imbalance of values of and for children. To invest in the children required investing in Sirinya or her family more or the matriarch was out of order – it was considered disrespect and abuse. Not obeying Sirinya was met with – You abused me – AND physical abuse OF Aaron BY Sirinya. This also resulted in MANY Thousands of Dollars sent to Thailand Family and Extravagant Gifts bought for Sirinya.

Beginning to understand the matriarchal family structure that is honored and defended to no end, made things begin to make sense. It didn't make it okay, it just made it understandable and enabled everyone to do their best to balance honor to Sirinya. Due to Aaron's

6/13

embarrassment being abused and to what was going on, he didn't let us know the depth and extent of it. I've seen all of the videos, they are horrifying - Aaron crouched in the corner being beat on by Sirinya.

The matriarchs must 'defend themselves' from disrespect. All that enters the house belongs to the highest mother of the house. To not give it to her is stealing what is hers. Sirinya made a report that Aaron stole Sirinya and her mothers money because he did not turn over his paycheck to them. They'd already emptied bank accounts and the safe of all money and gold and left utilities unpaid, near shut-off. Sirinya threw a battery at Aaron's face to defend herself from Aaron ASKING where OUR money is when she emptied a bank account. She said it is HER money, not ours and threw a battery at his face with their child present. I've seen the video.

Any disobedience or disrespect of the matriarch is to be defended.

The same injuries Aaron has had from Sirinya when he was in the home - black eyes, clawed arms, clawed face, bruises from being aggressively grabbed and aggressively punched, gouges clawed out of skin and more - are the same injuries both children have had while in the care of their mother. These injuries on the children are ONLY SINCE their PRIMARY CARETAKER and the RECEIVER OF ALL OF THE ABUSE IN THE HOUSE - was removed from the children's home with lies. The only known physical injury to the children, prior to Aaron, their father, being removed from his home (with a lie filled TRO), was the VERY BLOODY and SWOLLEN FACE of the oldest child as seen in Aaron's Trial Notebook - which Sirinya insisted that he was picking his nose in the car when it was just the 2 of them in the car. She filed a declaration about it in the court file.

Aaron took care of the children and took all of her abuse prior. He would work from home, come home throughout the day or race home from work when she would call for him.

ae 7/13

The "back and forth" of exchanges and the 'drama' is due to many tears, despair, fear and trauma of the children. I've been to the majority of the exchanges.

I am deleting 2 pages of detail here.

The children often beg, cry, and plead not to go to their moms, often due to detailed fear.

This has been going on for 2 years. There have been peaceful exchanges. There have been many conversations. There have been shared popsicles. There have been kids running in the house to get something, exchange shoes, drop off backpacks, grab a blanket, etc. There have been 2 fun days at Silverwood, May 2018 and May 2019 (honoring the matriarch with Dad taking care of the kids). There have been gifts given. Aaron has done everything possible to provide and protect the best relationships possible for his children, even with all that has been done. If friendly and peaceful co-parenting of parents who love and nurture and protect their children is possible Aaron would definitely engage and invest in positive relationships for his children. He has given Sirinya every possible benefit of the doubt even pursuing protecting her from 'advisors' in the Debacle Dissolution. We as family have all given every possible benefit of the doubt, investing into the hope of a peaceful dissolution of marriage and going forward peacefully together as extended family. We have children in our lives together, we have family and life, kids today's and their futures, school events, birthday parties, weddings – we have walked in hope that we could ALL do the best for these children – BUT – Sirinya has chosen to do all of this and it has continued to get worse. The reality is one that we must all accept. This discord has continued to get worse as this case continues and life outside of the marriage expands. Aaron's every single day has been focused on the best interests of his children whether they are with him or not.

The 'drama' goes in waves as do the injuries of the children and the unbelievable happenings in the court.

Aaron Surina always has been and is the Primary Caregiver, Provider, Nurturer, and PARENT

8/13

of his children.

Sirinya has had multiple non-related 'uncles' to the children that she has had making decisions for the children in the past 2 years. "Uncles" - men who have been making decisions, going to doctors visits of the children, bringing children to counseling sessions – including going into counseling sessions, driving to and from school, showing up at the school and telling the school they are the uncle or grandpa and communicating decisions to the school. Son #2 say some have been very mean, have punched him in the face, and mom laughed, they have told him he is annoying, they have screamed at him. He has woke up in a motel room with a bunch of strangers laughing at him with what appears to be cigarette burns on his arms by our observation of the injuries. Mom's male visitors punch the kids in the face and mom doesn't do anything or laughs – more than once – both children, different events. The 'uncles' and rotating male visitors change frequently.

When asked – Why are the kids saying your friend, a man, punched '3 year old' in the face and you watched and did nothing about it? Sirinya's answer – This is my house, what happens in my house is my business, not yours. You're just jealous." This was 8/21/2019 - as she was just telling of her new car sitting in the driveway – a New Model Mustang Cobra, Royal Blue with a White Stripe.

The boys said that is the man's car who brought his brother and his brother in the black shirt punched 3 year old in the face. Sirinya said the car is hers now.

Aaron Surina is an incredible father who loves his children beyond any father I know. He has been reading parenting books and doing online courses of parenting and raising children since he became a father. Not only is he a great Dad, he continues to pursue improving. He has been horribly abused, stolen from and his character horribly attacked and has kept walking the path, for the well-being of his children. His children are is biggest and highest priority in life.

Aaron is a FULL TIME DAD. He balances everything else with that, with the children's

29/13

best interest at the heart of everything he does. He has done this since they were born.

Aaron is a loving, nurturing, caring, encouraging, love and logic father. He has empathy and understanding. He handles upset with love and communication, hugs and words of affirmation and encouragement of I love you, I am so proud of you, you are such a good boy, you are so smart, you can do this, let's do this together, how can I help you?. He is observant of the need to redirect and facilitate guidance through relationship, love and engaging in a positive direction of activity and solution. Aaron creates and facilitates a life of love and learning adventures. He is the pied piper of children.

Neighborhood children gather for the experience that Aaron brings to the children. Kids say I wish I had a dad like Aaron. Aaron adds benefit to children's lives, bringing them on hikes and bike rides, swimming, city bus rides, bargain shopping for used bikes and kids cars to work on and fix together. He does back yard campouts with a tent and smores and flashlight adventure stories. AARON HAD JUST FINISHED PUTTING UP A TENT WITH HIS 5 YEAR OLD SON FOR A BACKYARD CAMPOUT, still in his work clothes - WHEN HE WAS SERVED TO LEAVE HIS HOME IN 5 MINUTES – dated picture of his son in the tent - in Respondent Trial Notebook.

THE POLICE BODY CAM VIDEO FROM THAT EVENT, 08/14/2017 AT 1616 ROCKY RIDGE ROAD, SPOKANE VALLEY, WA WILL SHOW TRAUMATIC ABUSE OF THE CHILDREN - NOT BY THEIR FATHER,

Aaron gets painting supplies and makes an arts and crafts afternoon to paint with his kids. He brings his kids to the children's museum and engages in all of the learning play. He reads books and sings silly songs and makes music. He talks, sings and dances in rhyme to help them learn and sing and dance and play and so much more like confidence in expression. He sits down and makes race tracks with them, out of tracks or even toilet paper if that is their direction. He builds sledding/bobsled tracks in the snow in the winter and does all these things as a team together. He teaches his kids how to snowboard. He gardens with them and

10/13

teaches them all throughout it. He brings the kids to airshows, parades and seasonal and annual events. He volunteers for Spokane events, even with his kids - the event coordinators tell him, come with your kids, we will make a "job" for you to do together. They even get to ride on the special carts for the people in charge. They actively participate in being a part of the community - together. These kids have amazing, remarkable life with their Dad and they love it. These kids have an amazing dad!

The boys neighborhood friends at both my house and Aarons can't wait for the boys to be there. Often, as soon as the boys arrive, neighborhood friends are knocking on the door. Aaron examples living and loving life with children. Aaron engages in positive life with the community.

Aaron Surina brings and gives joy to people everywhere he goes. He is an example of loving people, all people, everywhere. He always has been. He is that guy who sees someone melancholy in a store and knows just what to do, something silly, like a random moonwalk twist with a little song, even though he is more goofy than good at it, but that changes their frown to a smile and that is his purpose. He truly lives his life loving people and loving life. He is the person who doesn't just hand a homeless person money, or ignore them, he buys them food and sits down and eats with them and encourages them, listens to them and let's them know they are cared for and human. Aaron is the person who adopts the saddest, most needing of love dog at the dog pound. He is the teenager that brought the friend home from school, whose parents neglected him and that friend grew up to be a part of our family and an amazing, father, husband and contributor to society. Aaron has always been the rescuer and helper of people. He is the friendly guy at cashiers that brightens everyone's day. Aaron is a volunteer search and rescue person with the Sheriffs Department. He has been since he was a young adult. He is a volunteer for emergency communication systems for Spokane. After Hurricane Katrina, he rebuilt the emergency communications systems in New Orleans. Aaron Surina is an upstanding citizen who gives of himself and his amazing talents to others. Aaron

11/13

is an upstanding employee who has been supported through this divorce by his employer. He is highly valued because of who he is.

Aaron Surina is an Amazing Father and this is his Highest Priority. This is the Aaron Surina that the real world knows outside of this exasperating, harassing, scary, vexatious attack on him, his reputation, his life, his well-being and that of his children. This attempted destruction of a very good person, an upstanding citizen, I don't have words for. It's unfathomable that there are humans that would do this to another – but they have. Aaron is resilient and has a very strong family support system for him and his boys. They will thrive in life. The boys absolutely love their father and are confident, happy, safe, secure, and protected when they are with him.

Our family is one that physical touch is hugs, high-fives and handshakes and that the heart of authenticity behind them is true. Raising up boys that are going to be 'LARGE MEN', is one that is done with purpose of being men of integrity, honor, thought, intellect, art, music, creativity, building, science, technology, engineering, communication and service to the community. It is done with purpose of no aggression, just love, from early childhood on. It is raising gentle giants who are kind hearted, giving men. It is every day, exemplifying to them love and kindness and soft heartedness to others so their size is not intimidating. It is guiding from childhood to utilize their minds and their hearts. It is facilitating dealing with upset by seeking actual solutions rather than just being upset. It is raising young men to use their minds, confidently knowing they can be problem solvers so anger is not a result of upset. This is a day in, day out, of how you approach life from as simple of a challenge as being out of toilet paper. It's living by example and learning by example. Anger is not a solution to anything. For generations, this is the construct of our family. Our Surina grandfather was a master horticulturist of Camellias and Azaleas with International Recognition. Our father was a NASA Aerospace Engineer.

Physically aggressive or combative, angry large men is not a future of positive results.

Given Aaron's size, if he was physically aggressive, it would be evident – he is not.

12/13

Aaron Surina is a gentle giant filled with love, intellect, arts, creativity and a love of people.

I do believe that the Kingdom of Thailand made their Final Divorce Decree and Child Custody Decree with full knowledge and understanding of Familial Constructs and Family Values throughout The Kingdom of Thailand's various regions where family registrations are set for continuing generations. Aarons request to them to add that Sirinya would still be able to have visitation is his hope that the children's mother will choose to take steps to have a healthy relationship with the boys and that she would know that he wants that for them and her. This would be fully based on Sirinya's choices to participate in healthy parenting and co-parenting for the best interests of the children, I do believe that Aaron would and could facilitate events where Aaron and the boys could honor their mother and have a healthy experience. It is important to Aaron. An example is the Silverwood Days they did. Those worked great and everyone was smiles. Aaron was the caretaker of the children, the provider, and Aaron and the boys honored Sirinya, This is important for healthy relationships. The boys had a happy day with both their father and mother.

(Number any pages you attach to this Declaration. Page limits may apply.)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the facts I have provided on this form (and any attachments) are true. ☐ I have attached (number) 0 pages.

Signed at (city and state): Spokane, WA Date: 8/28/2019
[Signature] Karmen Colby
Sign here Print name

Warning! Documents filed with the court are available for anyone to see unless they are sealed. Financial, medical, and confidential reports, as described in General Rule 22, must be sealed so they can only be seen by the court, the other party, and the lawyers in your case. Seal those documents by filing them separately, using a Sealed cover sheet (form FL All Family 011, 012, or 013). You may ask for an order to seal other documents

13/13

To Who It May Concern, August 21, 2019

I am writing this letter at the request of Aaron Surina who is a male victim of battering, a family friend and colleague, who my husband and I have supported as he goes through a very difficult and contentious divorce. I am also a previous Military Commander who has been confronted by similar situations of American men marrying opportunistic women from third world countries who have destroyed the lives of well-intentioned individuals and their children. I am also a previous battered woman, and current domestic violence advocate whose only interest is the safety and well-being of the Surina children.

Almost two years ago, Aaron had confided to me and my family the experiences he had regarding the abuse he experienced, and the neglect of his children, and about the shame and stigma he felt being a male victim of battering. He shared photos of his children with bruises and unusual scratches and video clips of violent outbursts and attacks. We were horrified by these secrets and saw that Aaron and his family were ill equipped to deal with the escalating dysfunction, and encouraged Aaron to seek assistance from local domestic violence organizations and recommended counseling. Due to my previous experience of these types of situations, I warned him to immediately get a restraining order when he first shared the circumstances. I knew that he and the children would be in a very vulnerable state and there was the possibility of his spouse turning the tables on him and weaponizing a temporary restraining order based on lies and grifting so common in these cases.

Aaron is a good father who loves his children. We opened our home to him for a bed to sleep in when his budget worksheet was inaccurately calculated and he was left without enough money to pay rent, buy food or put gas in his car. We have consistently witnessed the care and love he has of his boys, and I personally know that he was the primary care giver for the children prior to being falsely accused of battering. Aaron is a person of integrity and well respected in our place of work and community. The Surina family has been manipulated by multiple non-family members who hoped to monetarily gain from their tragedy.

It is heart wrenching to see how the children weep and cry when they have to return to their mother. I am hopeful that the current Judge will see through the lies, manipulation and ill intentions of others who have interfered with this family's well-being, and that he, his ex-wife, and children heal from the trauma induced by the corruption woven throughout this case.

Please let me know if further information is requested.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that this plan was proposed in good faith and that the information.

Thank you,

Donna Radcliff

509-688-3320