CN: 201703018170

SN: 151

PC: 5

FILED

FEB 21 2018

Timothy W. Fitzgerald
SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SPOKANE

In re: SIRINYA SURINA, Petitioner, and AARON SURINA, Respondent.	**Case No. 17-3-01817-0** **Responsive Declaration of Aaron Surina RE: Motion to waive Respondent's tax deductions and pay petitioner's tax liability.**

1. ***I Declare:*** I am the Respondent and make this declaration in response to the motion to force financial sanctions in the form of tax liability for earnings that I did not receive as well as force me into the potential for criminal liability on tax evasion. She is not disclosing any of her foreign investments as a citizen and I can no longer trust that she would be honest about these things as she has attempted to conceal the annuities and every asset in Thailand from this case. The petitioner makes the claim that filing Married Separate somehow is not an equitable divide even though she receives exactly half of the income and taxes paid in prior to separation. Furthermore, the petitioner and her counsel insist on disparaging remarks towards me which has become a normal behavior at every hearing and within every declaration. The petitioner's team refers to "community" quite often unless they are referring to community property as stated in **3.** The petitioner refers to community property as her property. I have requested any w2 forms for the petitioner's employment since we have separated. I see the petitioner shows nearly 20,000.00 in new loans since 1/22/2018. That's a large amount of money for someone who has no intention of entering the workforce according to her original declaration. I have agreed to provide all necessary tax documents to the petitioner and will deliver those documents no later than the hearing date for this matter if not sooner. I have attached a paycheck stub **(SEE EXHIBIT A)**

showing after child support and healthcare contributions, David's school, my net pay is roughly 1800.00 every two weeks.

1 of 2 monthly paychecks barely covers the mortgage(1600.00) and the other paycheck is almost entirely used up on the car payment and utilities including the following estimates which can be verified by my financial declaration on 2/6 with exhibits showing the bank statements:

Hyunday(500.00) [2100.00]

Comcast,($109) [2209.00]

T-Mobile($123), [2332.00]

Water(48.91), [2380.91]

Sewer(50.00), [2430.91]

Trash(123) with lots of excessive charges and extra fees),

[2553.91]

Avista(300.00+) [2730.91]

Petitioner has not signed up for the SNAP program which would be the most equitable for the "Community"),

SENSKE (109)

I'm not including in this because I have requested to discontinue this service.

Auto Insurance(129.00). [2859.91]

Now I am currently commuting to work in an expedition that averages around 10 miles to the gallon and it's often over 90 miles round trip.

That's 9 gallons of gas at 2.50 per gallon per day.

(22.50 x 5 (117.50 x 4)

Gas 470.00 monthly to go to work)

EST. TOTAL NET CASH: [3600.00]

TOTAL COURT OBLIGATIONS: [3300.91]

Taking my standard paychecks as attached: 3600 and subtract these obligations leaving my monthly net income at 299.09 to live on, haircuts, work attire, food, shelter, utilities, legal defense etc.

I have submitted a motion for the Washington state child support worksheet standard calculations to apply to our case as currently the net income I am currently paying maintenance (bills for the 5bdrm house on the south hill) is not being calculated into the equation. I have not complained about being unable to obtain residence or pay for gas sometimes, going hungry at work etc. I have tried to follow the courts generosity to provide as period for the petitioner to get on her feet.I do not want to be responsible for criminal tax evasion she may be culpable for under joint. We have discovered that she has concealed accounts, annuities and so forth from this court.

Currently we're unable to get the GAL assigned because the petitioner's team is purporting that I am making 80% of the income. We will not be able to obtain mediation with expensive attorneys either and affordable mediation centers are being refused. The petitioner immediately wanted to cause issues when I advised them that I will only claim 1 child even though the petitioner never worked to be fair regarding child credits..

I have not been able to provide myself with a residence due to the disparity in income distribution in liu of helping the petitioner get on her feet. My concern here of course is this scenario may be enabling her to avoid looking for a job as she is living a very luxurious lifestyle and has no needs, no bills and no problems affording legal counsel.

I am also paying for her mother to live at the house, eat and utilities. I have continued to do everything to keep my employment often times not having gas money to get to work and having to borrow money from credit to eat and get gas. I have submitted a financial declaration on 2/6 which was prepared by a title 26 certified public accountant and neutral 3rd party who was able to immediately recognize that the maintenance is not visible on any of the forms.

2. ***The petitioner's statement is misleading and threadbare. The petitioner has not calculated her income tax. The petitioner has refused to produce any information about our thai assets overseas which may have legal consequences including annuities, investments and accounts that are being concealed. I do not want to be liable for her tax evasion as she is not declaring any foreign investments in any documents even after her team has received them.. Furthermore in the email, the petitioners counsel is demanding 60% of the refund AND I pay all her tax liabilities which she by law is responsible for from 9/27 to 12/31.***

3. ***The petitioner's team thinks that there is a [redacted] refund coming here that she is going to pay off "her" car which she took possession of by means of a falsified ex-parte TRO which was served on my 71 year old mother with Rheumatoid Arthritis and myself while my son and I were preparing our first backyard camping outingShe is now making the claim that because she committed fraud on the court with the ex-parte TRO which was immediately dismissed, she should be rewarded with the best of all of our marital assets as she successfully took possession of those things by means of fraud on the court.*** We currently owe, on Hyundai about $12,000. and it is worth Approx. 20-22

I am requesting legal fees to reduce my legal debt from the vexacious litigation and continuing to refuse to mediate or settle on any issues before us, $1500.00 — I am asking the court to deny this motion as this request is an attempt to have the court force me to pay obligations I am not entitled to.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at (city) Spokane, (state) WA on (date) 2/21/18.

AARON SURINA
Respondent

I miss my sons!