1		
2		
3	CN: 201703018170	FILED
4	SN: 190	JUN 0 7 2018
5	PC: 7	Timothy W. Fitzgeraid SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK
6		5. 5.5.1.1.2 55.5.1.1.1 55.5.1.1.1
7		
8	COUNTY OF SPOKANE	
9	In re the Marriage of:)
10	SIRINYA SURINA, Petitioner, and) No. 17-3-01817-0
11) DECLARATION OF RESPONDENT) AARON MICHAEL SURINA
12) REGARDING MOTION FOR) CONTEMPT
13	AARON MICHAEL SURINA,))
14	Respondent.))
15)
16	7 33 1 32 33 33 32 3	
17		
18	personal michiga.	
19	3. I am certain that I am not, and was never, an immigration sponsor for my Mother-in-Law	
20	Benjamas Srirattanakun. I am not responsible for her medical expenses under the September 28,	
21	2017 Temporary Family Law Order nor any modifications thereof. Allegations contained in the	
22	declarations of the Petitioner and her mother, Benjamas Srirattanakun, regarding my legal duty to	
23	pay medical expenses incurred by the Benjamas Srirattanakun are unfounded in fact or law.	
24	4. I do not agree with the requests for sanctions contained in the Petitioner's Motion for	
25	Contempt Hearing.	
26		
27		
28	DECLARATION OF RESPONDENT AAROMICHAEL SURINA REGARDING MOTIC CONTEMPT - 1	20 to 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

10

7

- 11 12
- 13 14

15

- 16 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 23 24
- 25

27 28

26

DECLARATION OF RESPONDENT AARON MICHAEL SURINA REGARDING MOTION FOR CONTEMPT - 2

explain my slowness in making those payments below. Both of those bills were paid several days prior to the filing of the Petitioner's Motion for Contempt. With respect to the water bill, I do not receive that bill directly. It is mailed to the residence at which the Petitioner resides. The Petitioner

failed to timely provide me the water bill and due to the financial demands of supporting two households from my employment income I can, and do, fall short of the cash necessary to pay each

6. I deny that I wilfully violated the Court's orders as explained below.

5. There are three allegations of contempt contained in the Petitioner's Motion for Contempt

7. I admit that I was slow in paying the cell phone bill and in making the car payment. I will

of those bills on time each month. For that reason it is critical that the Petitioner provide me the

bills which she receives in a timely manner because it may take me several weeks to have the cash available to pay them.

8. The financial burden placed upon me by the Temporary Family Law Orders entered in this case is severe. I am required to entirely support two separate households which include two adults and my two sons in the Petitioner's household and myself separately. The Petitioner refuses to find employment and does not help to financially support either herself or her children. After deductions for the \$1,464.00 in child support which I pay to the Petitioner each month, deductions for the family's health insurance payment of approximately \$1,037.00 per month and other deductions for prudent and appropriate items like life insurance, contributions to our health savings account and retirement account contributions and depending on the availability of project funding and the hours I can work, I receive a variable net pay of about \$2,000.00 every two weeks. From that net pay I must make a mortgage payment of approximately \$1,588.00 for the residence in which the Petitioner resides, \$467.00 for the car the Petitioner drives, pay variable utility bills for the

residence in which the Petitioner lives, but which presently include the Comcast cable bill for \$109.00, the sewer bill at about \$50.00 per month (but which isn paid every three months), the water bill which varies seasonally but which runs between \$45 and \$60 per month, the trash bill which often has excess bag charges and runs around \$150.00 (also paid every three months), the family T-Mobile cell phone bill which runs \$123.00 per month, the insurance payment for our cars (the car the Petitioner drives which requires full coverage and mine which are worth under \$2,000.00) which is \$125.00 per month, and medical bills which are in the thousands currently between my children and I. The counselor for David is not considered an in-network provider apparently because her bill that I received recently is over \$1,000.00 in itself. There is only one person working out of the 9 people involved in this case. The total of the expenses for persons residing in the Petitioner's residence which I must pay each month to my calculation averages \$3,900.00 per month. I also necessarily have to pay my living expenses which are comprised of the same types of utilities, internet, trash, electric, sewer, gas, Hyundai, rent and so forth and my credit related debt all of which also total approximately \$3,400.00 per month.

- 9. The mortgage payment on the residence in which the Petitioner, her mother and my sons David and Andrew reside and the payment on the Hyundai car which the Petitioner drives alone is approximately 60% of my monthly net pay. The phone bills, the utility bills, the out-of-pocket medical expenses, the car insurance expenses, and the counseling expense for David equates to about 90% of my monthly net pay, leaving me approximately \$459.00 monthly with which to pay my living expenses and bills, including gas to commute to work nearly 500 miles a week now, food, rent and everything required to maintain a healthy residence and keep my job.
- 10. Due to the forgoing financial demands I am not able to pay in full each bill when it comes due and because I have no control over the consumption of resources at the Petitioner's residence with respect to power and water utilities and trash collection, it's difficult to know what demands will be made on my earnings each month for items.

DECLARATION OF RESPONDENT AARON MICHAEL SURINA REGARDING MOTION FOR CONTEMPT - 3

11. In late March 2018 in consultation with my employer it was decided that I should take some family leave time to regroup. It was necessary to preserve my employment and it wasn't necessarily my idea. As a result my income dropped and that created a financial exigency. I was trying to balance payments as best I could and because I do not regularly receive the bills and notices regarding water service to the Petitioner's residence, I did not understand that the water service was in jeopardy of being discontinued for non-payment.

12. I did not intend for the water service at the Petitioner's residence to be interrupted for non-payment and I also did not intend for our cell phone service to be interrupted for non-payment. Both of those situations were entirely resolved and those services restored prior to the filing of the Petitioner's Motion for Contempt. I have returned to work and my income will return to normal, which does not ease the financial strain of having one family member support two separate households, but it will help.

13. With respect to the allegation that I did not work with Petitioner's counsel to amend a federal tax return, that is also untrue. At approximately the same time as the March 15, 2018 hearing in this matter, I retained counsel who shared my concerns about signing a joint tax return with the Petitioner when I believed that the Petitioner had received income from sources in Thailand which she had not disclosed to me. I decided to obtain advice on that issue and then to have my accountant evaluate the tax issues associated with filing a join return. On May 25, 2018, I provided my attorney with my 2017 tax information and the tax return which had been prepared by my accountant and asked that my attorney provide the information to the Petitioner's counsel which I understand occurred on that same day. Following the service of the Petitioner's Motion for Contempt, I requested and received a letter from my accountant on the tax issue which is filed as a Sealed Financial Source Document separately from this Declaration, but I incorporate that letter into this Declaration as though the same was an attachment to this Declaration. I ask the Court to consider my accountant's letter as it considers whether I am in wilful violation of the Court's order

DECLARATION OF RESPONDENT AARON MICHAEL SURINA REGARDING MOTION FOR CONTEMPT - 4

to cooperate with Petitioner's counsel regarding our 2017 tax returns. The accountant has prepared the taxes and is waiting on information to be revealed in this case through the Petitioner's sworn statements. For example, the annuities that were cashed out which were shown to have been invested in by me previously as an example.

14. With respect to the allegation that I violated the Court's Temporary Family Law Order by entering upon the premises of the Petitioner, that is also untrue. The allegation raised by the Petitioner's mother, Benjamas Srirattanakun, is that on May 23, 2018 I took a cell phone which I own from the Petitioner's residence. The allegation is that I had given the phone to the Petitioner's mother, which I deny. I had agreed that David could use it to call me but his mother denies him the ability to call me. He recites my phone number every time I pick him up and grovels that mom won't let me have my phone. I did not have any understanding of her mother's actual use of it. My phone is a black framed LG Stylo. Unknown to me until after a recent visitation, my son David had removed a similar looking work phone from my car and took it home with him. The phone has games on it which my sons play when they are with me on visitation. The work phone is equipment which I need to do my job at work. I confirmed with David that he had taken it with him to the Petitioner's residence by accident. During the May 23, 2018 visitation I asked him to return it to me at the time of the exchange. I remained in the car in the driveway as is the procedure we use during exchanges at the Petitioner's residence. The Petitioner apparently was not home at the time of that exchange and her Mother does not speak English, so there was no way for me to explain what I thought had happened with my phone. I am honestly apprehensive during the exchanges when Benjamas Srirattanakun is the only adult home due to concerns about the language problem leading to issues in the past. During this exchange, at my request, David returned outside to my vehicle and passed me what I thought was the work phone. As I prepared to leave the driveway there was no abnormal behavior from the Petitioner's mother. I was not yelling for David to hurry up. To my knowledge she would not understand the words 'hurry up' even if I had.

26

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

27

DECLARATION OF RESPONDENT AARON MICHAEL SURINA REGARDING MOTION FOR CONTEMPT - 5

15. Once I arrived home I realized that my son David brought me the wrong phone. Sometime later the Petitioner sent me a text about the phone. By the time I realized I had the wrong phone it was after 5:00 p.m. and my attorney's office was closed for the day. The next day, on May 24, 2018, I called my attorney and he said he would call the Petitioner's attorney to make arrangements for the return of the LG Stylo and to ask him to have Sirinya find and return my phone. I generally always take a witness with me to the exchanges because of false allegations which have been made during past exchanges and I try to avoid conflict. I get along with Sirinya fine; it's the others who seem to have an have interest in the outcome of this case that cause the most conflict.

16. On May 25, 2018, in response to the Petitioner's request that I return the phone, I went to T-Mobile to arrange for the phone to be picked up there by the Petitioner. On that same day I pressed my attorney to try to make arrangements with the Petitioner's counsel to return my work phone. It is my understanding that the Petitioner picked up the LG Stylo from the T-Mobile location where I had left it prior to the time of the filing of her Motion for Contempt. I understand that the Petitioner's counsel is upset that I asked David to return my phone to me. It was my understanding that David had taken it and so it made sense to me that he would know where it was and would be able to retrieve it for me.

17. I ask that the Court disregard the allegations contained in the Declarations of the Petitioner and of Benjamas Srirattanakun which do not relate in any way to the Temporary Family Law Orders in this case, such as the allegations that I have not paid medical expenses tor Benjamas Srirattanakun or debts incurred by the Petitioner for herself or for the children outside the scope of the Temporary Family Law Orders.

18. I also ask that the Court deny the Petitioner's Motion for Contempt and further deny the Petitioner's requested sanctions and her request for an award of attorneys fees regarding her Motion for Contempt.

DECLARATION OF RESPONDENT AARON MICHAEL SURINA REGARDING MOTION FOR CONTEMPT - 6

1	I declare under the penalty of perjury of the laws of the state of Washington that the
2	foregoing is true and correct.
3	DATED this 7 day of June 2018.
4	
5	
6	Uwry Sums
7	Aaron M. Surina, Declarant
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	,
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DECLARATION OF RESPONDENT AARON MICHAEL SURINA REGARDING MOTION FOR CONTEMPT - 7

26

27

28