SN: 185

PC: 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF SPOKANE

FILED

MAY 2 1 2018

Timothy W. Fitzgerald SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

In re the Matter of:

SIRINY SURINA,

NO. 17-3-01817-0

ORIGINAL

and

AARON SURINA,

Respondent.

Petitioner,

VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS ORAL RULING

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

BE IT REMEMBERED that the above-entitled matter was heard before the Honorable Nichole Swennumson, Superior Court Commissioner, County of Spokane on February 6, 2018, in Courtroom 306.

15 APPEARANCES:

For the Petitioner: MR. KEITH GLANZER

Attorney at Law

2024 West Northwest Blvd.

Spokane, WA. 99205

For the Respondent: MR. AARON SURINA

Pro Se

19 20

21

SUSAN L. ROBSON, TRANSCRIBER PO BOX 3100

DEER PARK, WA. 99006 509-280-2577

23

22

24

we have several motions going back and forth between the parties here today. I'm going to address each parties' motion for contempt first. Mr. Surina has alleged that mom has violated the temporary parenting plan that I entered on November 8, 2017, in regards to joint decision making for healthcare for David, five, and Andrew, one. Specifically, he alleged that on 12/20 there was an appointment with David's counselor without notice to dad. On 1/3 healthcare appointment with basically Spokane Health District at mom's house that was outside of the Providence Network where their primary caregivers are. On January 3rd an appointment with a counselor without notice. On January 6th a counselor appointment. And on January 5th a healthcare appointment.

7.

One of dad's biggest arguments is that the maternal grandma who mom and the children live with has had tuberculosis and that mom kept this information from dad and didn't get them tested, and exposed them. Dad's argument that under 26.44.020 this constitutes neglect.

Mom's contempt is regarding an emergency room visit that dad took David to on October 13, 2017. I looked because I thought that this preceded any orders, but I do note that my September 28, 2017 order provided for joint decision making, so that order was prior to the ER visit.

MR. SURINA: This was an emergency visit.

THE COURT: Sir, this is my turn.

MR. SURINA: Sorry.

THE COURT: Do it one more time I'm going to issue the sanction. All you're doing us underlining what they're saying.

Also, there was an allegation that dad violated my November 8, 2017 temporary order where I allowed a walk-through to get some property where I said if there was a disagreement about exchange that the property would be documented and left. The third allegation in mom's contempt is that dad has been making disparaging remarks against the mother to the children.

I think there has been a lot of fault on both sides here. I think each party either not clear about orders, not clear about what they're supposed to do has violated my court orders. There's a concept of clean hands that you have to come to court with to get contempt and I find neither have clean hands. I'm not ordering contempt against either party.

What I am going to do is talk about some of these issues because they're going to keep coming up. Mom, if you have -- if David has counseling on a certain day every week, at a certain time, you need to text that to dad so that he knows. If the children are going to see somebody for a healthcare appointment as soon as you set that appointment

dad has to know. Same thing. If you set an appointment mom has to know. I'm not offended that mom has used Dr. Lutz and his nurses, but I do think you should have been aware of it.

MR. SURINA: Thank you.

THE COURT: If somebody did have tuberculosis in a house, and they did, it's appropriate to communicate that to dad so that he knows and that he knows that it's non-contagious. Because that's what I'm seeing is it's non-contagious. But that's information that both parents should have.

In regard to the ER visit, sir, you're right it was an emergency room visit, as soon as you get there you have the duty to call the other parent. You don't -- no, this is still my turn, so I remember what that was about. I went back and looked at what that was about. If you take the child to the ER I understand that there could be an emergency, and I don't know that there really was, but there can be an emergency to get them there. If your child has a broken leg, clearly you get to the emergency room---

MR. SURINA: Understand.

THE COURT: --- and then call unless you can bluetooth it on the way there. Because the intent is to get there as soon as possible.

MR. SURINA: Sure.

THE COURT: But mom had to call around because you were late for an exchange, that's the only reason she knew. That is inappropriate. So, neither party is going to be found in contempt today on those issues.

25 I

In regards to the walk-through; you shouldn't of taken the tool kit is it was disputed. It said tools on the garage on the list, so whatever toolkit mom had packed up if there was a disagreement my order was clear. You'll leave it and I'll decide. Again, I don't think either party has clean hands. I think there was a lot non-telling about what was going on and I can't find that either party is at greater fault than the other. In regards to the disparaging remarks I do think this is based on hearsay. I have no evidence that dad is disparaged mom. I do think if he continues to take these children to the doctor alleging abuse in front of them it's going to lead to issues where I'm going to start — the fix could be reducing your time says experts on that issue.

In regards to mom's motion to have sole decision making for medical I'm not doing that. How people notify each other is just how I've outlined it. Parents aren't going to attend appointments together anymore. Mom makes the appointment that's mom's appointment. She still tells you about it. You call the doctor afterwards. If you make an appointment, that's your appointment; mom is not going to

```
1
    go. But she has the right to call and get the information
2
    from the doctor.
3
         MR. SURINA: Sure.
4
         THE COURT: I don't want you guys at the same doctor
5
    appointment together.
6
         MR. SURINA: Thank you.
         MR. GLANZER: Would that be further clarified by --
7
    during their residential time?
8
         THE COURT: Yes.
         MR. SURINA: I'm -- I'm -- may I ask a question?
10
         THE COURT: Yes.
11
         MR. SURINA: Actually, I will save it for later.
12
13
         THE COURT: Are you sure, okay. We will get to
     questions. I promise. Everyone is going to get to ask
14
    their questions.
15
16
         MR. SURINA: Okay.
17
         MR. GLANZER: And then the other part on the
    disparaging, I'll do it later. I started, we should
18
19
    probably say---
20
         THE COURT: How about I go ahead and finish my ruling
21
    Mr. Glanzer.
22
         MR. GLANZER: Yeah, sorry.
23
         THE COURT: Thank you.
         MR. GLANZER: I'm not worried about you, Judge, I'm
24
```

worried about me forgetting.

25 I

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GLANZER: Forgetting it. Go ahead.

THE COURT: All right. In regards to a guardian ad litem request I'm granting a guardian ad litem today.

There's too many allegations back and forth in this matter.

I know mom requested it, but I read in dad's declaration that he wanted one as well. So, I'm going to order a guardian ad litem. It'll be pursuant to the child support worksheet percentages.

I am reserving the attorney fee request; Mr. Glanzer you have not provided me an updated financial declaration from your client. Provide that to me and I'll take it under advisement.

I'm not going to order that the house be listed for sale, dad doesn't agree; that is a final trial judge issue that's not going to be before me. I don't know if you have Judge Hazel or Judge Clark---

MR. SURINA: Hazel

THE COURT: ---but either one of them are going to make that decision, and that will affect all if his decisions, so I'm not going to do that.

Sir, you asked for a modification of the family law order, but all you did was ask the Court to accept your amended financial declaration---

MR. SURINA: Correct.

THE COURT: ---it didn't ask for any other relief. I looked at your financial declaration---

MR. SURINA: It's horrible.

THE COURT: I looked at your financial declaration. I looked at your income calculations. Frankly, I disagree with your income calculations and your interpretation of the statute. But you didn't ask me to modify anything besides accept your financial declaration.

MR. SURINA: Correct.

THE COURT: So, I'm not making any rulings on that here today.

MR. SURINA: I'm just asking that it become part of the Court record.

THE COURT: It is.

MR. SURINA: I'm 5000 under every month if we were living equally. I haven't had a place to live in six months, the first time in 20 years.

THE COURT: It is in the record. It is in the file.

19 It is all there.

MR. SURINA: I'll come back for a modification next -- submit next week.

THE COURT: So, the guardian ad litem scope is going to be a full investigation to include the allegations of abuse and neglect by the parents. I'm going to add language into the orders today that says, neither party shall discuss

legal matters with the children or in front of the children including, but not limited to, going to Court, talking to the Court, living decisions, etcetera.

MR. SURINA: Lawyers?

THE COURT: The only thing the kids get to know is that they get to spend time with mom and dad. They get to be one and five.

MR. GLANZER: That include any third parties also?

THE COURT: Yes. No third parties. So that everyone is clear, mom is the primary parent of these children, but dad has equal rights to access information and to parent these children during his time. So, it has been alleged that that's not what is being told providers; I just want to make sure that that's not the case. We don't tell providers that if that's being said. Everyone has whole rights to these children. Mom is just primary.

All right, so sir, do you have any questions about my rulings?

MR. SURINA: I might -- Your Honor, I miss my boys terribly and I'm at the six month point, and I am -- I know Heather Hoover asked this in November and you said, well, let's see how it goes. In September you said let's see how it goes, you know, I'm a great father. I love my children. I'm a loyal father. Been loyal husband. Loyal member of the community. I'm hoping to increase my time. I'm not

```
1
    hoping for placement. I'm not hoping to do anything, you
2
    know, crazy with the finances. I don't have any money right
    now at all. It is what it is.
3
         THE COURT: So, sir, that's not before the Court today.
4
    Frankly, I'm not going to make any changes to the parenting
5
    plan---
6
         MR. SURINA: I'm not -- okay.
         THE COURT: ---until I get a guardian ad litem on
8
9
    board.
         MR. SURINA: Okay.
10
         THE COURT: Because I'm concerned about what I'm
11
12
    hearing on both parts.
         MR. SURINA: Thank you. I've submitted four GALs to
13
    Mr. Glanzer, he acted like his friend, Pat Donahue, had to
14
    be the GAL and his friend James Hatch---
15
         THE COURT: The GAL.
16
17
         MR. GLANZER: (Inaudible).
18
         MR. SURINA: Yeah.
19
         THE COURT: So, let me just slow it down. If you guys
20
     can't agree to a guardian ad litem, we have a list down in
21
     200.
22
         MR. SURINA: Okay.
          THE COURT: You get the next three names and you each
23
     get veto power over one.
24
```

MR. SURINA: Okay, awesome. Thank you. And then on

25 ¹

mediation is there any way you can order mediation? I've been asking since October.

THE COURT: Well, Judge Hazel is probably ordered mediation in his case scheduling order.

MR. SURINA: Oh okay. It's been refused so far.

THE COURT: Well, I just think you guys have a disagreement about where you want to go to.

MR. SURINA: I think he wants his friend.

THE COURT: So, if you guys need to submit a list of three names I will pick your mediator.

MR. SURINA: Fantastic.

MR. GLANZER: He's putting things on the record about Pat Donahue being a friend; and James Hatch was ordered or other qualified provider in the original order that Heather Hoover signed off on because that's what she wanted. It said it would be James Hatch, or other qualified provider. James Hatch is one of my buddies, so he doesn't want to do it.

MR. SURINA: Yeah, I'm pro se sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me assure you sir that Jim Hatch is a very well known family law mediator.

MR. SURINA: I don't---

THE COURT: He's not a friend, and I understand your distrust in the system, so let me look at the order, James Hatch or other qualified provider.

1 MR. SURINA: Yeah. 2 THE COURT: So, that's what your order says, so 3 frankly, I think you probably would enjoy him, but go give him a try, that's what your order says. He's not Mr. 4 5 Glanzer's friend. 6 MR. SURINA: Okay. 7 THE COURT: Pat Donahue is not Mr. Glanzer's friend. MR. SURINA: (Inaudible). THE COURT: I know we all know each other because we 10 all see each other here at the courthouse, but if they were 11 friends and were buddies ---12 MR. SURINA: I think---13 THE COURT: ---they'd have to disclose that to you and 14 then it would be a conflict if you didn't want to use them. 15 Okay. 16 MR. GLANZER: I've suggested that he call up Mr. Hatch 17 and get an appointment and go meet him and talk to him about that. So, there are ways to do that. We wanted it 18

MR. SURINA: We don't have any money.

MR. GLANZER: ---Mr. Surina doesn't seem to get that.

effective immediately because we want a shot at mediation to

THE COURT: Well, it seems right now that we have to worry about getting a guardian ad litem first thing.

MR. SURINA: Thank you.

resolve this as mediation and---

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: So, let's work on that. Any other questions about my order?

MR. SURINA: So, we can pick three mediators, pick three guardian ad litems, veto each other out and get one in the middle?

MR. GLANZER: No.

THE COURT: Well, I think you should at least call Jim Hatch because he was in the order. I didn't realize he was ordered. So, you need to call him.

MR. SURINA: Okay.

THE COURT: And see if he can you get you in.

MR. SURINA: But he's an attorney and he's at \$600 and I'm living on credit cards. I don't think it's reasonable because I don't have any money. I mean if we look at finances that we submitted today. It's---

THE COURT: That's not before me today though. Nobody asked me to -- I'm not determining it, I'm telling you what your order already says and that's what the order says.

MR. SURINA: But how can we pay for it?

THE COURT: So, if you can't do it then you have to file a motion. That's not before me today.

MR. SURINA: Gotcha. Gotcha. Okay.

THE COURT: The guardian ad litems, you go down and get the next three names off the list. You can each veto one, and---

```
1
         MR. SURINA: Okay.
         THE COURT: --- then you have to hope that that other
2
    one will take. If not, you go to the next three on the
 3
 4
    list.
         MR. SURINA: Sounds good. Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
         THE COURT: All right.
 6
 7
         MR. GLANZER: Thanks Commissioner.
         THE COURT: All right. Do you have any other questions
8
    Mr. Glanzer?
9
         MR. GLANZER: No. I'll need to draft an order. I'm
10
     assuming you want me to do that today?
11
         THE COURT: I do. So, sir, stick around. Mr. Glanzer
12
     is going to draft an order consistent with my ruling.
13
         MR. SURINA: Okay.
14
         THE COURT: You don't have to agree that you like my
15
16
     ruling you just have to agree that what he puts in it is
17
     what I said.
18
         MR. SURINA: Understood.
19
         THE COURT: Then I'll review it as well.
20
         MR. SURINA: Okay.
         THE COURT: So, stick around for him to do that.
21
         MR. SURINA: I got it.
22
         THE COURT: All right.
23
         CLERK: Off the record.
24
25
          (OFF THE RECORD 2:54:57)
```

(RECORD RESUMES 2:55:44) 1 2 MR. SURINA: ---that I want to put before the Court, 3 like an order to show cause. Can we do it during a hearing or do I need to go to Ex Parte and---5 THE COURT: If you had it all put together and we were 6 here I could sign it setting a future court date. I could 7 bypass that ---8 MR. SURINA: I do. 9 THE COURT: Do you have that? 10 MR. SURINA: I do. May I approach? THE COURT: You may. 11 MR. GLANZER: Do you have copies for me? 12 13 MR. SURINA: I would make a copy if she was willing to 14 sign it. 15 CLERK: I can't give you the order back to you after 16 she signs it. 17 MR. SURINA: It seems strange but it's a loop-hole 18 plugger. 19 THE COURT: So, this is not -- I wouldn't sign an order 20 to show cause for this. 21 MR. SURINA: I'm not sure I have the---22 THE COURT: And frankly, this motion, sir, you're 23 asking a motion for truthful submissions only. I practice 24 as if -- I know not everyone tells me the truth, but it's

already a rule that everybody provide---

25 I

```
1
         MR. SURINA: But it's not ordered.
2
         THE COURT: ---me truthful---
3
         MR. SURINA: So, there's no contempt on a lie, and
 4
    there's no prosecution of perjury. I went to the
    prosecutors.
         THE COURT: Well, they could choose to. They
 6
7
    choose not to. So, it's -- but it's not an order to show
     cause. If you want to set a motion on that, you can.
         MR. SURINA: Okay.
10
         THE COURT: But I would be careful about that because
11
    Mr. Glanzer is probably going to ask for fees. I would be
12
     careful.
13
         MR. GLANZER: I've already received a request on that.
14
    Silly, silliness.
         THE COURT: Well, everyone has their right to---
15
         MR. GLANZER: To be silly?
16
17
         THE COURT: No.
         CLERK: May I go off the record?
18
         THE COURT: Yes, go off the record. You guys clear the
19
20
    counsel table, I have another matter.
21
         MR. GLANZER: Thanks.
22
          (OFF THE RECORD 2:57:25)
          (RECORD RESUMES 3:59:45)
23
24
         CLERK: We're on the record.
```

THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record In Re

1 the Matter of Siriny Surina, petitioner, Aaron Surina, 2 respondent; Spokane County Cause Number 17-3-01817-0. 3 Present I have respondent, and I have Mr. Glanzer on behalf 4 of petitioner. I think the petitioner has left by this 5 point. Is she gone? 6 MR. GLANZER: Her interpreter certainly has. 7 THE COURT: Yes. So, Mr. Surina had come back into 8 Court asking me about a motion to compel and I told him I 9 couldn't talk to him without you here, Mr. Glanzer; it's ex 10 parte contact. I will just say that, one, I thought the 11 motion to compel had been resolved. 12 MR. GLANZER: So did I. THE COURT: And two, if it hasn't this Court doesn't 13 hear motions to compel discovery. Your trial judge does. 14 MR. SURINA: Okay. 15 THE COURT: So, any discovery issues, set with your 16 17 trial judge. 18 MR. SURINA: Would that be hearing that I actually set 19 with them? 20 THE COURT: Yes. You need to go speak with them about 21 a hearing date and do a note for hearing, everything like 22 you would for me except---23 MR. SURINA: Understood. 24 THE COURT: ---you do it for their courtroom and them.

```
MR. SURINA: Not a problem.
1
2
         THE COURT: Okay.
 3
         MR. SURINA: Thank you.
 4
         MR. GLANZER: We have orders that are close.
 5
         MR. SURINA: We have---
 6
         THE COURT: Okay. What's the issues on the orders?
7
         MR. SURINA: Section two, four and twelve. And you --
     did you---
8
 9
         MR. GLANZER:
                        (Inaudible).
10
         THE COURT: Well, let me -- go ahead Mr. Surina. Go
11
     ahead. No, go ahead.
12
         MR. SURINA: No, I think my temporary family law order
13
    he's putting in there and denying, but if mine didn't have
     actual modifications, I'll come back in with modifications
14
    when I come back for the other hearing. I'd like to
15
    continue the hearing for the interpreter for the interpreter
16
17
     stuff that I'm asking for, I would like to push that out a
    week or two. If you have any issues with that, I don't know
18
19
     if you do.
20
         MR. GLANZER:
                       I do.
21
         MR. SURINA: (Inaudible).
22
         THE COURT: What are we -- okay, hold on. What---
23
         MR. SURINA: So, I can bring them all (inaudible).
         MR. GLANZER: It doesn't have anything to do with our
24
```

25 1

order here today.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GLANZER: He's filing -- he's filing a spurious motion that has to do with the interpretation of all the documents that have been filed in the Court. That he alleges that haven't been done by an interpreter through his wife by an interpreter.

MR. SURINA: Carl has written them all. Carl Wilson.

MR. GLANZER: So, he's you know---

MR. SURINA: My wife doesn't know what's going on.

MR. GLANZER: With regard to On(phonetic) Wilson who speaks the language fluently and Mr. Wilson who acts as a scribe and then I also say, okay, this is what you want to say. He made the same argument to Judge Patty Walker in the District Court and she shut it down. So, he wants to continue to come back in here we've got to start -- we've got to start issuing terms on this.

MR. SURINA: Actually, I didn't make that argument. I did ask---

MR. GLANZER: We'll get you the transcript.

MR. SURINA: ---Ms. Walker about perjury because of the amount of perjury that was in your guy's declarations.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, today's hearing -- let me look at the order from today's hearing. If you guys have future hearings I guess we'll come back---

MR. SURINA: Thank you.

```
1
          THE COURT: --- and I'll look at them.
 2
          MR. SURINA: His order I -- section two, four, twelve.
          THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. Do you have an order on
 3
     GAL fees too, they didn't do that one?
 5
          CLERK: No.
          THE COURT: If I email one can you print it out?
 7
          CLERK: Yes.
 8
          MR. GLANZER: Did you -- I think I put in there --
 9
     well---
10
          THE COURT: There's an actual order for it.
          MR. GLANZER: Okay. You ordered pursuant to the child
11
12
     support worksheet.
          THE COURT: I did, but there's an actual order that's
13
14
     specific order on allocation that I need to do.
          MR. GLANZER: Thank you. The other thing is I put in
15
16
     there that the GAL will draft their own order to submit.
17
     But you're ordering a GAL to be appointed today.
18
         MR. SURINA: That's fine.
         MR. GLANZER: Is that sufficient or?
19
20
         MR. SURINA: I want to caution the Court to do what's
21
     right and watch out for---
22
         THE COURT: All right, so here's the thing. This Court
23
     is going to look at the orders and I don't want to hear from
24
     anyone, quite yet.
```

MR. GLANZER: Okay.

25 l

1 THE COURT: And I would hope that the Court always does the Court's job. So, if you both would just---2 3 MR. SURINA: Be quiet. MR. GLANZER: Be quiet. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 6 MR. GLANZER: Thank you. 7 MR. SURINA: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay, so the temporary order findings; 9 section two, petitioner and respondent made a motion for 10 temporary family law order and the Court finds in part and denies the order in part. What's the problem with that? 11 12 MR. SURINA: Can I -- for my motion for modification of 13 family order where I submitted financial declaration, can I 14 just write my own order that it was -- there is no request 15 being made at this time. And that you accept the financial declaration as it is, you said you had some problems with 16 17 the calculations, but you didn't bring those up. THE COURT: Father's motion for temporary orders, I'm 18 19 just doing it in one. MR. SURINA: Cool. 20 21 MR. GLANZER: Say that again. 22 THE COURT: Did not request a modification of financial 23 matters. Section four, what's wrong with section four?

MR. SURINA: It said something about the guardian ad

24

1 | wasn't him, it sounded weird.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

25

THE COURT: The Court will sign an order appointing a guardian ad litem as submitted by the GAL and signed by both parties.

MR. SURINA: (Inaudible) scratched out.

THE COURT: The GAL is hereby ordered. So, the guardian ad litem will circulate their own order, it's like a six page order that talks -- you each will have to sign it, it talks about what their duties and responsibilities are.

11 MR. SURINA: Okay.

THE COURT: So, this -- this order appoints one. This order will assign the costs, as I've said, and then the guardian ad litem will actually do a third order that says what their duties and responsibilities are.

MR. GLANZER: It's signed by---

17 THE COURT: Everyone will sign it.

MR. GLANZER: ---you as a rep, and you as a party, and Siriny signs it also.

20 MR. SURINA: Okay.

MR. GLANZER: It has to have a personal signature of the individuals.

MR. SURINA: I'm looking forward to the guardian ad litem.

MR. GLANZER: So, you're doing double duty.

1 MR. SURINA: I think the Court for the guardian ad 2 litem, I've been wanting that for about five months. What other sections, eight? 3 MR. SURINA: Twelve. 4 5 THE COURT: Twelve. 6 MR. SURINA: And then I haven't read the contempts yet. 7 They seem to be worded toward the petitioner, so. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I said reserve fees. So, what's 9 your question on that? 10 MR. SURINA: Oh, I thought that request denied. 11 THE COURT: No, it was reserved because I don't have updated information. I'm just adding, including, but not 12 13 limited to, in regards to discussions regarding these 14 proceedings. All right. So, sir, are you going to sign 15 this, or do you want to sign this? 16 MR. SURINA: I can sign it, yeah, if it's what you 17 said, absolutely. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you come up here, I made a 19 couple of adjustments. 20 MR. SURINA: Okay. Thank you. 21 THE COURT: You're welcome. 22 MR. SURINA: I'm hoping to come in with a modification 23 that -- will I need to submit another financial declaration 24 to come in with a family law modification?

2 THE COURT: Yes. MR. SURINA: Which is the one I submitted today. Okay. 3 THE COURT: All right, so I just -- it says the 4 parenting plan order was obeyed, I said not obeyed by both 5 6 parties equally. MR. GLANZER: I got the not obeyed later in the order. 7 THE COURT: Well, you checked the were obeyed. 8 MR. GLANZER: I know, I'm not---9 THE COURT: Neither party came before the Court with 10 11 clean hands. MR. SURINA: Section two, had that restraining order 12 part in there? 13 THE COURT: (Inaudible). 14 15 MR. SURINA: There was something looked awry on the 16 restraining order stuff too. 17 THE COURT: No, it just says because I have an order that had joint medical decision in that order as well, and 18 19 then there was also a parenting plan section. So, you guys, there are many orders that have been entered, so there was a 20 restraining order part, a temporary order. 21 22 MR. GLANZER: I wanted to try and make it clear that 23 nothing was requested today, but all prior restraints and 24 all that prior stuff.

THE COURT: So, I didn't reserve costs attorney's fees

MR. SURINA: (Inaudible) same one.

1

1 on the contempt, I did it on your other motion. 2 MR. GLANZER: Okay. 3 THE COURT: So, I'm going to deny them here on 4 contempt. Reserved. So, sir, do you want to sign this one? 5 MR. SURINA: (Inaudible) sign already? THE COURT: You did not. 6 7 MR. GLANZER: Did I sign that one? 8 THE COURT: You signed that one, Mr. Glanzer. 9 MR. GLANZER: Thanks, Commissioner. 10 THE COURT: So, sir, go ahead and sign that one for me. 11 MR. SURINA: Thank you for the (inaudible). 12 THE COURT: Hmm hmmm. That's my job. I'm going to set a presentment date for a guardian ad litem order, so we can 13 track it. That's how we're doing it now. I'm going to say 14 15 the 27th of the month. MR. SURINA: She's waiting for us to go down there and 16 17 get the three names. 18 MR. GLANZER: When is it? THE COURT: The 27th. All right, so I signed the 19 20 contempt order, a temporary order, and I've signed an order on allocation of fees. With that, you're done. Off the 21 22 record. 23 MR. SURINA: Mr. Glanzer says I'm going to be on 24 supervised visits, how does he make those---

MR. SURINA: ---comments? THE COURT: Well, this is not a question and answer session with the Judge, but you -- everyone just needs to follow the Court's order. (COURT RULING CONCLUDES)

1	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
2) CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF STEVENS)
3	
4	I, SUSAN L. ROBSON, a notary public in and for the
5	State of Washington, do hereby certify:
6	That I am an authorized transcriptionist;
7	I received the electronic recording directly from the
8	trial court conducting the hearing;
9	This transcript is a true and correct record of the
10	proceedings to my best ability, including any changes made
11	by the judicial officer reviewing the transcript;
12	I am in no way related to or employed by any party in
13	this matter, nor any counsel in the matter; and
14	I have no financial interest in this litigation.
15	
16	WITNESS my hand and seal this 16 th day of March, 2018 at
17	
18	Clayton, Washington.
19	
20	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the
21	State of Washington, residing
22	at Clayton. My commission expires: 09/09/2021
23	
24	
25	