

CN: 201703018170

**SN: 290**

PC: 10

FILED

JUN 12 2019

Timothy W. Fitzgerald  
SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

**Superior Court of Washington, County of Spokane**

**In re: Surina Marriage – intl travel  
agreements required**

Petitioner/s (*person/s who started this case*):

**SIRINYA POLARJ (Surina)**

And Respondent

**AARON SURINA**

No. 17-3-01817-0

*Declaration of Aaron Surina in response to  
petitioner's motion to allow intl travel w/o  
parental agreement & Violating rules of  
civil procedure in obtaining shortened  
hearing dates without appropriate  
agreements*

(DCLR)

**Declaration of Aaron Surina**

- 1.** I am 41 years old, I am the Respondent and father of David and Andrew Surina. I do not agree to shortened time and a hearing I can't be present for on June 13, 2019. There is no reason I can think of why he can not bring his argument in front of the court on the already shortened timeline of June 18, 2019 unless his client has made travel plans to take our children to Thailand without providing notice.
- 2. I.** Sirinya Surina has been "evicted", expelled from her home and details explaining it and surrounding it were explained to the court in the ExParte request on Friday. Sirinya and our children have been evicted by the actions in this court of her counsel and the self proclaimed helpers of her. Her counsel and the self proclaimed helper

of her have indebted her for approx. \$77,000.00. She has been caused to sign a full power of attorney to this man that she is indebted to, of which she has expressed she would never do when we explained to her what she signed. They (Carl Wilson & Keith Glanzer) have submitted it as court record in case attached, where Mr. Surina in concern for his children and his wife was pursuing a restraining order against the man, Carl Wilson for sexual assault that Mr. Surina's son told members of the Surina and Colby families starting right before Mr. Wilson funded this chaotic civil war within the Surina family through promises of wealth and support to obtain Sirinya's signature as a proxy to attack Mr. Surina and remove his children from him.

**It's horrifying to consider what they have done to this family.**

II. Sirinya claims she has been forced to pay the child support payments to Carl Wilson and his wife for the interest only on the loans since prior to April 2018 when she told me and witness Karmen Colby. I believe this was approximately when her counsel filed for additional restraining orders from keeping Surinya and I from talking. When Sirinya reaches out and talks to me, her counsel files for restraining orders presenting to the court that I am a problem.

III. This same man caused Sirinya to sign an open ended deed, beginning around \$35,000.00, essentially the deed to a property that she does not even own and they filed and recorded it with the county property recorders thus placing Carl Wilson as a property owner with deed to title of my Sole and Separate Property.

IV. They (petitioners team in this case) proceeded to force the sale of my sole and separate property and by court order, misleading the court, receiving court orders to remove me from the transaction of my real estate property - the home of Sirinya and

our boys when they are with her. When I refused to sign transaction documents that were paying Carl Wilson as a deed holder of my property, and I notified authorities of these crimes, Mr. Glanzer filed and obtained from the court an order that they can sell my property without me AND that I was not allowed to contact any of the banks or transaction professionals regarding the sale of my sole and separate real estate property.

**V.** This is only a small part of what has occurred and it seems that no one is listening or paying attention. Sirinya has absolutely been evicted from her home. The transaction attorney Steve Gustafson explained to the court in the Ex- Parte request as did I, what this eviction is and that Sirinya said she and the children do not have a residence to go to as she has also confirmed in her declaration presented here that I am responding to.

**VI.** The man who has indebted Sirinya, who is the man who Mr. Glanzer has told this court in oral argument (and Mr. Glanzer has also submitted a written declaration stating the same), is the one writing all of her declarations, confirmed and told to our courts by Carl Wilson, his attorney Lisa Brewer and by Keith Glanzer in both oral and signed declaration form.

**VII.** This same man hired Mr. Glanzer for this case. Previously, earlier on in this case, Sirinya did obtain another residence, paid her deposit, notified me of her new residence but her counsel told her she could NOT move out of the house. She has stated that she lost her deposit and does not now have a new residence to move to.

**VIII.** She is now indebted to Carl Wilson and his wife On Wilson and Keith glanzer for approximately \$77,000.00 and they have submitted proof of this to the court in this case. Mr. Glanzer has also said that he (Aaron) will never step foot on that property again. I imagine that this is because he fraudulently removed Mr. Surina from his sole and separate property and the home of myself, Sirinya and our boys in August 2017. Mr. Glanzer and the self proclaimed helper Carl Wilson would not let her move out until after the closing.

**IX.** Mr. Glanzer and Carl Wilson were adamant to include the specifications of such in their paperwork for the transaction that she would have possession of the property until the hours after the official closing. This also was one of the reasons it seems that we lost one of the buyers or transactions. The buyers were concerned that they would not be able to remove her from the property after purchase because she did not have somewhere to go and they (those supposedly acting on Sirinya's behalf) would not do a transaction with her leaving the property before closing. To summarize, Sirinya Surina and our boys have been evicted from their home in a sinister and horrible way spanning a nightmare of 22 months orchestrated by Carl Wilson with the assistance of counsel Keith Glanzer in these courts.

**X.** The witness who remained counsel somehow; Mr. Keith Glanzer, who testified in court, on this case as the key witness with zero evidence and contradictions proving the filings false and has since continued this frivolous, vexatious, misleading the court case, while charging Sirinya approximately \$77,000.00 as counsel in a case that he by law can not be counsel to as he was the key witness.

**XI.** He testified having zero facts, completely hearsay AND the court has received his own confirmation that Mr. Carl Wilson and his wife are the writers of the declarations and interestingly, I hope the court is listening and seeing what is going on, Carl Wilson and his wife were able to obtain the deed of my property and enslave Sirinya Surina into approximately \$77,000.00 debt plus accruing interest of 12% to be paid to Carl Wilson and his wife On Wilson AND to caused not only myself but also Sirinya and our boys to be evicted from our home.

**XII.** The court has been lead to belive that I am harming my wife and children. I have not caused harm to my wife and children.

**XIII.**

**XIV.** You will see in my submission with the ex Parte on Friday that I am offering to help her, as I have done all along but this court has been mislead from the very first filings August 2017.

**3.** The abusive use of conflict by the petitioner and her counsel for the last 22 months trying to push me out of my children's lives has been terrifying.

**4.** This is misleading the court. The statement outlined in **#4** is confirmation of actions by the petitioners counsel that have caused detriment to Sirinya, myself and our children.

I. It is misleading that I caused delay in the closing. I was ordered by the court to have nothing to do with the transaction papers or communication regarding the transaction that I could only sign the papers and further that I don't have

to and that "they" the petitioner, her counsel Mr. Glanzer and their team could sell my sole and separate property without me.

II. The transaction attorney approached me and witness Karmen Colby after court on Thursday June 7, 2019 and communicated to us that no matter what court orders they have, he can not sell my property without me as the sole title holder and also that Sirinya can not be on the transaction as a seller. The court ordered this property to be sold through this fraud filled court case and the detrimental and dire financial circumstances that Sirinya, myself and our children have been put into.

III. Mr. Glanzer is filing with the court for all property transaction documents to not be allowed in court and he convinced my previous attorney Richard Kuck to agree to this without my knowledge and they signed a CR2A agreement which I was not privy to and which of course I do not agree to. This case is based on the lawlessness of counsel trying to steal rights to title, land and equity from the Surina Family.. (See attached email from Mr. Glanzer).

IV. I have received approximately \$28,000.00 in judgements or sanctions for refusing to sign fraudulent real estate transactions. Mr. Glanzer does not want the court to see the actual documents.

XV. I am requesting the court to reverse all judgements or sanctions on me regarding the sale of my sole and separate property and I am asking the court to charge Keith Glanzer with an amount equal to or more than that for the

losses Sirinya, myself and my children have due to the fraud on the court whether it's through contempt of court or cr11 sanctions.

5. I. Petitioner, Surinya Surina confirms that she does not have a permanent residence which is what I presented to the court in my request to mutually ban International Travel without court order approval and to temporarily have our children stay with me in my home where they have their own room, beds, clothes, toys, friends, etc, until she obtains a residence.
- II. I also offered to help her. I also submitted a very reasonable proposed parenting plan for stability of Sirinya, myself and our children and their actual lives. I would like to note that Sirinya has stated more than once that I have never submitted for a 50/50 parenting plan while I have submitted it multiple times to the court.
- III. I am asking the court to please confirm to Sirinya that I have indeed submitted 50/50 parenting plans, however, her counsel has stopped court from happening when Sirinya would be present to see that.
- IV. She has asked me why I am refusing to sign the 50/50 parenting plan that Keith offered me. I have never received a 50/50 parenting plan from Keith Glanzer, in fact, what I have received is much different.
- V. I have also witnessed Keith Glanzer angrily threaten Sirinya Surina in the halls of this court while we (Keith Glanzer, Sirinya Surina, myself Aaron Surina, and Karmen Colby) were all talking with each other this month.
- VI. Mr. Glanzer was acting out and embarrassed his client and Mr. Surina and his sister. Mr. Glanzer touted an impatient behavior acting out angry and communicated

to Mr. Surina and Ms. Colby – ***"I've told her it's easy if she wants out of this, all she has to do is pack up her kids bags and drop the kids off at his (Aaron's) doorstep and she's done, she can leave, but what I'm going to do is get this monster (Aaron) out of her life."*** This occurred when Sirinya was being told that she and Aaron could go to the court and tell the court that they talk and they want to be able to talk and that they have no problem communicating or co-parenting but counsel is driving perception for their own intent without concern for the wellbeing of any of the Surina family.

**8. Answer to #8**

I. Petitioner, Sirinya Surina has threatened that she would take the children to Thailand. If she has no intention of taking the children to Thailand, why is a mutual restraint not to travel out of the country without both parents agreeing to do so such a concern?

II. Petitioner has applied for foreign passports without the fathers signature.

Petitioner is misleading the court regarding Father having David's passport.

III. I believe the State Department Guidelines and direction of steps to take are the authority of experience regarding International Child Abduction risks, laws, Hague Convention and International Law. I have attached a report for the court to review from the office of juvenile justice which is a branch of the Dept of Justice.

IV. Their reports and publications even specify that local law enforcement and courts are not aware of International laws so you (I the parent) must take these steps. As far as not following my lawyers advice, my lawyers have said they know nothing



about International Child Abduction, Custody, Thailand Marriage, Divorce and custody laws and that they are only dealing with our local Spokane County Divorce.

**The problem is, our situation is not just a local Spokane County Divorce or Custody Case.**

Given the circumstances that Sirinya does not have a residence, she is indebted to Carl Wilson, On Wilson and Keith Glanzer for approximately \$77,000.00 and AOL judgments of funds she receives from this divorce are already deeded and or signed over to Carl and On Wilson, Sirinya Surina is a flight risk to flee to Thailand with our children

9. I. The advice of the State Department is vital in this matter.
- II. The statement that I obtained a Default Divorce in Thailand is grossely misleading the court and Sirinya Surina is very well aware of the legal process in Thailand.
- III. The decree of Divorce and Child Custody by Thailand Courts, valid under the Hauge Convention under International Law, it also was required because we married 2 times, once under Thailand law and once under United States Law, the Thailand Divorce and custody Orders were obtained with very detailed evidence that this U.S., Spokane County Court has been blocked from receiving while a fictional story has been presented, misleading the court.
- IV. The fact that I was charged, as the Petitioners declaration says, a judgement of \$4,000.00 for obeying International Law in this Spokane County Court and that Mr. Keith Glanzer was able to mislead and guide the court in their decision against me for it, again is a travesty. This decision has been accepted by appellate court and may be elevated to Supreme Court - that is pending.

V.

VI.

10. The State Department HAS advised me to obtain an order banning International Travel of my children and I have provided the court with that evidence.

I. In regards to the Children's Passports. The petitioners declaration is misleading the court. I DO have David's paper Passport. However as ordered by the court to turn over David's Card Passport, Sirinya has refused to do so and this has been a repeated request to Keith Glanzer. Sirinya has also taken the steps, pursuing to obtain Foreign Passports for our children and I have submitted that to the court. The fact that the Petitioner and her counsel are presenting to the court that I am misleading the court in this when the court has already been made aware of the facts that she DOES have 1 of David's said passports and has refused to follow the court order is concerning.

II. Again, given all of the circumstances involved, requesting a Mutual Restraining Order that neither parent may bring the children out of The United States without both parents agreement and a Court Order is not only NOT Frivolous, it is the necessary thing to do. In fact, given the added circumstances of the actions to quash this Order, I believe that the request should be more stringent than the peaceful, co-parenting request I have requested.

**Request --**

*I am requesting the court to not place judgements on me.*

*I am asking the court to place judgements or sanctions or other on Mr. Keith Glanzer for whatever the court would deem valid and that any judgements be placed in the Community of Aaron Surina and Sirinya Surina, to be distributed in the final Court Decisions when we finally get there.*

Signed at Spokane, Washington

Date: 6/11/2019



Aaron Surina